CS371N: Natural Language Processing Lecture 13: Subword Tokenization, Decoders, Decoding

٢	Announcements
A3 due Thursday	
A2 back soon	





Recap: BERT	Today
	Subword tokenization
	GPT-2/GPT-3: decoders, which are able to actually generate text
	 Decoding methods for getting outputs from these models
	 Prompting: a new way of using large language models without taking any gradient steps





Byte Pair Encoding (BPE)	Byte Pair Encoding (BPE)			
 Start with every individual byte (basically character) as its own symbol for i in range (num_merges): Count bigram character 	Original:furiouslyOriginal:tricyclesBPE:_furiously(b)BPE:_t ric y cUnigram LM:_furious lyUnigram LM:_tri cycle s			
<pre>best = max(pairs, key=pairs.get) COOCCURRENCES</pre>	Original: Completely preposterous suggestions			
<pre>vocab = merge_vocab(best, vocab) Merge the most frequent pair of adjacent characters</pre>	BPE: _Comple t elyprep ost eroussuggest f Unigram LM: _Complete lypre post er ous _suggestion			
Doing 8k merges => vocabulary of around 8000 word pieces. Includes	What do you see here?			
many whole words	 BPE produces less linguistically plausible units than another technic based on a unigram language model: rather than greadily merge fit 			
Nest SOTA NIMT systems use this on both source I target	chunks which make the sequence look likely under a unigram LM			
· Most SOTA MMT Systems use this on both source + target	Unigram LM tokenizer leads to slightly better BERT			
Sennrich et al. (2016)	Bostrom and Durrett (20			

	Ran	k User	Count
@Soc_intogy	1	/u/davidjl123	16347
've just found out that several of the anomalous GP	T 2	/u/Smartstocks	11382
okens ("TheNitromeFan", "SolidGoldMagikarp", "	3	/u/atomicimploder	10317
are handles of people who are (competitively?	4	/u/TheNitromeFan	84581
	5	/u/SolidGoldMagikarp	65753
collaboratively?) counting to infinity on a Reddit	-	Carte a reason of the second s	
collaboratively?) counting to infinity on a Reddit forum. I kid you not.	6	/u/RandomRedditorWithNo	63434
Collaboratively?) counting to infinity on a Reddit forum. I kid you not.	6 7	/u/RandomRedditorWithNo	63434 59024
Collaboratively?) counting to infinity on a Reddit forum. I kid you not.	6 7 8	/u/RandomRedditorWithNo /u/rideride /u/Mooraell	63434 59024 57785
Collaboratively?) counting to infinity on a Reddit forum. I kid you not. I kid you no	6 7 8 9	/u/RandomRedditorWithNo /u/rideride /u/Mooraell /u/Removedpixel	63434 59024 57785 55080
Collaboratively?) counting to infinity on a Reddit Forum. I kid you not.	6 7 8 9 10	/u/RandomRedditoriWithNo /u/rideride /u/Mooraell /u/Removedpixel /u/Adinida	63434 59024 57785 55080 48415

Tokenization Today

Bostrom and Durrett (2020)

 $_t$ | ric | y | cles

_suggest | ions

_suggestion | s

- > All pre-trained models use some kind of subword tokenization with a tuned vocabulary; usually between 50k and 250k pieces (larger number of pieces for multilingual models)
- As a result, classical word embeddings like GloVe are not used. All subword representations are randomly initialized and learned in the Transformer models







- BERT: Base \$500, Large \$7000
- GPT-2 (as reported in other work): \$25,000
- This is for a single pre-training run...developing new pre-training techniques may require many runs
- Fine-tuning these models can typically be done with a single GPU (but may take 1-3 days for medium-sized datasets)

https://syncedreview.com/2019/06/27/the-staggering-cost-of-training-sota-ai-models/





Beam Search	

Decoding Strategies

- LMs place a distribution P(y_i | y₁, ..., y_{i-1})
- seq2seq models place a distribution P(y_i | x, y₁, ..., y_{i-1})
- · Generation from both models looks similar; how do we do it?
 - ▶ Option 1: max y_i P(y_i | y₁, ..., y_{i-1}) take greedily best option
 - Option 2: use beam search to find the sequence with the highest prob.
 - Option 3: sample from the model; draw y_i from that distribution
- When should we use these different approaches?



Decoding Strategies

Story generation (this is with GPT-2):

Context: In a shocking finding, scientist discovered a herd of unicorns living in a remote, previously unexplored valley, in the Andes Mountains. Even more surprising to the researchers was the fact that the unicorns spoke perfect English.

Beam Search, b=32:

"The study, published in the Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America (PNAS), was conducted by researchers from the Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM/Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México/Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México/Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México/Universidad Nacional Autónoma de

 Beam search degenerates and starts repeating. If you see a fragment repeated 2-3x, it has very high probability to keep repeating

Pure Sampling:

They were cattle called Bolivian Cavalleros; they live in a remote desert uninterrupted by town, and they speak huge, beautiful, paradisiacal Bolivian linguistic thing. They ay, 'Lunch, marge.' They don't tell what the lunch is,' director Professor Chuperas Omwell told Sky News. 'They've only been talking to scientists, like we're being interviewed by TV reporters. We don't even stick around to be interviewed by TV reporters. Maybe that's how they figured out that they're cosplaying as the Bolivian Cavalleros.'

 Sampling is too noisy introduces many grammatical errors

Holtzman et al. (2019)



G		Nucleus Sampling		
P(y they	live in a remo	ote desert uninterrupted by)	
	0.01	roads		
	0.01	towns	> renormalize and sample	
	0.01	people		
	0.005	civilization	- cut off after <i>p</i> % of mass	
► (Define a of the pr	threshold <i>p</i> obability ma	. Keep the most probable options account for <i>p</i> % ass (the <i>nucleus</i>), then sample among these.	

To implement: sort options by probability, truncate the list once the total exceeds p, then renormalize and sample from it

Holtzman et al. (2019)



- LMs place a distribution P(y_i | y₁, ..., y_{i-1})
- ▶ seq2seq models place a distribution P(y_i | **x**, y₁, ..., y_{i-1})
- How to generate sequences?

- ▶ Option 1: max y_i P(y_i | y₁, ..., y_{i-1}) take greedily best option
- Option 2: use beam search to find the sequence with the highest prob.
- Option 3: sample from the model; draw y_i from that distribution
- Option 4: nucleus sampling

Holtzman et al. (2019)



Pre-C	GPT-3: Fine-tuning	GPT-3: Few-shot Learning			
 Fine-tuning: this is the "normal way" of doing 	1 sea otter ⇒ loutre de mer ← example #1	 GPT-3 proposes an alternative: in-context learning. Just uses the off-the- shelf model, no gradient updates 			
learning in models like GPT-2	y ↓ peppermint => menthe poivrée ← example #2	This procedure depends heavily Translate English to French:			
 Requires computing the gradient and applying a parameter undate on 	gradient update	on the examples 2 sea otter => loutre de mer examples you pick as well as 3 peppermint => menthe poivrée examples			
every example	▼ plush giraffe => girafe peluche ← example #N	("Translate English 4 plush girafe => girafe peluche ← to French")			
 This is super expensive with 175B parameters 	t cheese => ← prompt Brown et al. (2020				



	SuperGLUE Average	E BoolQ Accurac	CB y Accuracy	CB F1	COPA Accuracy	RTE Accuracy
Fine-tuned SOTA	89.0	91.0	96.9	93.9	94.8	92.5
Fine-tuned BERT-Large	69.0	77.4	83.6	75.7	70.6	71.7
GPT-3 Few-Shot	71.8	76.4	75.6	52.0	92.0	69.0
	WiC	WSC	MultiRC	MultiRC	ReCoRD	ReCoRD
	Accuracy	Accuracy	Accuracy	F1a	Accuracy	F1
Fine-tuned SOTA	76.1	93.8	62.3	88.2	92.5	93.3
Fine-tuned BERT-Large	69.6	64.6	24.1	70.0	71.3	72.0
GPT-3 Few-Shot	49.4	80.1	30.5	75.4	90.2	91.1
a		/n n 1.1		D)		

Prompts

- Prompts can help induce the model to engage in certain behavior
- In the GPT-2 paper, "tl;dr:" (too long; didn't read) is mentioned as a prompt that frequently shows up in the wild indicating a summary
- tl;dr is an indicator that the model should "switch into summary mode" now — and if there are enough clean instances of tl;dr in the wild, maybe the model has been trained on a ton of diverse data?
- Good prompt + a few training examples in-context = strong task performance?

Brown et al. (2020)

Prompting

- Current training: GPT-3 trained on the web
- Current testing: feed in a very specific prompt and/or a set of incontext examples
- Two goals:

- 1. Unify pre-training and testing phases
- 2. Exploit data for downstream tasks why are we trying to do question answering while ignoring all of the existing QA datasets?
- RLHF/instruction tuning: fine-tune on supervised tasks after pretraining (more after midterm)
- Let's see how an instruction-tuned GPT-3 works



Bias and Toxicity	Stochastic Parrots (about LMs generally)
"Toxic degeneration": systems that generate toxic stuff	 Paper (that included authors at Google who were subsequently fired) about dangers of large language models Claim 1: environmental cost is disproportionately born by marginalized populations,
Model: GPT-2 Toxicity: Work Safe Toxic Very Toxic	who aren't even well-served by these tools
Prompt: I'm sick of all the p V Toxic generations may be triggering.	 Claim 2: massive data is fundamentally challenging to audit, contains data that is biased and is only a snapshot of a single point in time
 System trained on a big chunk of the Internet: conditioning on "SJW", "black" gives the system a chance of recalling bad stuff from its training data 	 Claim 3 (what we'll focus on today): these models are not grounded in meaning — when they generate an answer to a question, it is merely by memorizing cooccurrence between symbols
ti anning uata https://toxicdegeneration.allenai.org/	Bender, Gebru, McMillan-Major, Shmitchell (2021

