Classical LU Decomposition in ACL2

Carl Kwan

The University of Texas at Austin

2023 November 13

Carl Kwan (UT Austin)

Classical LU Decomposition in ACL2

2023-11-13 1 / 10

Introduction

LU decomposition:

- Factor a matrix into Lower and Upper triangular parts
- Fundamental in scientific computing, solves linear systems, many other applications



Motivation:

- Numerical linear algebra used in numerous critical applications
- Very few verification efforts for matrix algorithms, many possible reasons, one major reason is indexing

Today:

- ► First theorem prover verification of LU decomposition (to our knowledge)
- ACL2 approach to formalization modifies a systematic approach to deriving matrix algorithms via partitioning, can be applied to other classes of algorithms

Carl Kwan (UT Austin)

Indexing Examples

Typical examples of matrix algorithms in literature:

Algorithm 1 C := C + AB (Golub)for i = 1 : m dofor j = 1 : n dofor k = 1 : r doC(i,j) = C(i,j) + A(i,k)B(k,j)

Above is not too bad to think about, but what about below?

Algorithm	2 A =	LU	(Stewart)
-----------	--------------	----	-----------

for k = 1 : n - 1 do if A[k,k] = 0 then Error A[k+1:n,k] = A[k+1:n,k]/A[k,k]A[k+1:n,k+1:n] = A[k+1:n,k+1:n] - A[k+1:n,k]A[k,k+1:n]

Implement? Sure. But what about verification? In ACL2?

Carl Kwan (UT Austin)

LU Decomposition

An LU decomposition factors a matrix A into an upper triangular matrix U and a unit lower triangular matrix L



Want: Os above diagonal of L, 1s on diagonal of L, Os below diagonal of U

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & a_{12}^{\mathsf{T}} \\ \hline a_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} = A = LU = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & | \\ \hline \ell_{21} & | \\ L_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \upsilon_{11} & u_{12}^{\mathsf{T}} \\ \hline & | \\ U_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\alpha_{11} = \upsilon_{11}$$
, $a_{21} = \upsilon_{11}\ell_{21}$, $a_{12}^T = u_{12}^T$, $A_{22} = \ell_{21}u_{21}^T + L_{22}U_{22}$.
This forces

$$\ell_{21} = a_{21}\alpha_{11}^{-1} , \qquad \qquad L_{22}U_{22} = A_{22} - a_{21}\alpha_{11}^{-1}a_{12}^{T} .$$

Reduce LU for A to LU for a smaller matrix $A_{22} - a_{21}\alpha_{11}^{-1}a_{12}^{T}$. Formalize this.

Carl Kwan (UT Austin)

Classical LU Decomposition in ACL2

LU Decomposition in ACL2

Algorithm 3 LU decomposition (recursive)

procedure LU($A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$) Partition $A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & a'_{12} \\ a_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ if m = 0 or n = 0 then return () else if n = 1 then return $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} \\ \alpha_{21} \\ \alpha_{11} \end{pmatrix}$ else if m = 1 then return A else $a_{21} \coloneqq a_{21} \alpha_{11}^{-1}$ $A_{22} := A_{22} - a_{21}a_{12}^T$ return $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & a'_{12} \\ a_{21} & UU(A_{22}) \end{pmatrix}$







Conditions for Success

Textbook condition for success: Leading principal submatrices of order 1, ..., n-1 must be nonsingular

Proof (sketch):
Let
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & a_{12}^T \\ a_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$
 and look at $S := A_{22} - a_{21}\alpha_{11}^{-1}a_{12}^T$
Note if α_{11} nonsingular, then A is nonsingular iff S is nonsingular. Induct.



Upshot: If A is $n \times n$, then S is $(n-1) \times (n-1)$. Reduce the condition for a matrix to be LU decomposable into the same condition for a smaller matrix.

ACL2 approach: Write a function that checks $\alpha_{11} \neq 0$ and recurse on S – this recognizes nonsingular leading principal submatrices. ACL2 automatically inducts according to a scheme suggested by this function and proves LU correctness without any user-provided hints. Induction step is satisfied by the formal derivation.

Carl Kwan (UT Austin)

Conditions for Success

$Program \ 1$ ACL2 recognizer for matrices with nonsingular leading principal submatrices and ACL2 theorem for LU correctness

```
(define nonsingular-leading-principal-submatrices-p ((A matrixp))
:measure (and (row-count A) (col-count A))
(b* (((unless (matrixp A)) nil)
      ((if (m-emptyp A)) t)
      (alph (car (col-car A)))
      ((if (zerop alph)) nil)
      ((if (or (m-emptyp (row-cdr A))
              (m-emptyp (col-cdr A))))
      t)
      ;; Compute S = A22 - out-*(a21/alph,a12)
      (a21
              (col-car (row-cdr A)))
      (a12
            (row-car (col-cdr A)))
      (A22
             (col-cdr (row-cdr A)))
      (a21/a (sv* (/ alph) a21))
               (m + A22 (sm * -1 (out - * a21/a a12)))))
      (5
     (nonsingular-leading-principal-submatrices-p S))
111
(defthm lu-correctness
 (b* ((LU (lu A))
      (L (get-L LU))
      (U (get-U LU)))
      (implies (and (equal (col-count A) (row-count A))
                    (nonsingular-leading-principal-submatrices-p A))
               (equal (m* L U) A)))))
```

Conclusion

- Casting linear algebra algorithms in terms of partitioned matrices makes verification easier
- ▶ Partition, prove the derivation, define the recognizer, and ACL2 handles the rest
- Works for other algorithms, e.g. Cholesky verified
- QR next LU, Cholesky, and QR form the "three amigos"

Program 2 LU correctness

Thank you!

References

- Robert van de Geijn & Margaret Myers (2023): Advanced Linear Algebra: Foundations to Frontiers. Available at https: //www.cs.utexas.edu/users/flame/laff/alaff/frontmatter.html.
- 2. Gene H. Golub & Charles F. Van Loan (2013): Matrix Computations 4th Edition. Johns Hopkins University Press, Philadelphia, PA, doi:10.56021/9781421407944.
- 3. G. W. Stewart (1998): Matrix Algorithms. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, doi:10.1137/1.9781611971408.
- 4. Joe Hendrix (2003): Matrices in ACL2. Available at https://www.cs.utexas. edu/users/moore/acl2/workshop-2003/contrib/hendrix/hendrix.pdf.