## CS388: Natural Language Processing Lecture 7: Transformers

## Greg Durrett



## Administrivia

- Project 2 due on Feb 13 (one week); autograder fixed
- d_internal vs. d_model: d_internal in the code is d_k in the slides
- Final project spec posted Thursday


## Recap: Attention

Step 1: Compute scores for each key
keys $k_{i}$
$[1,0][1,0][0,1][1,0]$ query: $q=[0,1]$ (we want to find 1s)
0

| 0 | 1 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $s_{i}=$ | $k_{i}^{\top} q$ |  |

$0 \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 0$
Step 2: softmax the scores to get probabilities $\alpha$
$0 \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 0=>(1 / 6,1 / 6,1 / 2,1 / 6)$ if we assume e=3
Step 3: compute output values by multiplying embs. by alpha + summing result $=\operatorname{sum}\left(\alpha_{i} e_{i}\right)=1 / 6[1,0]+1 / 6[1,0]+1 / 2[0,1]+1 / 6[1,0]=[1 / 2,1 / 2]$

## Recap: Self-Attention

$$
\left.E=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad W^{Q}=\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array} \quad W^{\mathrm{K}}=\begin{array}{cc}
10 & 0 \\
0 & 10
\end{array}\right]
$$

Scores $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{QK}^{\top} \quad \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ij}}=q_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot k_{\mathrm{j}}$
len $x$ len $=(l e n \times d) \times(d x$ len $)$
Final step: softmax to get attentions $A$, then output is $A E$
*technically it's A (EWV), using a values matrix $V=E W$

## Recap: Multi-head Self-Attention

Just duplicate the whole computation with different weights:



Thinking
Machines
X
Alammar, The Illustrated Transformer

ATTENTION HEAD \#1

$W_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{K}}$
$\mathrm{W}_{0} \mathrm{~V}$


$\mathrm{W}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{v}}$

## Recap: Positional Encodings



- Encode each sequence position as an integer, add it to the word embedding vector


## Recap: Positional Encodings

Alammar, The Illustrated Transformer

- Alternative from Vaswani et al.: sines/cosines of different frequencies (closer words get higher dot products by default)



## Transformers

## Architecture

- Alternate multi-head self-attention with feedforward layers that operate over each word individually

$$
\operatorname{FFN}(x)=\max \left(0, x W_{1}+b_{1}\right) W_{2}+b_{2}
$$

- These feedforward layers are where most of the parameters are
- Residual connections in the model: input of a layer is added to its output
- Layer normalization: controls the scale of different layers in very deep networks (not
 needed in the assignment)


## Dimensions

- Vectors: $d_{\text {model }}$
- Queries/keys: $d_{k}$, always smaller than $d_{\text {model }}$
- Values: separate dimension $d_{v}$, output is multiplied by wo which is $d_{v} x d_{\text {model }}$ so we can get back to $d_{\text {model }}$ before the residual


Vaswani et al. (2017)

## Transformer Architecture

|  | $N$ | $d_{\text {model }}$ | $d_{\mathrm{ff}}$ | $h$ | $d_{k}$ | $d_{v}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| base | 6 | 512 | 2048 | 8 | 64 | 64 |

- From Vaswani et al.

| Model Name | $n_{\text {params }}$ | $n_{\text {layers }}$ | $d_{\text {model }}$ | $n_{\text {heads }}$ | $d_{\text {head }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GPT-3 Small | 125 M | 12 | 768 | 12 | 64 |
| GPT-3 Medium | 350 M | 24 | 1024 | 16 | 64 |
| GPT-3 Large | 760 M | 24 | 1536 | 16 | 96 |
| GPT-3 XL | 1.3 B | 24 | 2048 | 24 | 128 |
| GPT-3 2.7B | 2.7 B | 32 | 2560 | 32 | 80 |
| GPT-3 6.7B | 6.7B | 32 | 4096 | 32 | 128 |
| GPT-3 13B | 13.0 B | 40 | 5140 | 40 | 128 |
| GPT-3 175B or "GPT-3" | 175.0 B | 96 | 12288 | 96 | 128 |



- From GPT-3; $d_{h e a d}$ is our $d_{k}$


## Transformer Architecture

| 1 | description | FLOPs / update | $\begin{array}{r} \% \\ \text { FLOPS } \\ \text { MHA } \end{array}$ | $\%$ FLOPS FFN | FLOPS | FLOPS logit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | OPT setups |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 760M | $4.3 \mathrm{E}+15$ | 35\% | 44\% | 14.8\% | 5.8\% |
| 10 | 1.3B | 1.3E+16 | 32\% | 51\% | 12.7\% | 5.0\% |
| 11 | 2.7B | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+16$ | 29\% | 56\% | 11.2\% | 3.3\% |
| 12 | 6.7B | 1.1E+17 | 24\% | 65\% | 8.1\% | 2.4\% |
| 13 | 13B | $4.1 \mathrm{E}+17$ | 22\% | 69\% | 6.9\% | 1.6\% |
| 14 | 30B | 9.0E+17 | 20\% | 74\% | 5.3\% | 1.0\% |
| 15 | 66B | $9.5 \mathrm{E}+17$ | 18\% | 77\% | 4.3\% | 0.6\% |
| 16 | 175B | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+18$ | 17\% | 80\% | 3.3\% | 0.3\% |

Credit: Stephen Roller on Twitter

## Attention Maps

- Example visualization of attention matrix A (from assignment)
- Each row: distribution over what that token attends to. E.g., the first " $v$ " attends very heavily to itself (bright yellow box)
- On the HW: look to see if the attentions make sense!



## Transformers: Complete Model



- Original Transformer paper presents an encoder-decoder model
- Right now we don't need to think about both of these parts - will return in the context of MT
- Can turn the encoder into a decoder-only model through use of a triangular causal attention mask (only allow attention to previous tokens)


## Using Transformers

## What do Transformers produce?



- Encoding of each word - can pass this to another layer to make a prediction (like predicting the next word for language modeling)
- Like RNNs, Transformers can be viewed as a transformation of a sequence of vectors into a sequence of context-dependent vectors


## Transformer Uses

- Transducer: make some prediction for each element in a sequence

- Classifier: encode a sequence into a fixed-sized vector and classify that



## Transformer Uses



- Alternative: use a placeholder [CLS] token at the start of the sequence. Because [CLS] attends to everything with self-attention, it can do the pooling for you!
encoding of [CLS token] $\longrightarrow$ matmul + softmax $\longrightarrow$ predict sentiment

[CLS] the movie was great


## Transformer Uses

- Sentence pair classifier: feed in two sentences and classify something about their relationship

Contradiction

[CLS] The woman is driving a car [SEP] The woman is walking .

- Why might Transformers be particularly good at sentence pair tasks compared to something like a DAN?

Transformer Language Modeling

## Transformer Language Modeling



$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(w \mid \text { context })=\frac{\exp \left(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{i}}\right)}{\sum_{w^{\prime}} \exp \left(\mathbf{w}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{i}}\right)} \\
& \text { equivalent to } \\
& P(w \mid \text { context })=\operatorname{softmax}\left(W \mathbf{h}_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- W is a (vocab size) x (hidden size) matrix; linear layer in PyTorch (rows are word embeddings)


## Training Transformer LMs



- Input is a sequence of words, output is those words shifted by one,
- Allows us to train on predictions across several timesteps simultaneously (similar to batching but this is NOT what we refer to as batching)


## Training Transformer LMs



```
loss_fcn = nn.NLLLoss()
loss += loss_fcn(log_probs, ex.output_tensor)
    [seq len, num output classes] [seq len]
```

- Batching is a little tricky with NLLLoss: need to collase [batch, seq len, num classes] to [batch * seq len, num classes]. You do not need to batch


## Batched LM Training

I saw the dog running in the park and itlooked very excited to be there


## A Small Problem with Transformer LMs

- This Transformer LM as we've described it will easily achieve perfect accuracy. Why?

- With standard self-attention: " 1 " attends to "saw" and the model is "cheating". How do we ensure that this doesn't happen?


## Attention Masking

- What do we want to prohibit?

- We want to mask out everything in red (an upper triangular matrix)


## Implementing in PyTorch

- nn.TransformerEncoder can be built out of nn.TransformerEncoderLayers, can accept an input and a mask for language modeling:

```
# Inside the module; need to fill in size parameters
layers = nn.TransformerEncoderLayer([...])
transformer_encoder = nn.TransformerEncoder(encoder_layers, num_layers=[...])
[. . .]
# Inside forward(): puts negative infinities in the red part
mask = torch.triu(torch.ones(len, len) * float('-inf'), diagonal=1)
output = transformer_encoder(input, mask=mask)
```

- You cannot use these for Part 1, only for Part 2


## LM Evaluation

- Accuracy doesn't make sense - predicting the next word is generally impossible so accuracy values would be very low
- Evaluate LMs on the likelihood of held-out data (averaged to normalize for length)

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{1}, \ldots, w_{i-1}\right)
$$

- Perplexity: $\exp ($ average negative log likelihood). Lower is better
- Suppose we have probs $1 / 4,1 / 3,1 / 4,1 / 3$ for 4 predictions
- Avg NLL (base e) = 1.242 Perplexity $=3.464$ <== geometric mean of denominators


## Preview: Pre-training and BERT

- Transformers are usually large and you don't want to train them for each new task

Train on language modeling... movie was great

the movie was great
then "fine-tune" that model on your target task with a new classification layer


## Transformer Extensions

## Scaling Laws



Figure 1 Language modeling performance improves smoothly as we increase the model size, datasetset size, and amount of compute ${ }^{2}$ used for training. For optimal performance all three factors must be scaled up in tandem. Empirical performance has a power-law relationship with each individual factor when not bottlenecked by the other two.

- Transformers scale really well!


## Transformer Runtime

- Even though most parameters and FLOPs are in feedforward layers, Transformers are still limited by quadratic complexity of selfattention
- Many ways proposed to handle this



## Performers



- No more len ${ }^{2}$ term, but we are fundamentally approximating the self-attention mechanism (cannot form $\mathbf{A}$ and take the softmax)

Choromanski et al. (2020)

## Longformer


(a) Full $n^{2}$ attention

(b) Sliding window attention

(c) Dilated sliding window

(d) Global+sliding window

Figure 2: Comparing the full self-attention pattern and the configuration of attention patterns in our Longformer.

- Use several pre-specified self-attention patterns that limit the number of operations while still allowing for attention over a reasonable set of things
- Scales to 4096-length sequences


## Longformer



- Loop = non-vectorized version


Beltagy et al. (2021)

## Longformer




- Loop = non-vectorized version
- Note that memory of full SA blows up but runtime doesn't. Why?


## Frontiers

- Will come back later in the semester when we talk about efficiency in LLMs
- Engineering-based approaches like Flash Attention (which supports the "basic" Transformer) have superseded changing the Transformer model itself


## Vision and RL

- DALL-E 1: learns a discrete "codebook" and treats an image as a sequence of visual tokens which can be modeled autoregressively, then decoded back to an image
- Decision Transformer: does reinforcement learning by Transformerbased modeling over a series of actions
- Transformers are now being used all over AI


## Takeaways

- Transformers are going to be the foundation for the much of the rest of this class and are a ubiquitous architecture nowadays
- Many details to get right, many ways to tweak and extend them, but core idea is the multi-head self attention and their ability to contextualize items in sequences

