Systems I

Optimizing for the Memory Hierarchy

Topics

- Impact of caches on performance
- Memory hierarchy considerations

Cache Performance Metrics

Miss Rate

- Fraction of memory references not found in cache (misses/references)
- Typical numbers:
  - 3-10% for L1
  - can be quite small (e.g., < 1%) for L2, depending on size, etc.

Hit Time

- Time to deliver a line in the cache to the processor (includes time to determine whether the line is in the cache)
- Typical numbers:
  - 1-3 clock cycle for L1
  - 5-12 clock cycles for L2

Miss Penalty

- Additional time required because of a miss
  - Typically 100-300 cycles for main memory

Writing Cache Friendly Code

Repeated references to variables are good (temporal locality)

Stride-1 reference patterns are good (spatial locality)

Examples:

- cold cache, 4-byte words, 4-word cache blocks

```
int sumarrayrows(int a[M][N])
{
    int i, j, sum = 0;

    for (i = 0; i < M; i++)
        for (j = 0; j < N; j++)
            sum += a[i][j];
    return sum;
}
```

Miss rate = 1/4 = 25%

```
int sumarraycols(int a[M][N])
{
    int i, j, sum = 0;

    for (j = 0; j < N; j++)
        for (i = 0; i < M; i++)
            sum += a[i][j];
    return sum;
}
```

Miss rate = 100%

The Memory Mountain

Empirical studies of memory system behavior

Read throughput (read bandwidth)

- Number of bytes read from memory per second (MB/s)

Memory mountain

- Measured read throughput as a function of spatial and temporal locality.
- Compact way to characterize memory system performance.

Memory Mountain Test Function

```
/* The test function */
void test(int elems, int stride) {
    int i, result = 0;
    volatile int sink;

    for (i = 0; i < elems; i += stride)
        result += data[i];
    sink = result; /* So compiler doesn't optimize away the loop */
}

/* Run test(elems, stride) and return read throughput (MB/s) */
double run(int size, int stride, double Mhz)
{
    double cycles;
    int elems = size / sizeof(int);

    test(elems, stride);                     /* warm up the cache */
    cycles = fcyc2(test, elems, stride, 0);  /* call test(elems,stride) */
    return (size / stride) / (cycles / Mhz); /* convert cycles to MB/s */
}
```

Memory Mountain Main Routine

```
/* mountain.c - Generate the memory mountain. */
#define MINBYTES (1 << 10)   /* Working set size ranges from 1 KB */
#define MAXBYTES (1 << 23)   /* ... up to 8 MB */
#define MAXSTRIDE 16         /* Strides range from 1 to 16 */
#define MAXELEMS MAXBYTES/sizeof(int)

int data[MAXELEMS];          /* The array we'll be traversing */

int main()
{
    int size;          /* Working set size (in bytes) */
    int stride;        /* Stride (in array elements) */
    double Mhz;        /* Clock frequency */

    init_data(data, MAXELEMS); /* Initialize each element in data to 1 */
    Mhz = mhz(0);              /* Estimate the clock frequency */
    for (size = MAXBYTES; size >= MINBYTES; size >>= 1) {
        for (stride = 1; stride <= MAXSTRIDE; stride++)
            printf("%.1f\t", run(size, stride, Mhz));
        printf("\n");
    }
    exit(0);
}
```

The Memory Mountain



Pentium III Xeon
550 MHz
16 KB on-chip L1 d-cache
16 KB on-chip L1 i-cache
512 KB off-chip unified
L2 cache

Ridges of Temporal Locality

Slice through the memory mountain with stride=1

- illuminates read throughputs of different caches and memory



A Slope of Spatial Locality

Slice through memory mountain with size=256KB

- shows cache block size.



Matrix Multiplication Example

Major Cache Effects to Consider

- Total cache size
  - Exploit temporal locality and keep the working set small (e.g., by using blocking)
- Block size
  - Exploit spatial locality

```
/* ijk */
for (i=0; i<n; i++)  {
  for (j=0; j<n; j++) {
    sum = 0.0;
    for (k=0; k<n; k++)
      sum += a[i][k] * b[k][j];
    c[i][j] = sum;
  }
}
```

Variable `sum` *held in register*

Description:

- Multiply N x N matrices
- $O(N^3)$ total operations
- Accesses
  - N reads per source element
  - N values summed per destination
    - » but may be able to hold in register

Miss Rate Analysis for Matrix Multiply

Assume:

- Line size = 32B (big enough for 4 64-bit words)
- Matrix dimension (N) is very large
  - Approximate 1/N as 0.0
- Cache is not even big enough to hold multiple rows

Analysis Method:

- Look at access pattern of inner loop



Layout of C Arrays in Memory (review)

C arrays allocated in row-major order

- each row in contiguous memory locations

Stepping through columns in one row:

- ```
  for (i = 0; i < N; i++)
    sum += a[0][i];
  ```
- accesses successive elements
- if block size (B) > 4 bytes, exploit spatial locality
  - compulsory miss rate = 4 bytes / B

Stepping through rows in one column:

- ```
  for (i = 0; i < n; i++)
    sum += a[i][0];
  ```
- accesses distant elements
- no spatial locality!
  - compulsory miss rate = 1 (i.e. 100%)

Matrix Multiplication (ijk)

```
/* ijk */
for (i=0; i<n; i++)  {
  for (j=0; j<n; j++) {
    sum = 0.0;
    for (k=0; k<n; k++)
      sum += a[i][k] * b[k][j];
    c[i][j] = sum;
  }
}
```

Inner loop:



Misses per Inner Loop Iteration:

A	B	C
0.25	1.0	0.0

Matrix Multiplication (jik)

```
/* jik */
for (j=0; j<n; j++) {
  for (i=0; i<n; i++) {
    sum = 0.0;
    for (k=0; k<n; k++)
      sum += a[i][k] * b[k][j];
    c[i][j] = sum
  }
}
```

Inner loop:



Misses per Inner Loop Iteration:

A	B	C
0.25	1.0	0.0

Matrix Multiplication (kij)

```
/* kij */
for (k=0; k<n; k++) {
  for (i=0; i<n; i++) {
    r = a[i][k];
    for (j=0; j<n; j++)
      c[i][j] += r * b[k][j];
  }
}
```



Misses per Inner Loop Iteration:

A	B	C
0.0	0.25	0.25

Matrix Multiplication (ikj)

```
/* ikj */
for (i=0; i<n; i++) {
  for (k=0; k<n; k++) {
    r = a[i][k];
    for (j=0; j<n; j++)
      c[i][j] += r * b[k][j];
  }
}
```



Misses per Inner Loop Iteration:

A	B	C
0.0	0.25	0.25

Matrix Multiplication (jki)

```
/* jki */
for (j=0; j<n; j++) {
  for (k=0; k<n; k++) {
    r = b[k][j];
    for (i=0; i<n; i++)
      c[i][j] += a[i][k] * r;
  }
}
```



Misses per Inner Loop Iteration:

A	B	C
1.0	0.0	1.0

Matrix Multiplication (kji)

```
/* kji */
for (k=0; k<n; k++) {
  for (j=0; j<n; j++) {
    r = b[k][j];
    for (i=0; i<n; i++)
      c[i][j] += a[i][k] * r;
  }
}
```



Misses per Inner Loop Iteration:

A	B	C
1.0	0.0	1.0

Summary of Matrix Multiplication

ijk (& jik):

- 2 loads, 0 stores
- misses/iter = **1.25**

kij (& ikj):

- 2 loads, 1 store
- misses/iter = **0.5**

jki (& kji):

- 2 loads, 1 store
- misses/iter = **2.0**

```
for (i=0; i<n; i++)  {
   for (j=0; j<n; j++) {
      sum = 0.0;
      for (k=0; k<n; k++)
         sum += a[i][k] * b[k][j];
      c[i][j] = sum;
   }
}
```

```
for (k=0; k<n; k++) {
   for (i=0; i<n; i++) {
      r = a[i][k];
      for (j=0; j<n; j++)
         c[i][j] += r * b[k][j];
   }
}
```

```
for (j=0; j<n; j++) {
   for (k=0; k<n; k++) {
      r = b[k][j];
      for (i=0; i<n; i++)
         c[i][j] += a[i][k] * r;
   }
}
```

Pentium Matrix Multiply Performance

Miss rates are helpful but not perfect predictors.

- Code scheduling matters, too.



Improving Temporal Locality by Blocking

Example: Blocked matrix multiplication

- "block" (in this context) does not mean "cache block".
- Instead, it mean a sub-block within the matrix.
- Example: N = 8; sub-block size = 4

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \text{X} \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

<u>Key idea:</u> Sub-blocks (i.e., $\mathbf{A_{xy}}$) can be treated just like scalars.

$$C_{11} = A_{11}B_{11} + A_{12}B_{21} \qquad C_{12} = A_{11}B_{12} + A_{12}B_{22}$$

$$C_{21} = A_{21}B_{11} + A_{22}B_{21} \qquad C_{22} = A_{21}B_{12} + A_{22}B_{22}$$

Blocked Matrix Multiply (bijk)

```
for (jj=0; jj<n; jj+=bsize) {
  for (i=0; i<n; i++)
    for (j=jj; j < min(jj+bsize,n); j++)
      c[i][j] = 0.0;
  for (kk=0; kk<n; kk+=bsize) {
    for (i=0; i<n; i++) {
      for (j=jj; j < min(jj+bsize,n); j++) {
        sum = 0.0
        for (k=kk; k < min(kk+bsize,n); k++) {
          sum += a[i][k] * b[k][j];
        }
        c[i][j] += sum;
      }
    }
  }
}
```

Blocked Matrix Multiply Analysis

- Innermost loop pair multiplies a *1 X bsize* sliver of *A* by a *bsize X bsize* block of *B* and accumulates into *1 X bsize* sliver of *C*
- Loop over *i* steps through *n* row slivers of *A* & *C*, using same *B*

```
for (i=0; i<n; i++) {
    for (j=jj; j < min(jj+bsize,n); j++) {
      sum = 0.0
      for (k=kk; k < min(kk+bsize,n); k++) {
        sum += a[i][k] * b[k][j];
      }
      c[i][j] += sum;
    }
```

Innermost Loop Pair



Pentium Blocked Matrix Multiply Performance

Blocking (bijk and bikj) improves performance by a factor of two over unblocked versions (ijk and jik)

- relatively insensitive to array size.



Concluding Observations

Programmer can optimize for cache performance

- How data structures are organized
- How data are accessed
  - Nested loop structure
  - Blocking is a general technique

All systems favor "cache friendly code"

- Getting absolute optimum performance is very platform specific
  - Cache sizes, line sizes, associativities, etc.
- Can get most of the advantage with generic code
  - Keep working set reasonably small (temporal locality)
  - Use small strides (spatial locality)