

# Fourier Coefficient Formalization in ACL2(r)

Cuong Chau

Department of Computer Science  
The University of Texas at Austin  
*ckcuong@cs.utexas.edu*

April 17, 2015

- 1 Overview
- 2 Fourier Coefficient Formalization
- 3 Definite Integral of an Infinite Series
- 4 Conclusions and Future Work

- 1 Overview
- 2 Fourier Coefficient Formalization
- 3 Definite Integral of an Infinite Series
- 4 Conclusions and Future Work

# Orthogonality Relations of Trigonometric Functions

## Theorem 1 (Orthogonality relations of trigonometric functions)

$$\int_{-L}^L \sin\left(m\frac{\pi}{L}x\right) \sin\left(n\frac{\pi}{L}x\right) dx = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } m \neq n \vee m = n = 0 \\ L, & \text{if } m = n \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\int_{-L}^L \cos\left(m\frac{\pi}{L}x\right) \cos\left(n\frac{\pi}{L}x\right) dx = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } m \neq n \\ L, & \text{if } m = n \neq 0 \\ 2L, & \text{if } m = n = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\int_{-L}^L \sin\left(m\frac{\pi}{L}x\right) \cos\left(n\frac{\pi}{L}x\right) dx = 0$$

- Syntax is like defun:

```
(defun-std f (x1 ... xn)
  <body>) ;; note that <body> does not need
          ;; to be classical!
```

- Proof obligation for the above defun-std form:

```
(implies (and (standardp x1) ... (standardp xn))
  (standardp <body>))
```

- Axiom added for the above defun-std form:

```
(implies (and (standardp x1) ... (standardp xn))
  (equal (f x1 ... xn)
    <body>))
```

- The **transfer principle** is implemented in ACL2(r) with `defthm-std`.  
(`defthm-std` name <body>) *;; optionally, :hints etc.*

- Apply if the <body> is **classical**. Before attempting the proof, ACL2(r) adds a hypothesis of (**standardp x**) for all variables x in the <body>:

```
(implies (and (standardp x1) ... (standardp xk))
         <body>)
```

- Also apply to prove that a **classical function** returns standard values with standard inputs. Formally, if f is classical, then

```
(defthm-std name
  (implies (and (standardp x1) ... (standardp xk))
           (standardp (f x1 ... xk))))
```

## FTC-2 Proof Procedure

**FTC-2:** If  $f'$  is a real-valued continuous function on  $[a, b]$  and  $f$  is an antiderivative of  $f'$  on  $[a, b]$ , then

$$\int_a^b f'(x)dx = f(b) - f(a)$$

- Prove that  $f'$  returns **real values** on  $[a, b]$ .
- Prove that  $f'$  is **continuous** on  $[a, b]$ .
- Specify the real-valued **antiderivative**  $f$  of  $f'$  and prove that  $f'$  is the derivative of  $f$  on  $[a, b]$ .
- Formalize the **integral** of  $f'$  on  $[a, b]$ .
- Evaluate the integral of  $f'$  on  $[a, b]$  in terms of  $f$  by applying the **FTC-2**.

## FTC-2 Proof Procedure

**FTC-2:** If  $f'$  is a real-valued continuous function on  $[a, b]$  and  $f$  is an antiderivative of  $f'$  on  $[a, b]$ , then

$$\int_a^b f'(x)dx = f(b) - f(a)$$

- Prove that  $f'$  returns **real values** on  $[a, b]$ .
- Prove that  $f'$  is **continuous** on  $[a, b]$ .
- Specify the real-valued **antiderivative**  $f$  of  $f'$  and prove that  $f'$  is the derivative of  $f$  on  $[a, b]$ .
- Formalize the **integral** of  $f'$  on  $[a, b]$ .
- Evaluate the integral of  $f'$  on  $[a, b]$  in terms of  $f$  by applying the **FTC-2**.



# Riemann Integral

The **Riemann integral** of a function  $f'$  on an interval  $[a, b]$  is the limit (if exists) of the **Riemann sum** of  $f'$  when partitioning  $[a, b]$  into extremely small subintervals.

In non-standard analysis, the **Riemann integral** can be defined as the **standard part** of the **Riemann sum** (if limited) when partitioning  $[a, b]$  into infinitesimal subintervals.

```
(defund-std strict-int-f-prime (a b)
  (if (and (inside-interval-p a (f-prime-domain))
          (inside-interval-p b (f-prime-domain))
          (< a b))
      (standard-part
        (riemann-f-prime (make-small-partition a b)))
      0))
```

**Proof obligation:** the Riemann sum is limited on  $[a, b]$ .

# Riemann Integral

```
(defthm limited-riemann-f-prime-small-partition
  (implies (and (standardp a)
                (standardp b)
                (inside-interval-p a (f-prime-domain))
                (inside-interval-p b (f-prime-domain))
                (< a b))
           (i-limited
            (riemann-f-prime (make-small-partition a b)))))
```

The limited property of Riemann sums was proved in ACL2 community books for [generic](#) real-valued continuous [unary](#) functions [M. Kaufmann, 2000].

# Riemann Integral

```
(defthm limited-riemann-f-prime-small-partition
  (implies (and (standardp a)
                (standardp b)
                (inside-interval-p a (f-prime-domain))
                (inside-interval-p b (f-prime-domain))
                (< a b))
    (i-limited
     (riemann-f-prime (make-small-partition a b))))))
```

The limited property of Riemann sums was proved in ACL2 community books for [generic](#) real-valued continuous [unary](#) functions [M. Kaufmann, 2000].

Unfortunately, we are not allowed to [functionally instantiate](#) the lemma above for functions containing more than one variable (i.e., functions containing [free variables](#)) since [the theorem we try to instantiate is non-classical](#) and [the functions we try to instantiate are classical](#) [R. Gamboa & J. Cowles, 2007].

# Functional Instantiation Issue

*Example:* Given an arbitrary **classical** function  $f(x)$ , it follows that

$$\text{standardp}(x) \Rightarrow \text{standardp}(f(x))$$

If we are allowed to substitute  $\lambda(x).(x + y)$  into the formula above, we would conclude that

$$\text{standardp}(x) \Rightarrow \text{standardp}(x + y)$$

But this is **false** since the free variable  $y$  can be non-standard.

# Limited Property of Riemann Sums

## Theorem 2 (Limited property of Riemann sums)

*If there exists finite values  $m$  and  $M$  such that*

$$m \leq f(t) \leq M, \text{ for all } t \in [a, b]$$

*Then the Riemann sum of  $f$  over  $[a, b]$  with any partition  $P$  is bounded by*

$$m(b - a) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n f(t_i)(x_i - x_{i-1}) \leq M(b - a)$$

*where  $t_i \in [x_{i-1}, x_i] \wedge x_0 = a \wedge x_n = b$ .*

# Limited Property of Riemann Sums

*Proof.* From the hypothesis  $m \leq f(t) \leq M$  for all  $t \in [a, b]$ , it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n m(x_i - x_{i-1}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n f(t_i)(x_i - x_{i-1}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n M(x_i - x_{i-1})$$

where  $t_i \in [x_{i-1}, x_i] \wedge x_0 = a \wedge x_n = b$ .

$$\Rightarrow m \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - x_{i-1}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n f(t_i)(x_i - x_{i-1}) \leq M \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

$$\Rightarrow m(b - a) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n f(t_i)(x_i - x_{i-1}) \leq M(b - a)$$

□

# Problem

Given a **specific** real-valued continuous function  $f$ , it is usually easy to specify the bounds of  $f$  on a closed and bounded interval.

The problem becomes more challenging when applying to **generic** real-valued continuous functions since it is impossible to find either their minimum or maximum. However, the boundedness of these functions on a closed and bounded interval still holds by the **extreme value theorem**.

## Theorem 3 (Extreme value theorem)

*Given any real-valued continuous function  $f$  defined on a closed and bounded interval  $[a, b]$ , there always exist numbers  $c$  and  $d$  in  $[a, b]$  s.t.*

$$f(c) \leq f(x) \leq f(d), \forall x \in [a, b]$$

The extreme value theorem was proved in ACL2 community books for [unary](#) functions only [J. Cowles & R. Gamboa, 2014]. We need to extend this theorem for functions with [free variables](#).



# Functional Instantiation with Free Variables

Add only **one extra variable** representing free variables to the constrained function and ignore this extra variable in the function definition.

```
(encapsulate
  ((rcfn-2 (x arg) t)
   (rcfn-2-domain () t))

  (local (defun rcfn-2 (x arg)
            (declare (ignore arg))
            (realfix x)))
  (local (defun rcfn-2-domain () (interval nil nil))))

... ;; Non-local theorems about rcfn-2 and rcfn-2-domain
)
```

⇒ The proofs for the constrained function with main variables only are still applied for the new constrained function with the extra variable added.

# Functional Instantiation with Free Variables

**Non-classical theorems** proved for the new constrained function can be applied for functions containing **arbitrary number of free variables** through functional instantiations with pseudo-lambda expressions.

The trick is to view the **extra variable** in the constrained function as **a list of free variables**  $\Rightarrow$  no free variable appears in the functional instantiation.

Demo.

## FTC-2 Proof Procedure

**FTC-2:** If  $f'$  is a real-valued continuous function on  $[a, b]$  and  $f$  is an antiderivative of  $f'$  on  $[a, b]$ , then

$$\int_a^b f'(x)dx = f(b) - f(a)$$

- Prove that  $f'$  returns **real values** on  $[a, b]$ .
- Prove that  $f'$  is **continuous** on  $[a, b]$ .
- Specify the real-valued **antiderivative**  $f$  of  $f'$  and prove that  $f'$  is the derivative of  $f$  on  $[a, b]$ .
- Formalize the **integral** of  $f'$  on  $[a, b]$ .
- Evaluate the integral of  $f'$  on  $[a, b]$  in terms of  $f$  by applying the **FTC-2**.

**FTC-2:** If  $f'$  is a real-valued continuous function on  $[a, b]$  and  $f$  is an antiderivative of  $f'$  on  $[a, b]$ , then

$$\int_a^b f'(x) dx = f(b) - f(a)$$

```
(defthm ftc-2
```

```
  (implies (and (inside-interval-p a (rcdfn-domain))
                (inside-interval-p b (rcdfn-domain)))
            (equal (int-rcdfn-prime a b)
                   (- (rcdfn b) (rcdfn a))))))
```

**FTC-2:** If  $f'$  is a real-valued continuous function on  $[a, b]$  and  $f$  is an antiderivative of  $f'$  on  $[a, b]$ , then

$$\int_a^b f'(x) dx = f(b) - f(a)$$

(defthm ftc-2

(implies (and (inside-interval-p a (rcdfn-domain))  
 (inside-interval-p b (rcdfn-domain)))  
 (equal (int-rcdfn-prime a b)  
 (- (rcdfn b) (rcdfn a))))))

When functionally instantiating [classical theorems](#), free variables are allowed to appear in pseudo-lambda expressions as long as **classicalness is preserved** [R. Gamboa & J. Cowles, 2007]  $\Rightarrow$  use the “encapsulate trick” with [zero-arity functions](#) representing free variables.

# Encapsulate Trick

**Step 1:** Define an encapsulate event that introduces **zero-arity classical functions** representing **free variables**.

**Step 2:** Prove that the zero-arity functions return **standard values** (use `defthm-std`).

**Step 3:** Prove the main theorem but **replacing the free variables with corresponding zero-arity functions** introduced in step 1. Without free variables, the functional instantiation can be applied straightforwardly.

**Step 4:** Prove the main theorem by functionally instantiating the zero-arity functions in the lemma proved in step 3 with free variables.

# Outline

- 1 Overview
- 2 Fourier Coefficient Formalization**
- 3 Definite Integral of an Infinite Series
- 4 Conclusions and Future Work

## Theorem 4 (Fourier coefficients)

Let

$$f(x) = a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^N (a_n \cos(n\frac{\pi}{L}x) + b_n \sin(n\frac{\pi}{L}x))$$

Then

$$a_0 = \frac{1}{2L} \int_{-L}^L f(x) dx,$$

$$a_n = \frac{1}{L} \int_{-L}^L f(x) \cos(n\frac{\pi}{L}x) dx,$$

$$b_n = \frac{1}{L} \int_{-L}^L f(x) \sin(n\frac{\pi}{L}x) dx$$



# Sum Rule for Definite Integral of Indexed Sums

## Lemma 5 (Sum rule for definite integral of indexed sums)

Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a set of real-valued continuous functions on  $[a, b]$ , where  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Then

$$\int_a^b \sum_{n=0}^N f_n(x) dx = \sum_{n=0}^N \int_a^b f_n(x) dx$$

# Sum Rule for Definite Integral of Indexed Sums

*Proof.* Let  $F_n$  be an antiderivative of  $f_n$  on  $[a, b]$ , where  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Then  $\sum_{n=0}^N F_n(x)$  is an antiderivative of  $\sum_{n=0}^N f_n(x)$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$  by the [sum rule for differentiation](#). By FTC-2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_a^b \sum_{n=0}^N f_n(x) dx &= \sum_{n=0}^N F_n(b) - \sum_{n=0}^N F_n(a) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^N (F_n(b) - F_n(a)) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^N \int_a^b f_n(x) dx\end{aligned}$$

□

## Theorem 4 (Fourier coefficients)

Let

$$f(x) = a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^N \left( a_n \cos\left(n\frac{\pi}{L}x\right) + b_n \sin\left(n\frac{\pi}{L}x\right) \right)$$

Then

$$a_0 = \frac{1}{2L} \int_{-L}^L f(x) dx,$$

$$a_n = \frac{1}{L} \int_{-L}^L f(x) \cos\left(n\frac{\pi}{L}x\right) dx,$$

$$b_n = \frac{1}{L} \int_{-L}^L f(x) \sin\left(n\frac{\pi}{L}x\right) dx$$

# Fourier Coefficients

*Proof.* For  $0 < m \leq N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{L} \int_{-L}^L f(x) \cos\left(m\frac{\pi}{L}x\right) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{L} \int_{-L}^L \left( a_0 \cos\left(m\frac{\pi}{L}x\right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{n=1}^N \left( a_n \cos\left(n\frac{\pi}{L}x\right) \cos\left(m\frac{\pi}{L}x\right) + b_n \sin\left(n\frac{\pi}{L}x\right) \cos\left(m\frac{\pi}{L}x\right) \right) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{L} \left( \int_{-L}^L a_0 \cos\left(0\frac{\pi}{L}x\right) \cos\left(m\frac{\pi}{L}x\right) dx \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{n=1}^N \left( \int_{-L}^L a_n \cos\left(n\frac{\pi}{L}x\right) \cos\left(m\frac{\pi}{L}x\right) dx + \int_{-L}^L b_n \sin\left(n\frac{\pi}{L}x\right) \cos\left(m\frac{\pi}{L}x\right) dx \right) \right) \\ &= a_m \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\frac{1}{L} \int_{-L}^L f(x) \sin\left(m\frac{\pi}{L}x\right) dx = b_m,$$

$$\frac{1}{2L} \int_{-L}^L f(x) dx = a_0$$



# Uniqueness of Fourier Sums

## Corollary 6 (Uniqueness of Fourier sums)

Let

$$f(x) = a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^N (a_n \cos(n\frac{\pi}{L}x) + b_n \sin(n\frac{\pi}{L}x))$$

and

$$g(x) = A_0 + \sum_{n=1}^N (A_n \cos(n\frac{\pi}{L}x) + B_n \sin(n\frac{\pi}{L}x))$$

$$\text{Then } f = g \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} a_0 = A_0 \\ a_n = A_n, \text{ for all } n = 1, 2, \dots, N \\ b_n = B_n, \text{ for all } n = 1, 2, \dots, N \end{cases}$$

# Uniqueness of Fourier Sums

*Proof.*

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Follow immediately from the Fourier coefficient formula.

$$a_0 = \frac{1}{2L} \int_{-L}^L f(x) dx = \frac{1}{2L} \int_{-L}^L g(x) dx = A_0$$

$$a_n = \frac{1}{L} \int_{-L}^L f(x) \cos\left(n\frac{\pi}{L}x\right) dx = \frac{1}{L} \int_{-L}^L g(x) \cos\left(n\frac{\pi}{L}x\right) dx = A_n$$

$$b_n = \frac{1}{L} \int_{-L}^L f(x) \sin\left(n\frac{\pi}{L}x\right) dx = \frac{1}{L} \int_{-L}^L g(x) \sin\left(n\frac{\pi}{L}x\right) dx = B_n$$

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Obviously true by induction on  $n$ .



# Inner Product Formula

## Theorem 7 (Inner product formula)

Let

$$f(x) = a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^M (a_n \cos(n\frac{\pi}{L}x) + b_n \sin(n\frac{\pi}{L}x))$$

and

$$g(x) = A_0 + \sum_{n=1}^N (A_n \cos(n\frac{\pi}{L}x) + B_n \sin(n\frac{\pi}{L}x))$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{L} \int_{-L}^L f(x)g(x)dx = 2a_0A_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\min\{M,N\}} a_nA_n + \sum_{n=1}^{\min\{M,N\}} b_nB_n$$



# Outline

- 1 Overview
- 2 Fourier Coefficient Formalization
- 3 Definite Integral of an Infinite Series**
- 4 Conclusions and Future Work

# Infinite Series

An **infinite series** is defined as the **limit of the sequence of partial sums** if the limit exists,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^N a_n$$

In non-standard analysis, it is defined as the **standard part** (st) of a partial sum with an **infinitely large** index  $H_0$  if the sum is limited,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = \text{st}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{H_0} a_n\right)$$

where the natural number  $H_0$  is **i-large**.

# Definite Integral of an Infinite Series

Let's consider the following equality

$$\int_a^b \text{st}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{H_0} f_n(x)\right) dx \stackrel{?}{=} \text{st}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{H_0} \int_a^b f_n(x) dx\right)$$

We can't claim it is true in general. However, we can prove that it is true under some conditions.

# Pointwise Convergence vs. Uniform Convergence

**Pointwise convergence:** Suppose  $\{f_n\}$  is a sequence of functions sharing the same domain and codomain. The sequence  $\{f_n\}$  converges pointwise to  $f$  means that  $f_H(x) \approx f(x)$  for all **standard**  $x$  in the domain of  $f_n$  and for all infinitely large natural numbers  $H$ .

**Uniform convergence:** Suppose  $\{f_n\}$  is a sequence of functions sharing the same domain and codomain. The sequence  $\{f_n\}$  converges uniformly to  $f$  means that  $f_H(x) \approx f(x)$  for all  $x$  (both **standard** and **non-standard**) in the domain of  $f_n$  and for all infinitely large natural numbers  $H$ .

# Assumptions

**Assumption 1:**  $\{f_n\}$  is a sequence of real-valued continuous functions defined on a closed and bounded interval  $[a, b]$ .

**Assumption 2:**  $f_n(x) \geq 0, \forall x \in [a, b]$  and  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Assumption 3:**  $\sum_{n=0}^N f_n(x)$  is **limited**  $\forall x \in [a, b]$  and  $\forall N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Assumption 4:**  $\exists c \in [a, b]$  s.t.  $f_n(x) \leq f_n(c), \forall x \in [a, b]$  and  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Assumption 5:** Let  $g_N(x) = \sum_{n=0}^N f_n(x)$ . Then the sequence  $\{g_N\}$  is assumed to **converge uniformly** to  $\text{st}(g_{H_0}(x))$  for some i-large  $H_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , i.e.,  $\sum_{n=0}^H f_n(x) \approx \text{st}(\sum_{n=0}^{H_0} f_n(x))$ , for all  $x \in [a, b]$  and for all i-large  $H \in \mathbb{N}$ .

# Definite Integral of an Infinite Series

*Proof idea.*

$$\int_a^b \text{st}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{H_0} f_n(x)\right) dx \stackrel{?}{=} \text{st}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{H_0} \int_a^b f_n(x) dx\right)$$

# Definite Integral of an Infinite Series

*Proof idea.*

$$\int_a^b \text{st}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{H_0} f_n(x)\right) dx \stackrel{?}{=} \text{st}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{H_0} \int_a^b f_n(x) dx\right)$$

$$\int_a^b \text{st}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{H_0} f_n(x)\right) dx \stackrel{?}{=} \text{st}\left(\int_a^b \sum_{n=0}^{H_0} f_n(x) dx\right) = \text{st}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{H_0} \int_a^b f_n(x) dx\right)$$

From Assumptions 2 and 4,  $\forall^{st} x \in [a, b]$

$$0 \leq \sum_{n=0}^{H_0} f_n(x) - \sum_{n=0}^N f_n(x) = \sum_{n=N+1}^{H_0} f_n(x) \leq \sum_{n=N+1}^{H_0} f_n(c)$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq \text{st}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{H_0} f_n(x) - \sum_{n=0}^N f_n(x)\right) \leq \text{st}\left(\sum_{n=N+1}^{H_0} f_n(c)\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq \text{st}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{H_0} f_n(x)\right) - \sum_{n=0}^N f_n(x) \leq \text{st}\left(\sum_{n=N+1}^{H_0} f_n(c)\right) \quad (1)$$

# Definite Integral of an Infinite Series

By the [transfer principle](#), (1) holds for all  $x \in [a, b]$ . Then, from Theorem 2,  $\forall x \in [a, b]$

$$0 \leq \int_a^b (\text{st}(\sum_{n=0}^{H_0} f_n(x)) - \sum_{n=0}^N f_n(x)) dx \leq \text{st}(\sum_{n=N+1}^{H_0} f_n(c))(b-a) \quad (2)$$

From Assumption 5,  $\sum_{n=0}^H f_n(x) \approx \text{st}(\sum_{n=0}^{H_0} f_n(x)), \forall x \in [a, b]$

$$\Rightarrow \text{st}(\sum_{n=0}^{H_0} f_n(x)) - \sum_{n=0}^H f_n(x) \approx 0, \forall x \in [a, b]$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{st}(\sum_{n=H+1}^{H_0} f_n(x)) \approx 0, \forall x \in [a, b]$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{st}(\sum_{n=H+1}^{H_0} f_n(c)) \approx 0, \text{ since } c \in [a, b] \quad (3)$$



# Definite Integral of an Infinite Series

From (2) and (3), choose  $N = H_0$

$$\int_a^b (\text{st}(\sum_{n=0}^{H_0} f_n(x)) - \sum_{n=0}^{H_0} f_n(x)) dx \approx 0$$

Next step

$$\int_a^b (\text{st}(\sum_{n=0}^{H_0} f_n(x)) - \sum_{n=0}^{H_0} f_n(x)) dx = \int_a^b \text{st}(\sum_{n=0}^{H_0} f_n(x)) dx - \int_a^b \sum_{n=0}^{H_0} f_n(x) dx$$

Then

$$\int_a^b \text{st}(\sum_{n=0}^{H_0} f_n(x)) dx \approx \int_a^b \sum_{n=0}^{H_0} f_n(x) dx$$

Or

$$\int_a^b \text{st}(\sum_{n=0}^{H_0} f_n(x)) dx = \text{st}(\int_a^b \sum_{n=0}^{H_0} f_n(x) dx)$$

# Assumptions

**Assumption 1:**  $\{f_n\}$  is a sequence of real-valued continuous functions defined on a closed and bounded interval  $[a, b]$ .

**Assumption 2:**  $f_n(x) \geq 0, \forall x \in [a, b]$  and  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Assumption 3:**  $\sum_{n=0}^N f_n(x)$  is **limited**  $\forall x \in [a, b]$  and  $\forall N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Assumption 4:**  $\exists c \in [a, b]$  s.t.  $f_n(x) \leq f_n(c), \forall x \in [a, b]$  and  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Assumption 5:** Let  $g_N(x) = \sum_{n=0}^N f_n(x)$ . Then the sequence  $\{g_N\}$  is assumed to **converge uniformly** to  $\text{st}(g_{H_0}(x))$  for some i-large  $H_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , i.e.,  $\sum_{n=0}^H f_n(x) \approx \text{st}(\sum_{n=0}^{H_0} f_n(x))$ , for all  $x \in [a, b]$  and for all i-large  $H \in \mathbb{N}$ .

# Assumptions

**Assumption 1:**  $\{f_n\}$  is a sequence of real-valued continuous functions defined on a closed and bounded interval  $[a, b]$ .

**Assumption 2:**  $f_n(x) \geq 0, \forall x \in [a, b]$  and  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Assumption 3:**  $\sum_{n=0}^N f_n(x)$  is **limited**  $\forall x \in [a, b]$  and  $\forall N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Assumption 4:**  $\exists c \in [a, b]$  s.t.  $f_n(x) \leq f_n(c), \forall x \in [a, b]$  and  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Assumption 5:** Let  $g_N(x) = \sum_{n=0}^N f_n(x)$ . Then the sequence  $\{g_N\}$  is assumed to **converge uniformly** to  $\text{st}(g_{H_0}(x))$  for some i-large  $H_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , i.e.,  $\sum_{n=0}^H f_n(x) \approx \text{st}(\sum_{n=0}^{H_0} f_n(x))$ , for all  $x \in [a, b]$  and for all i-large  $H \in \mathbb{N}$ .

# Dini Uniform Convergence Theorem

## Theorem 8 (Dini uniform convergence theorem)

A *monotone sequence of continuous functions*  $\{f_n\}$  that *converges pointwise* to a *continuous function*  $f$  on a *closed and bounded interval*  $[a, b]$  is *uniformly convergent*.

# Dini Uniform Convergence Theorem

*Proof idea.* Without loss of generality, assume  $\{f_n\}$  is **monotonically increasing**.  $\forall x \in [a, b], \forall$  i-large  $H \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} & |f_H(x) - f(x)| \\ &= |f_H(x) - f_H(\text{st}(x)) + f_H(\text{st}(x)) - f(\text{st}(x)) + f(\text{st}(x)) - f(x)| \\ &\leq |f_H(x) - f_H(\text{st}(x))| + |f_H(\text{st}(x)) - f(\text{st}(x))| + |f(\text{st}(x)) - f(x)| \end{aligned}$$

Lemma: If  $x \in [a, b]$  then  $\text{st}(x) \in [a, b]$  (note that this is only true on **closed and bounded intervals**).

Since  $\text{st}(x)$  is standard,  $f_H(\text{st}(x)) \approx f(\text{st}(x))$  by the **pointwise convergence of  $\{f_n\}$** .

Since  $\text{st}(x)$  is standard and  $x \approx \text{st}(x)$ ,  $f(\text{st}(x)) \approx f(x)$  by the **continuity of  $f$** .

If we can show that  $f_H(x) \approx f_H(\text{st}(x))$ , then  $f_H(x) \approx f(x)$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ .

# Dini Uniform Convergence Theorem

By the **continuity of  $\{f_n\}$** , we have  $f_n(x) \approx f_n(\text{st}(x)), \forall x \in [a, b]$  and  $\forall^{st} n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Proof obligation:  $f_H(x) \approx f_H(\text{st}(x)), \forall x \in [a, b]$  and  $\forall H \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Idea: Apply the **overspill principle** in non-standard analysis.

# Overspill Principle

Overspill principle: Let  $P(n, x)$  be a **classical** predicate. Then

$$\forall x (\forall^{st} n \in \mathbb{N}, P(n, x) \Rightarrow \exists^{\neg st} k \in \mathbb{N}, P(k, x))$$

Apply the above principle, we can even come up with a stronger statement as follows:

Let  $P(n, x)$  be a **classical** predicate. Then

$$\forall x (\forall^{st} n \in \mathbb{N}, P(n, x) \Rightarrow \exists^{\neg st} k \in \mathbb{N}, \forall m \in \mathbb{N} (m \leq k \Rightarrow P(m, x)))$$

# Dini Uniform Convergence Theorem

Define a classical predicate  $P(n, x, x_0)$  as follows:

$$P(n, x, x_0) \equiv |f_n(x) - f_n(x_0)| < \frac{1}{n+1}$$

Let  $x \in [a, b]$  and  $x_0 = \text{st}(x)$ , then  $P(n, x, \text{st}(x))$  holds for all **standard**  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by the **continuity of  $\{f_n\}$** . Hence, by the overspill principle, there exists a **non-standard**  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $P(m, x, \text{st}(x))$  holds for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m \leq k$ .

If  $m$  is **non-standard**, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq |f_m(x) - f_m(\text{st}(x))| &< \frac{1}{m+1} \approx 0 \\ \Rightarrow f_m(x) &\approx f_m(\text{st}(x)) \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $f_H(x) \approx f(x)$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$  and for all  $i$ -large  $H \leq k$ .



# Dini Uniform Convergence Theorem

If  $H > k$ , then by the **monotonicity** of  $\{f_n\}$

$$0 \leq |f_H(x) - f(x)| \leq |f_k(x) - f(x)| \approx 0$$

$$\Rightarrow f_H(x) \approx f(x), \forall x \in [a, b]$$



## Relaxing Assumption 5

**Assumption 5:** Let  $g_N(x) = \sum_{n=0}^N f_n(x)$ . Then the sequence  $\{g_N\}$  is assumed to **converge uniformly** to  $\text{st}(g_{H_0}(x))$  for some i-large  $H_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , i.e.,  $\sum_{n=0}^H f_n(x) \approx \text{st}(\sum_{n=0}^{H_0} f_n(x))$ , for all  $x \in [a, b]$  and for all i-large  $H \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## Relaxing Assumption 5

**Assumption 5:** Let  $g_N(x) = \sum_{n=0}^N f_n(x)$ . Then the sequence  $\{g_N\}$  is assumed to **converge uniformly** to  $\text{st}(g_{H_0}(x))$  for some i-large  $H_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , i.e.,  $\sum_{n=0}^H f_n(x) \approx \text{st}(\sum_{n=0}^{H_0} f_n(x))$ , for all  $x \in [a, b]$  and for all i-large  $H \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Assumption 5.1:** The limit function  $\text{st}(\sum_{n=0}^{H_0} f_n(x))$  is **continuous** on  $[a, b]$  for some i-large  $H_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Assumption 5.2:** Let  $g_N(x) = \sum_{n=0}^N f_n(x)$ . Then the sequence  $\{g_N\}$  is assumed to **converge pointwise** to the **continuous** function  $\text{st}(g_{H_0}(x))$  for some i-large  $H_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , i.e.,  $\sum_{n=0}^H f_n(x) \approx \text{st}(\sum_{n=0}^{H_0} f_n(x))$ ,  $\forall^{st} x \in [a, b]$  and for all i-large  $H \in \mathbb{N}$ .

# Assumptions

**Assumption 1:**  $\{f_n\}$  is a sequence of real-valued continuous functions defined on a closed and bounded interval  $[a, b]$ .

**Assumption 2:**  $f_n(x) \geq 0, \forall x \in [a, b]$  and  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Assumption 3:**  $\sum_{n=0}^N f_n(x)$  is **limited**  $\forall x \in [a, b]$  and  $\forall N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Assumption 4:**  $\exists c \in [a, b]$  s.t.  $f_n(x) \leq f_n(c), \forall x \in [a, b]$  and  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Assumption 5.1:** The limit function  $\text{st}(\sum_{n=0}^{H_0} f_n(x))$  is **continuous** on  $[a, b]$  for some i-large  $H_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Assumption 5.2:** Let  $g_N(x) = \sum_{n=0}^N f_n(x)$ . Then the sequence  $\{g_N\}$  is assumed to **converge pointwise** to the **continuous** function  $\text{st}(g_{H_0}(x))$  for some i-large  $H_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , i.e.,  $\sum_{n=0}^H f_n(x) \approx \text{st}(\sum_{n=0}^{H_0} f_n(x)), \forall^{st} x \in [a, b]$  and for all i-large  $H \in \mathbb{N}$ .

# Outline

- 1 Overview
- 2 Fourier Coefficient Formalization
- 3 Definite Integral of an Infinite Series
- 4 Conclusions and Future Work**

# Conclusions and Future Work

Adding an **extra argument** in constrained functions solves the problem of functionally instantiating **non-classical theorems** with **classical functions** containing **free variables**.

**Free variables** are allowed to appear in **pseudo-lambda expressions** when functionally instantiating **classical theorems**, as long as **classicalness is preserved**.

**Fourier coefficient** formula can be formalized in  $ACL2(r)$  as described.

Still remain a couple of proof obligations in formalizing the **definite integral of an infinite series**. E.g., the **overspill principle** in proving Dini uniform convergence theorem.

It would be nice if we can apply  $ACL2(r)$  to **circuit verification**!

# References



R. Gamboa & J. Cowles (2007)

Theory Extension in ACL2(r)

*Journal of Automated Reasoning* 38(4), 273 – 301.



M. Kaufmann (2000)

Modular Proof: The Fundamental Theorem of Calculus

*Computer-Aided Reasoning: ACL2 Case Studies*, chapter 6, Springer US, 75 – 91.



J. Cowles & R. Gamboa (2014)

Equivalence of the Traditional and Non-Standard Definitions of Concepts from Real Analysis

*ACL2 Workshop 2014*, 89 – 100.



H. Jerome Keisler (1985)

Elementary Calculus: An Infinitesimal Approach

*Prindle Weber & Schmidt*, 2 Sub edition, ISBN 978-0871509116.



W. A. J. Luxemburg (1971)

Arzela's Dominated Convergence Theorem for the Riemann Integral

*The American Mathematical Monthly* 78(9), 970 – 979.

I'm very thankful to Matt Kaufmann for all his help.



# Questions!