

Communication efficient sequences of rotations

BLIS retreat 2024

Thijs Steel, Julien Langou KU Leuven September 2024

0 Outline

1 Introduction

2 Optimizing rotation sequences



1 Outline

1 Introduction

Optimizing rotation sequences



1 Why Rotation sequences?

Algorithms the use rotation sequences

- implicit QR (symmetric)
- implicit QR (svd)
- implicit QR (nonsymmetric)
- ► QZ
- Jacobi SVD
- Hessenberg-triangular reduction



Rotation sequence

Given an $m \times n$ matrix A and two $n - 1 \times k$ matrices C and S containing the cosines and sines of rotations. Apply each rotation (i, j) to columns i and i + 1 of A, respecting the order: $(i, j) \rightarrow (i + 1, j)$ and $(i, j) \rightarrow (i - 1, j + 1)$.

Pseudocode

1 for $p = 0, \ldots, k - 1$:

2 for
$$j = 0, ..., n - 1$$
:

3 for
$$i = 0, ..., m - 1$$
:

4

$$A(i, j: j+1) = A(i, j: j+1) * G(i, j)$$









































Rotation sequence variants

- Apply rotations in reverse order
- Apply rotations to rows instead of columns
- Account for trapezoidal structure in C and S
- Apply (small) reflections instead of rotations

2 Outline

1 Introduction

2 Optimizing rotation sequences



2 Accumulating rotations Braman et al. (2002)

Algorithm

- 1 Accumulate $k \times k$ rotations into $2k \times 2k$ orthogonal matrix.
- 2 Apply $2k \times 2k$ orthogonal matrix to A using optimized BLAS.

Cost

- 1 Normal rotations: $6mk^2$ flops
- 2 Accumulate + GEMM + TRMM $\approx 3k^3 + 6mk^2$ flops
- 3 If $k \ll m$, most flops are in GEMM and TRMM.



- 2 Fusing rotationsKågström et al. (2008)
 - Apply multiple rotations in one loop.
 - Reuse values in register \rightarrow less memory operations.

1 For
$$i = 1, ..., m$$
:
2 $\begin{bmatrix} x_i \\ y_i \end{bmatrix} = G_1 * \begin{bmatrix} x_i \\ y_i \end{bmatrix}$
3 For $i = 1, ..., m$:
4 $\begin{bmatrix} y_i \\ z_i \end{bmatrix} = G_2 * \begin{bmatrix} y_i \\ z_i \end{bmatrix}$

1 For
$$i = 1, \dots, m$$
:
2 $\begin{bmatrix} x_i \\ y_i \end{bmatrix} = G_1 * \begin{bmatrix} x_i \\ y_i \end{bmatrix}$
3 $\begin{bmatrix} y_i \\ z_i \end{bmatrix} = G_2 * \begin{bmatrix} y_i \\ z_i \end{bmatrix}$



Cache efficiency

- > Normal pattern: access n columns of A before reusing.
- ▶ Wavefront pattern: access k columns of A before reusing.
- Higher likelihood of cache hits.

$g_{1,1}$	$g_{1,2}$	$g_{1,3}$
$g_{2,1}$	$g_{2,2}$	$g_{2,3}$
$g_{3,1}$	$g_{3,2}$	$g_{3,3}$
$g_{4,1}$	$g_{4,2}$	$g_{4,3}$
$g_{5,1}$	$g_{5,2}$	$g_{5,3}$
$g_{6,1}$	$g_{6,2}$	$g_{6,3}$

Cache efficiency

- > Normal pattern: access n columns of A before reusing.
- ▶ Wavefront pattern: access k columns of A before reusing.
- Higher likelihood of cache hits.

$\mathbf{g}_{1,1}$	$g_{1,2}$	$g_{1,3}$
$g_{2,1}$	$g_{2,2}$	$g_{2,3}$
$g_{3,1}$	$g_{3,2}$	$g_{3,3}$
$g_{4,1}$	$g_{4,2}$	$g_{4,3}$
$g_{5,1}$	$g_{5,2}$	$g_{5,3}$
$g_{6,1}$	$g_{6,2}$	$g_{6,3}$

Cache efficiency

- > Normal pattern: access n columns of A before reusing.
- ▶ Wavefront pattern: access k columns of A before reusing.
- Higher likelihood of cache hits.

$g_{1,1}$	$\mathbf{g_{1,2}}$	$g_{1,3}$
$\mathbf{g_{2,1}}$	$g_{2,2}$	$g_{2,3}$
$g_{3,1}$	$g_{3,2}$	$g_{3,3}$
$g_{4,1}$	$g_{4,2}$	$g_{4,3}$
$g_{5,1}$	$g_{5,2}$	$g_{5,3}$
$g_{6,1}$	$g_{6,2}$	$g_{6,3}$

Cache efficiency

- > Normal pattern: access n columns of A before reusing.
- ▶ Wavefront pattern: access k columns of A before reusing.
- Higher likelihood of cache hits.

$g_{1,1}$	$g_{1,2}$	g _{1,3}
$g_{2,1}$	$\mathbf{g_{2,2}}$	$g_{2,3}$
$\mathbf{g}_{3,1}$	$g_{3,2}$	$g_{3,3}$
$g_{4,1}$	$g_{4,2}$	$g_{4,3}$
$g_{5,1}$	$g_{5,2}$	$g_{5,3}$
$g_{6,1}$	$g_{6,2}$	$g_{6,3}$

Cache efficiency

- > Normal pattern: access n columns of A before reusing.
- ▶ Wavefront pattern: access k columns of A before reusing.
- Higher likelihood of cache hits.

$g_{1,1}$	$g_{1,2}$	$g_{1,3}$
$g_{2,1}$	$g_{2,2}$	g _{2,3}
$g_{3,1}$	$\mathbf{g}_{3,2}$	$g_{3,3}$
$\mathbf{g}_{4,1}$	$g_{4,2}$	$g_{4,3}$
$g_{5,1}$	$g_{5,2}$	$g_{5,3}$
$g_{6,1}$	$g_{6,2}$	$g_{6,3}$

Cache efficiency

- > Normal pattern: access n columns of A before reusing.
- ▶ Wavefront pattern: access k columns of A before reusing.
- Higher likelihood of cache hits.

$g_{1,1}$	$g_{1,2}$	$g_{1,3}$
$g_{2,1}$	$g_{2,2}$	$g_{2,3}$
$g_{3,1}$	$g_{3,2}$	g 3,3
$g_{4,1}$	$\mathbf{g}_{4,2}$	$g_{4,3}$
$\mathbf{g}_{5,1}$	$g_{5,2}$	$g_{5,3}$
$g_{6,1}$	$g_{6,2}$	$g_{6,3}$

Cache efficiency

- > Normal pattern: access n columns of A before reusing.
- ▶ Wavefront pattern: access k columns of A before reusing.
- Higher likelihood of cache hits.

$g_{1,1}$	$g_{1,2}$	$g_{1,3}$
$g_{2,1}$	$g_{2,2}$	$g_{2,3}$
$g_{3,1}$	$g_{3,2}$	$g_{3,3}$
$g_{4,1}$	$g_{4,2}$	g 4,3
$g_{5,1}$	$\mathbf{g}_{5,2}$	$g_{5,3}$
g 6,1	$g_{6,2}$	$g_{6,3}$

Cache efficiency

- > Normal pattern: access n columns of A before reusing.
- ▶ Wavefront pattern: access k columns of A before reusing.
- Higher likelihood of cache hits.

$g_{6,1}$	$\mathbf{g}_{6,2}$	$g_{6,3}$
$g_{5,1}$	$g_{5,2}$	$\mathbf{g}_{5,3}$
$g_{4,1}$	$g_{4,2}$	$g_{4,3}$
$g_{3,1}$	$g_{3,2}$	$g_{3,3}$
$g_{2,1}$	$g_{2,2}$	$g_{2,3}$
$g_{1,1}$	$g_{1,2}$	$g_{1,3}$

Cache efficiency

- > Normal pattern: access n columns of A before reusing.
- ▶ Wavefront pattern: access k columns of A before reusing.
- Higher likelihood of cache hits.

$g_{6,1}$	$g_{6,2}$	$\mathbf{g}_{6,3}$
$g_{5,1}$	$g_{5,2}$	$g_{5,3}$
$g_{4,1}$	$g_{4,2}$	$g_{4,3}$
$g_{3,1}$	$g_{3,2}$	$g_{3,3}$
$g_{2,1}$	$g_{2,2}$	$g_{2,3}$
$g_{1,1}$	$g_{1,2}$	$g_{1,3}$

2 Blocking

- Split into $m_b \times n_b \times k_b$ blocks.
- Each block fits in cache.
- Overlap between blocks \rightarrow reuse.



2 Rotations are limited to 75% peak

- Rotation: 4n multiplications and 2n additions.
- Can't always use FMA instructions.







2 Reuse accross loop iterations

Normal rotation

1 for
$$j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$$

2 Load
$$c[j]$$
 and $s[j]$ into registers

3 for
$$i = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$$

4 load
$$A(i, j)$$
 and $A(i, j + 1)$ into registers

5 apply rotation to
$$A(i, j)$$
 and $A(i, j+1)$

6 store
$$A(i,j)$$
 and $A(i,j+1)$

c[j] and s[j] are reused.



2 Reuse accross loop iterations

Vectorization $\begin{bmatrix} C(j,p) \\ C(j,p) \\ C(j,p) \\ C(j,p) \\ C(j,p) \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} A(i,j) \\ A(i+1,j) \\ A(i+2,j) \\ A(i+3,j) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} S(j,p) \\ S(j,p) \\ S(j,p) \\ S(j,p) \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} A(i,j+1) \\ A(i+1,j+1) \\ A(i+2,j+1) \\ A(i+3,j+1) \end{bmatrix}$ • *c* and *s* are broadcast.

Reusing A(i, j) instead of c and s leads to much more reuse.

2 Reuse accross loop iterations

Shifting kernel

1 for
$$i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$$

2 load
$$A(i,0)$$
 into registers

3 for
$$j = 0, 1, ..., n - 1$$

4 Load
$$A(i, j + 1)$$
, $c[j]$ and $s[j]$ into registers

5 apply rotation to
$$A(i, j)$$
 and $A(i, j+1)$

6 store
$$A(i,j)$$

7 store
$$A(i, n-1)$$

 ${\cal A}(i,j)$ is reused.

2 Combined loop reuse with fused rotations

Full kernel

- \blacktriangleright m_r rows of A.
- fuse waves of k_r rotations.
- shuffle to apply n_b of these waves.
- 16 AVX registers $\rightarrow m_r = 8$ and $k_r = 5$.

Memops

- **•** No reuse: 6mnk memops
- ▶ 2×2 fusing + reuse c and s: 2mnk memops
- 8 × 5 shuffling kernel: 0.65mnk memops (arithmetic intensity of 9.23!!!)

2 Packing

- blocking and shuffling lead to more reuse, but access is strided.
- Solution: pack matrix into packed format.
- In many algorithms, we can keep the matrix in packed format.





2 Parallelization

- Application of rotations to different rows of A is independent, fully parallelizable.
- Other loops are difficult to parallelize.



•
$$k = 180$$
, varying $n, m = n$

- 2 Xeon Gold E5-2650 V2, 2.6 GHz
- Test different kernels











2 Xeon Gold E5-2650 V2, 2.6 GHz



2 Conclusion

Results

- Shifting kernel leads to better register reuse
- Blocking, with tuning of block size for multiple cache levels leads to better cache reuse
- ▶ Full algorithm can achieve 75% of peak performance

Future work

- Optimize reflector sequences
- Use rotation sequences in QR, QZ, SVD, …



3 References I

- Braman, K., Byers, R., and Mathias, R. (2002). The multishift qr algorithm. part i: Maintaining well-focused shifts and level 3 performance. SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications, 23(4):929–947.
- Kågström, B., Kressner, D., Quintana-Ortí, E., and Quintana-Orti, G. (2008). Blocked algorithms for the reduction to Hessenberg-triangular form revisited. *BIT Numerical Mathematics*, 48:563–584.
- Van Zee, F. G., Van de Geijn, R. A., and Quintana-Orti, G. (2014). Restructuring the tridiagonal and bidiagonal QR algorithms for performance. ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software (TOMS), 40(3):1–34.