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## 1 Problem Description

The following puzzle comes from Adam Klivans, who heard it second hand from Peter Winkler. A secret is a triple where each component is a natural number below $n$, for some given $n$. A guess is a triple of the same form. A guess has an outcome which is revealed to the guesser: it succeeds if it matches at least two corresponding components of the secret, and fails otherwise. What is the minimum number of guesses required to succeed for $n=8$ ?

We give a schedule of $n^{2} / 2$ guesses for even $n$ and $\left(n^{2}+1\right) / 2$ for odd $n$.

## 2 A Solution Procedure

We solve a simpler problem first. Let $V$ be a set of $m$ values, $m>0$. Construct a schedule which succeeds if at least two values in the secret are from $V$. Not all values in the secret are required to be from $V$, and this is how this problem differs from the original. We show a schedule of length $m^{2}$ to solve this problem. We also show that $m^{2}$ is a tight bound.

We can solve the original problem using the solution of the simpler problem. Suppose the number of values, $n$, is even. Divide the $n$ values into two equalsized sets, $U$ and $V$. Let $S$ be a schedule for $U$ and $T$ for $V$, for the simpler problem. We claim that $S$ and $T$ together solve the original problem. Since the secret has three components, at least two of the components are from $U$ or from $V$. In the former case, $S$ succeeds and in the latter case, $T$ succeeds. Therefore, the combined schedule succeeds in all cases. The length of each of $S$ and $T$ is $(n / 2)^{2}$; so the combined length of both schedules is $n^{2} / 2$. For odd $n$, say $n=2 m+1$, let $U$ and $V$ have $m$ and $m+1$ values, respectively. The same procedure creates a combined schedule of length $m^{2}+(m+1)^{2}=$ $\left((2 m+1)^{2}+1\right) / 2=\left(n^{2}+1\right) / 2$. For $n=8$, the schedule length is $8^{2} / 2=32$.

Solution of the Simpler Problem Given is a set $V, V=\left\{v_{i} \mid 0 \leq i<m\right\}$. Let the schedule consist of all guesses $\left(v_{i}, v_{j}, v_{k}\right)$, where $(i+j+k) \bmod m=0$. To see the correctness, suppose $(p, q, r)$ is the secret. Without loss in generality, let $p$ and $q$ be from $V$, say $p, q=v_{a}, v_{b}$. Let $c$ be such that $(a+b+c) \bmod m=0$. Such a $c$ exists and is unique. Then, $\left(v_{a}, v_{b}, v_{c}\right)$ is a guess in the schedule, and it succeeds. As an example, we list the schedule for $V=\{0,1,2,3\}$, i.e., $v_{i}=i$.

| $\left(\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ | $\left(\begin{array}{llll}0 & 1 & 3\end{array}\right)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\left(\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 0 & 3\end{array}\right)$ | $\left(\begin{array}{llll}1 & 1 & 1 & 2\end{array}\right)$ | $\left(\begin{array}{llll}1 & 2 & 1\end{array}\right)$ |$\left(\begin{array}{lll}(0 & 3 & 1\end{array}\right)$

The length of the given schedule is $m^{2}$ where $m$ is the size of $V$. This is because there is a $1-1$ correspondence between pairs $(i, j), 0 \leq i, j<m$, and guesses in the schedule; $(i, j)$ corresponds to the guess $\left(v_{i}, v_{j}, v_{k}\right)$, where $k$ is given by $(i+j+k) \bmod m=0$.

Next, we show that $m^{2}$ is a lower bound on the length of any schedule to solve this problem. Otherwise, let there be a shorter schedule $s$. Let $s^{\prime}=$ $\{(u, v) \mid(u, v, w) \in s$, for some $w\}$. Since the length if $s$ is less than $m^{2}$, so is the length of $s^{\prime}$. Therefore, there is a pair $(p, q)$ which is not in $s^{\prime}$, i.e., $(p, q, r) \notin s$ for any $r$. Let the secret be $(p, q, x)$, where $x$ is a value outside $V$. The schedule does not succeed in guessing this secret.

## 3 Some Observations on Lower Bound

A trivial lower bound is $n^{3} /(3 n-2)$. This is seen as follows. A triple $t$ covers a triple $s$ if they match in at least two components. Therefore, the Hamming distance between the triples is at most 1. Any triple $t$ covers itself (at Hamming distance of 0 ) and covers at most $3 n-3$ other triples at Hamming distance 1 (by changing each component in $n-1$ possible ways). For $n=2$, this gives a lower bound of 2 . For $n=4$, the lower bound is 7 , differing from our schedule of length of 8 .

It may be possible to get a tighter lower bound using the following lemma. Let $S$ be a schedule. Extract pairs from it as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{12}=\{(i, j) \mid(i, j,-) \in s\} \\
& s_{13}=\{(i, k) \mid(i,-, k) \in s\} \\
& s_{23}=\{(j, k) \mid(-, j, k) \in s\}
\end{aligned}
$$

And,

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{i} & =\left\{k \mid(i, k) \in s_{13}\right\} \\
q_{j} & =\left\{k \mid(j, k) \in s_{23}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma $\quad(i, j) \notin s_{12} \Rightarrow\left|p_{i}\right|+\left|q_{j}\right| \geq n$
Proof: Suppose $\left|p_{i}\right|+\left|q_{j}\right|<n$. Then $\left|p_{i} \cup q_{j}\right| \leq\left|p_{i}\right|+\left|q_{j}\right|<n$. Therefore, there exists $k$, where $k \notin p_{i} \cup q_{j}$, i.e., $k \notin p_{i}$ and $k \notin q_{j}$. That is,

| $(i, k) \notin s_{13}$ | , from $k \notin p_{i}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $(j, k) \notin s_{23}$ | , from $k \notin q_{j}$ |
| $(i, j) \notin s_{12}$ | , given |

Then, the secret $(i, j, k)$ is not covered by $s$.

