# **ACL2 Best Practices**

Recursion & Induction Guest lecturer – Sol Swords (Arm Inc.) March 13, 2025

Available at: http://bit.ly/3XSGPMj

#### **Theory Management**

- ACL2 quickly gets unmanageable when used with a disorganized set of rules (includes rewrites, function definitions, etc.)
- Especially important to disable function definitions when you're not expecting to reason directly about them
  - $\circ$  defund
  - o (in-theory (disable my-fndef))
- When adding a theorem, think about how it will function as a rule—if not generally useful, disable it
  - $\circ$  defthmd
  - Or use :rule-classes nil to make it not a rule at all

## Useful pattern for defining new functions

(encapsulate nil

(defund my-function (x) ...)

(local (in-theory (enable my-function)))

(local (defthm local-lemma ...))

(defthm exported-generally-useful-theorem ...)

(defthmd exported-specifically-useful-theorem ...))

#### Abbreviation for the same pattern

(include-book "xdoc/top" :dir :system)

(defsection my-function ;; nicer to look at (defund my-function (x) ...) (local (in-theory (enable my-function))) (local (defthm local-lemma ...)) (defthm exported-generally-useful-theorem ...) (defthmd exported-specifically-useful-theorem ...))

## Abbreviation for the same pattern

(include-book "std/util/define" :dir :system)
(define my-function (x)
 ;; automatically disabled non-locally and enabled locally
 ... ;; function body
 ///
 (local (defthm local-lemma ...))
 (defthm exported-generally-useful-theorem ...)
 (defthmd exported-specifically-useful-theorem ...))

# Hints

- Changes to underlying definitions/rules cause hints to fail more often than a good rewriting theory
- Subgoal hints are particularly fragile
  - High probability that a tweak to a function definition will make it so something that happened on Subgoal \*1/2.3.5<sup>'''</sup> now instead happens at Subgoal \*1/3.3.4<sup>''</sup>
  - Instead use "Goal" hints and stable-under-simplificationp hints next slide
- A few generally useful classes of hints—others are for very specific, rare circumstances
  - :in-theory, :induct, :do-not-induct, :expand
  - :use (usually only at "Goal")
- A good alternative to fragile hint structures in many cases: prove a special-purpose, local rule just for your particular situation

#### **Reasonable use of hints**

## **Books**

- Lots of libraries are available in the community books—look around
  - Github code search
- Use include-book with :dir :system or relative paths, no absolute paths
- Writing a certifiable book:
  - First form must be (in-package ...)
  - Allowed forms: include-book, defun, defthm, defconst, defmacro, encapsulate, local, progn
  - Macros / make-events that expand to the above
- Use of local in books is good but watch out for local incompatibility

# Local incompatibility

(in-package "ACL2")
(local (defun my-local-function (x) ...))
(defthm my-exported-theorem
 ;; local incompatibility - my-local-function not defined here
 (true-listp (my-local-function x)))

## Another local incompatibility

```
(in-package "ACL2")
(local (include-book "ihs/logops-lemmas" :dir :system))
(defthm a-theorem
  ;; local incompatibility - loghead not defined here
     (natp (loghead n x)))
```

### **Build system for books**

- All books included must be certified before the book that includes them can be certified can be a big dependency graph
- acl2/books/build/cert.pl automatically generates dependencies and certifies books in the right order using make
- Parallel builds with j 8 (whatever your machine can manage) recommended—lots of parallelism available
- Dependency analysis is via line-by-line scan so broken lines can fool it

(include-book ;; don't do this unless you want to fool the build system
 "my-book")

#### **Rule-classes**

- :rewrite general purpose workhorse
  - Best all round try to make a good rewrite rule before considering another rule class for your theorem
- :linear linear arithmetic, probably what you want if your conclusion is one of <, >, <=, >=
  - Triggers on some linear subterm of the inequality e.g., in:
    - (< (+ (\* 2 (foo)) (- (\* 3 (bar)))) (\* 1/2 (baz)))
      - Might choose to trigger on (foo), (bar), or (baz) need to consider free variables
- :type-prescription proves something is in some subset of the built-in types such as symbol, string, cons, positive integer, non-integer rational, etc. see doc topic type-set
  - Best if no hypotheses only relieved by type reasoning, not full simplification.
  - E.g. if a function always returns a natural number, good to have a type-prescription rule
  - If it returns a natural number when its input is greater than 5, a type-prescription rule will
    probably only be useful when you have input > 5 as an explicit assumption or forward-chaining
    result

#### More rule classes

- : forward-chaining adds something to set of assumptions when some trigger term is assumed
  - Default trigger is first hypothesis
  - Hypotheses are only relieved by type reasoning/execution
  - Very special-purpose when abused, can uselessly slow down the prover a lot
- :compound-recognizer associates a user predicate with built-in types, see e.g. natp-compound-recognizer
- :equivalence, :congruence, :refinement for user-defined equivalence classes
- :definition alternative function definition, like rewrite but integrates with :expand hints and uses different heuristics for recursive expansion
- :meta, :clause-processor install custom simplifiers/proof routines