CS 346: Introduction to Cryptography

Basic Probability Fact Sheet

Instructor: David Wu

Basic Definitions

- A finite probability space (Ω, p) consists of a finite set $\Omega = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$ and a probability mass function $p: \Omega \to [0,1]$ such that $\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} p(\omega) = 1$. We refer to Ω as the sample space and ω_i as a possible outcome of a probabilistic event. Throughout this handout, we will only consider finite probability spaces.
- An event E over a probability space (Ω, p) is a set $A \subseteq \Omega$. The probability of event E, denoted Pr[E] is defined to be $Pr[E] := \sum_{\omega \in E} p(\omega)$. For an outcome $\omega \in \Omega$, we will write $Pr[\omega]$ to denote $p(\omega)$.
- A random variable X over a probability space (Ω, p) is a real-valued function $X: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$. For the remainder of this handout, we will assume all random variables are defined over a probability space $(\Omega, p).$

Expected Value and Variance

• The expected value $\mathbb{E}[X]$ of a random variable X is defined to be

$$
\mathbb{E}[X] \coloneqq \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} X(\omega) \Pr[\omega].
$$

• Linearity of expectation: For all random variables X, Y and all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\mathbb{E}[\alpha X + \beta Y] = \alpha \mathbb{E}[X] + \beta \mathbb{E}[Y].
$$

• The *variance* $Var(X)$ of a random variable X is defined to be

$$
\text{Var}(X) \coloneqq \mathbb{E}\left[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2 \right] = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - E[X]^2
$$

Useful Bounds

• Union bound: For every collection of events E_1, \ldots, E_n ,

$$
\Pr\left[\bigcup_{i\in[n]}E_i\right]\leq \sum_{i\in[n]}\Pr[E_i].
$$

• Markov's inequality: Let X be a non-negative random variable. For all $t > 0$,

$$
\Pr[X \ge t] \le \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{t}.
$$

• Chebyshev's inequality: Let X be a random variable. For all $t > 0$,

$$
\Pr[|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| \ge t] \le \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{t^2}.
$$

• Chernoff bounds: Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be independent binary-valued random variables (i.e., the value of X_i is either 0 or 1). Let $X = \sum_{i \in [n]} X_i$ and $\mu = \mathbb{E}[X]$. Then, for every $t > 0$,

$$
\Pr[X \ge (1+t)\,\mu] \le \left[\frac{e^t}{(1+t)^{1+t}}\right]^\mu \qquad \Pr[X \le (1-t)\,\mu] \le \left[\frac{e^{-t}}{(1-t)^{1-t}}\right]^\mu.
$$

Often, the following simpler (and looser) bounds suffice:

$$
\forall 0 \le t \le 1, \quad \Pr[X \le (1 - t)\mu] \le e^{-\frac{t^2 \mu}{2}}
$$

$$
\forall 0 \le t, \quad \Pr[X \ge (1 + t)\mu] \le e^{-\frac{t^2 \mu}{2 + t}}.
$$

Another useful variant (by Hoeffding) gives a bound on the sum of any sequence of bounded random variables. Specifically, let X_1, \ldots, X_n be independent random variables where each $X_i \in [a_i, b_i]$ for $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}$. As before let $X = \sum_{i \in [n]} X_i$ and let $\mu = \mathbb{E}[X]$. Then, for all $t > 0$,

$$
\Pr\left[|X - \mu| \ge t\right] \le 2 \exp\left(-\frac{2t^2}{\sum_{i \in [n]} (b_i - a_i)^2}\right)
$$

.

.

For the special case where $X_i \in [0, 1]$ for all $i \in [n]$, the bound becomes

$$
\Pr\left[|X - \mu| \ge t\right] \le 2e^{-2t^2/n}
$$

Example 1. Suppose X_1, \ldots, X_N are independent binary-valued random variables where $Pr[X_i = 1] = \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$. Let $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{N}$ $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in [N]} X_i$. If $N = \lambda / \varepsilon^2$, then

$$
Pr[\bar{X} \ge 1/2 + \varepsilon/2] \ge 1 - negl(\lambda).
$$

This follows by a direct application of the Chernoff/Hoeffding bound:

$$
\Pr\left[\bar{X} < \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right] = \Pr\left[\sum_{i \in [N]} X_i - N\left(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon\right) < -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} N\right] \le 2e^{-\varepsilon^2 N^2 / 2N} = 2e^{-\lambda/2} = \text{negl}(\lambda).
$$

Averaging Argument

The basic averaging argument states that if $X_1, \ldots, X_n \in \mathbb{R}$ are values with mean $\mu = \frac{1}{n}$ $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in [n]} X_i$, then there exists at least one $i \in [n]$ where $X_i \ge \mu$. There are several variants of this fact that often come in handy:

Lemma 1. Let $X_1, \ldots, X_n \in [0,1]$ whose average is μ . Then at least an ε -fraction of the X_i 's are at least p where $\varepsilon = \frac{\mu - p}{1 - p}$ $\frac{\mu-p}{1-p}$.

Proof. Let *t* be the fraction of X_i 's where $X_i \geq p$. Then, $\mu < (1-t)p+t = p+(1-p)t$, so $t > (\mu-p)/(1-p)$. □

We state two immediate corollaries of Lemma [1](#page-1-0) that are often useful:

Corollary 2. If $X_1, \ldots, X_n \in [0,1]$ whose average is μ , then at least a $(\mu/2)$ -fraction of the X_i 's are at least $\mu/2$.

Corollary 3. Let $X_1, \ldots, X_n \in [0,1]$ whose average is $\mu = p + \varepsilon$. Then, at least an $\frac{\varepsilon}{2(1-p-\varepsilon/2)} > \frac{\varepsilon}{2(1-p)}$ fraction of the X_i 's are at least $p + \varepsilon/2$.

Example 2. Let f be a function. Suppose we have an algorithm \mathcal{A} where

$$
Pr[x \stackrel{R}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^n, y \stackrel{R}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^n : \mathcal{A}(x,y) = f(x)] = \frac{11}{12}.
$$

We say a string $y^* \in \{0, 1\}^n$ is "good" if

$$
\Pr[x \stackrel{\text{R}}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^n : \mathcal{A}(x, y^*) = f(x)] \ge \frac{3}{4}.
$$

By an averaging argument (Lemma [1\)](#page-1-0), at least a 2/3-fraction of y's are good (i.e., set $\mu = 11/12$ and $p = 3/4$). Namely,

$$
\Pr\left[y \stackrel{\text{R}}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^n : \Pr\{x \stackrel{\text{R}}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^n : \mathcal{A}(x,y) = f(x)\} \ge 3/4\right] \ge 2/3.
$$

Example 3. Let f be a function. Suppose we have an algorithm \mathcal{A} where

$$
\Pr[x \stackrel{R}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^n, y \stackrel{R}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^n : \mathcal{A}(x,y) = f(x)] = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon.
$$

We say that a string $y^* \in \{0, 1\}^n$ is "good" if

$$
\Pr[x \stackrel{\text{R}}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^n : \mathcal{A}(x, y^*) = f(x)] \ge \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.
$$

By an averaging argument (Corollary [3\)](#page-2-0), at least an ε -fraction of y 's are good. Namely,

$$
\Pr\left[y \stackrel{\mathbb{R}}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^n : \Pr\left[x \stackrel{\mathbb{R}}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^n : \mathcal{A}(x,y) = f(x)\right] \ge 1/2 + \varepsilon/2\right] \ge \varepsilon.
$$