

CS311H: Discrete Mathematics

Mathematical Proof Techniques

Instructor: Işıl Dillig

Instructor: Işıl Dillig

CS311H: Discrete Mathematics Mathematical Proof Techniques

1/31

Introduction

- ▶ Formalizing statements in logic allows formal, machine-checkable proofs
- ▶ But these kinds of proofs can be very long and tedious
- ▶ In practice, humans write slightly less formal proofs, where multiple steps are combined into one
- ▶ We'll now move from formal proofs in logic to less formal mathematical proofs!

Instructor: Işıl Dillig

CS311H: Discrete Mathematics Mathematical Proof Techniques

2/31

Some Terminology

- ▶ Important mathematical statements that can be shown to be true are **theorems**
- ▶ Many famous mathematical theorems, e.g., Pythagorean theorem, Fermat's last theorem
- ▶ **Pythagorean theorem**: Let a, b be the length of the two sides of a right triangle, and let c be the hypotenuse. Then, $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$
- ▶ **Fermat's Last Theorem**: For any integer n greater than 2, the equation $a^n + b^n = c^n$ has no solutions for non-zero a, b, c .

Instructor: Işıl Dillig

CS311H: Discrete Mathematics Mathematical Proof Techniques

3/31

Theorems, Lemmas, and Propositions

- ▶ There are many correct mathematical statements, but not all of them are called theorems
- ▶ Less important statements that can be proven to be correct are **propositions**
- ▶ Another variation is a **lemma**: minor auxiliary result which aids in the proof of a theorem/proposition
- ▶ **Corollary** is a result whose proof follows immediately from a theorem or proposition

Instructor: Işıl Dillig

CS311H: Discrete Mathematics Mathematical Proof Techniques

4/31

Conjectures vs. Theorems

- ▶ **Conjecture** is a statement that is suspected to be true by experts but not yet proven
- ▶ **Goldbach's conjecture**: Every even integer greater than 2 can be expressed as the sum of two prime numbers.
- ▶ This conjecture is one of the oldest unsolved problems in number theory

Instructor: Işıl Dillig

CS311H: Discrete Mathematics Mathematical Proof Techniques

5/31

General Strategies for Proving Theorems

Many different strategies for proving theorems:

- ▶ **Direct proof**: $p \rightarrow q$ proved by directly showing that if p is true, then q must follow
- ▶ **Proof by contraposition**: Prove $p \rightarrow q$ by proving $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$
- ▶ **Proof by contradiction**: Prove that the negation of the theorem yields a contradiction
- ▶ **Proof by cases**: Exhaustively enumerate different possibilities, and prove the theorem for each case

In many proofs, one needs to combine several different strategies!

Instructor: Işıl Dillig

CS311H: Discrete Mathematics Mathematical Proof Techniques

6/31

Direct Proof

- ▶ To prove $p \rightarrow q$ in a direct proof, first assume p is true.
- ▶ Then use rules of inference, axioms, previously shown theorems/lemmas to show that q is also true
- ▶ **Example:** If n is an odd integer, then n^2 is also odd.
- ▶ **Proof:** Assume n is odd. By definition of oddness, there must exist some integer k such that $n = 2k + 1$. Then, $n^2 = 4k^2 + 4k + 1 = 2(2k^2 + 2k) + 1$, which is odd. Thus, if n is odd, n^2 is also odd. \square

More Direct Proof Examples

- ▶ An integer a is called a **perfect square** if there exists an integer b such that $a = b^2$.
- ▶ **Example:** Prove that every odd number is the difference of two perfect squares.

Proof by Contraposition

- ▶ In proof by contraposition, you prove $p \rightarrow q$ by assuming $\neg q$ and proving that $\neg p$ follows.
- ▶ Makes no difference logically, but sometimes the contrapositive is easier to show than the original
- ▶ **Prove:** If n^2 is odd, then n is odd.

- ▶
- ▶
- ▶

Proof by Contradiction

- ▶ Proof by contradiction proves that $p \rightarrow q$ is true by proving unsatisfiability of its negation
- ▶ What is negation of $p \rightarrow q$?
- ▶ Assume both p and $\neg q$ are true and show this yields contradiction

Example

- ▶ Prove by contradiction that "if $3n + 2$ is odd, then n is odd."

Another Example

- ▶ **Recall:** Any **rational number** can be written in the form $\frac{p}{q}$ where p and q are integers and have no common factors.
- ▶ **Example:** Prove by contradiction that $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.

- ▶
- ▶
- ▶
- ▶

Example, cont

- ▶
- ▶
- ▶
- ▶

Proof by Cases

- ▶ In some cases, it is very difficult to prove a theorem by applying the same argument in all cases
- ▶ For example, we might need to consider different arguments for negative and non-negative integers
- ▶ **Proof by cases** allows us to apply different arguments in different cases and combine the results
- ▶ Specifically, suppose we want to prove statement p , and we know that we have either q or r
- ▶ If we can show $q \rightarrow p$ and $r \rightarrow p$, then we can conclude p

Proof by Cases, cont.

- ▶ In general, there may be more than two cases to consider
- ▶ Proof by cases says that to show

$$(p_1 \vee p_2 \dots \vee p_k) \rightarrow q$$

it suffices to show:

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 &\rightarrow q \\ p_2 &\rightarrow q \\ &\dots \\ p_k &\rightarrow q \end{aligned}$$

Example

- ▶ Prove that $|xy| = |x||y|$
- ▶ Here, proof by cases is useful because definition of absolute value depends on whether number is negative or not.
- ▶ There are four possibilities:
 1. x, y are both non-negative
 2. x non-negative, but y negative
 3. x negative, y non-negative
 4. x, y are both negative
- ▶ We'll prove the property by proving these four cases separately

Proof

- ▶
- ▶
- ▶
- ▶
- ▶

- ▶ **Caveat:** Your cases must cover **all** possibilities; otherwise, the proof is not valid!

Combining Proof Techniques

- ▶ So far, our proofs used a single strategy, but often it's necessary to combine multiple strategies in one proof
- ▶ **Example:** Prove that every rational number can be expressed as a product of two **irrational numbers**.
- ▶ **Proof:** Let's first employ direct proof.
- ▶ Observe that any rational number r can be written as $\sqrt{2} \frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}$
- ▶ We already proved $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.
- ▶ If we can show that $\frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}$ is also irrational, we have a direct proof.

Combining Proofs, cont.

- ▶
- ▶
- ▶
- ▶
- ▶
- ▶

Lesson from Example

- ▶ In this proof, we combined **direct** and **proof-by-contradiction** strategies
- ▶ In more complex proofs, it might be necessary to combine two or even more strategies and prove helper lemmas
- ▶ It is often a good idea to think about how to decompose your proof, what strategies to use in different subgoals, and what helper lemmas could be useful

If and Only if Proofs

- ▶ Some theorems are of the form " **P if and only if Q** " ($P \leftrightarrow Q$)
- ▶ The easiest way to prove such statements is to show $P \rightarrow Q$ and $Q \rightarrow P$
- ▶ Therefore, such proofs correspond to two subproofs
- ▶ One shows $P \rightarrow Q$ (typically labeled \Rightarrow)
- ▶ Another subproof shows $Q \rightarrow P$ (typically labeled \Leftarrow)

Example

- ▶ Prove "A positive integer n is odd if and only if n^2 is odd."
- ▶ \Rightarrow We have already shown this using a direct proof earlier.
- ▶ \Leftarrow We have already shown this by a proof by contraposition.
- ▶ Since we have proved both directions, the proof is complete.

Counterexamples

- ▶ So far, we have learned about how to prove statements are **true** using various strategies
- ▶ But how to prove a statement is **false**?
- ▶ What is a counterexample for the claim "The product of two irrational numbers is irrational"?

Prove or Disprove

Which of the statements below are true, which are false? Prove your answer.

- ▶ For all integers n , if n^2 is positive, n is also positive.
- ▶ For all integers n , if n^3 is positive, n is also positive.
- ▶ For all integers n such that $n \geq 0$, $n^2 \geq 2n$

Existence and Uniqueness

- ▶ Common math proofs involve showing **existence** and **uniqueness** of certain objects
- ▶ Existence proofs require showing that an object with the desired property exists
- ▶ Uniqueness proofs require showing that there is a unique object with the desired property

Existence Proofs

- ▶ One simple way to prove existence is to provide an object that has the desired property
- ▶ This sort of proof is called **constructive** proof
- ▶ **Example:** Prove there exists an integer that is the sum of two perfect squares
- ▶ But not all existence proofs are constructive – can prove existence through other methods (e.g., proof by contradiction or proof by cases)
- ▶ Such indirect existence proofs called **nonconstructive proofs**

Non-Constructive Proof Example

- ▶ Prove: "There exist irrational numbers x, y s.t. x^y is rational"
- ▶ We'll prove this using a non-constructive proof (by cases), without providing irrational x, y
- ▶ Consider $\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$. Either (i) it is rational or (ii) it is irrational
- ▶ **Case 1:** We have $x = y = \sqrt{2}$ s.t. x^y is rational
- ▶ **Case 2:** Let $x = \sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$ and $y = \sqrt{2}$, so both are irrational. Then, $\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}} = \sqrt{2}^2 = 2$. Thus, x^y is rational

Proving Uniqueness

- ▶ Some statements in mathematics assert **uniqueness** of an object satisfying a certain property
- ▶ To prove uniqueness, must first prove **existence** of an object x that has the property
- ▶ Second, we must show that for any other y s.t. $y \neq x$, then y does not have the property
- ▶ Alternatively, can show that if y has the desired property that $x = y$

Example of Uniqueness Proof

- ▶ Prove: "If a and b are real numbers with $a \neq 0$, then there exists a **unique** real number r such that $ar + b = 0$ "
- ▶ **Existence:** Using a constructive proof, we can see $r = -b/a$ satisfies $ar + b = 0$
- ▶ **Uniqueness:** Suppose there is another number s such that $s \neq r$ and $as + b = 0$. But since $ar + b = as + b$, we have $ar = as$, which implies $r = s$.

Summary of Proof Strategies

- ▶ **Direct proof:** $p \rightarrow q$ proved by directly showing that if p is true, then q must follow
- ▶ **Proof by contraposition:** Prove $p \rightarrow q$ by proving $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$
- ▶ **Proof by contradiction:** Prove that the negation of the theorem yields a contradiction
- ▶ **Proof by cases:** Exhaustively enumerate different possibilities, and prove the theorem for each case

Invalid Proof Strategies

- ▶ Proof by obviousness: "The proof is so clear it need not be mentioned!"
- ▶ Proof by intimidation: "Don't be stupid – of course it's true!"
- ▶ Proof by mumbo-jumbo: $\forall \alpha \in \theta \exists \beta \in \alpha \diamond \beta \approx \gamma$
- ▶ Proof by intuition: "I have this gut feeling.."
- ▶ Proof by resource limits: "Due to lack of space, we omit this part of the proof..."
- ▶ Proof by illegibility: "sdjikhugiuhjlaks??fskl; QED."

Don't use anything like these in CS311!!