

Total-order junctivity

Continuity - see [0], p. 87 - is a special case of total-order junctivity. A set S of predicate transformers is totally ordered iff

$$\langle \forall x, y : x \in S \wedge y \in S : [x \Rightarrow y] \vee [x \Leftarrow y] \rangle ,$$

and a predicate transformer is called "total-order junctive" iff it is junctive over totally ordered sets of predicates. We leave to the reader the proof of - see [0], p. 84 -

S is totally ordered $\equiv S^*$ is totally ordered , and also of "any total-order junctive predicate transformer is monotonic". We now generalize Theorem (6,43) of [0] - see p. 99 - to

Theorem I Disjunction preserves total-order conjunctivity, i.e. let predicate transformer f be given in terms of the total-order conjunctive predicate transformers g and h by

$$[f.x \equiv g.x \vee h.x] \text{ for all } x ;$$

then f is total-order conjunctive .

Proof In this proof, x and y range over an arbitrary totally ordered set. Our proof obligation is then to show

$$[f.\langle \forall x :: x \rangle \equiv \langle \forall x :: f.x \rangle] .$$

To this end we observe

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f. \langle \forall x :: x \rangle \\
 = & \{ \text{def. of } f \text{ and renaming a dummy} \} \\
 & g. \langle \forall x :: x \rangle \vee h. \langle \forall y :: y \rangle \\
 = & \{ g \text{ and } h \text{ total-order conjunctive} \} \\
 & \langle \forall x :: g.x \rangle \vee \langle \forall y :: h.y \rangle \\
 = & \{ \text{distribute } \vee \text{ over } \forall; \text{ unnesting} \} \\
 & \langle \forall x, y :: g.x \vee h.y \rangle \\
 = & \{ \text{range is totally ordered} \} \\
 & \langle \forall x, y : [x \Rightarrow y] : g.x \vee h.y \rangle \wedge \\
 & \langle \forall x, y : [x \Leftarrow y] : g.x \vee h.y \rangle \\
 = & \{ \text{nesting} \} \\
 & \langle \forall x :: \langle \forall y : [x \Rightarrow y] : g.x \vee h.y \rangle \rangle \wedge \\
 & \langle \forall y :: \langle \forall x : [x \Leftarrow y] : g.x \vee h.y \rangle \rangle \\
 = & \{ h \text{ monotonic; } g \text{ monotonic} \} \\
 & \langle \forall x :: g.x \vee h.x \rangle \wedge \langle \forall y :: g.y \vee h.y \rangle \\
 = & \{ \text{def. of } f \} \\
 & \langle \forall x :: f.x \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

(End of Proof.)

The analog of Theorem (5,116) of [0] - see p. 76-77 - is:

With f monotonic in both arguments and x and y ranging over some totally ordered set

$$[\langle \forall x, y :: f.x.y \rangle \equiv \langle \forall x :: f.x.x \rangle],$$

which we could have appealed to in the above proof. All this is more general, cleaner

and simpler than in [0]. For the sake of completeness (and having just started a new page) we prove the last theorem by observing

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle \forall x, y :: f(x, y) \rangle \\
 = & \{ \text{range is totally ordered} \} \\
 & \langle \forall x, y : [x \Rightarrow y] : f(x, y) \rangle \wedge \langle \forall x, y : [x \Leftarrow y] : f(x, y) \rangle \\
 = & \{ \text{nesting} \} \\
 & \langle \forall x :: \langle \forall y : [x \Rightarrow y] : f(x, y) \rangle \rangle \wedge \langle \forall y :: \langle \forall x : [x \Leftarrow y] : f(x, y) \rangle \rangle \\
 = & \{ f \text{ monotonic in both arguments} \} \\
 & \langle \forall x :: f(x, x) \rangle \wedge \langle \forall y :: f(y, y) \rangle \\
 = & \{ \text{pred. calc.} \} \\
 & \langle \forall x :: f(x, x) \rangle .
 \end{aligned}$$

All this has been triggered by a theorem in the thesis of Ernie Cohen.

Austin, 16 October 1992

prof. dr. Edsger W. Dijkstra
 Department of Computer Sciences
 The University of Texas at Austin
 Austin, TX 78712-1188
 USA

[0] Edsger W. Dijkstra and Carel S. Scholten, Predicate Calculus and Program Semantics, Springer-Verlag, New York - Berlin - Heidelberg, 1990