

# Meta-extract: Using Existing Facts in Meta-reasoning

Matt Kaufmann (UT Austin)  
Sol Swords (Centaur Technology)

ACL2 Workshop 2017

# OUTLINE

INTRODUCTION

REVIEW OF :Meta RULES

EXAMPLE 1: USING GLOBAL FACTS

EXAMPLE 2: USING CONTEXTS

A NICE SHORTCUT

SOME APPLICATIONS

CONCLUSION

# INTRODUCTION

- ▶ ACL2 supports two kinds of user-defined, verified proof routines:
  - ▶ `:meta rule class`: `term`  $\rightarrow$  `term`, invoked by the rewriter,
  - ▶ `:clause-processor rule class`: `clause`  $\rightarrow$  `clauses`, invoked by hints.
- ▶ Previously could extract facts from the world and use built-in proof tools, but could not assume them correct.
- ▶ Now (post-2012) these facts/tools may be assumed correct via *meta-extract hypotheses* when proving soundness of metafunctions.
  - ▶ \*\*\* At run time, a metafunction may use facts that were not available when it was proved correct! \*\*\*

# THIS TALK

- ▶ reviews meta reasoning
- ▶ gives two simple examples to illustrate meta-extract hypotheses
- ▶ discusses a nice shortcut
- ▶ summarizes some applications

# OUTLINE

INTRODUCTION

REVIEW OF :Meta RULES

EXAMPLE 1: USING GLOBAL FACTS

EXAMPLE 2: USING CONTEXTS

A NICE SHORTCUT

SOME APPLICATIONS

CONCLUSION

## REVIEW OF :Meta RULES

Canonical example of a :meta rule:

`cancel_plus-equal` (from “books/meta/meta-plus-equal.lisp”)  
 cancels like terms from the equality of two sums.

```
ACL2 !>:trans (equal (+ x y x z) (+ x z z z))
```

```
(EQUAL (BINARY-+ X (BINARY-+ Y (BINARY-+ X Z)))
       (BINARY-+ X (BINARY-+ Z (BINARY-+ Z Z))))
```

```
=> *
```

```
ACL2 !>(cancel_plus-equal
        '(EQUAL (BINARY-+ X (BINARY-+ Y (BINARY-+ X Z)))
                (BINARY-+ X (BINARY-+ Z (BINARY-+ Z Z)))))
(EQUAL (BINARY-+ Y X) (BINARY-+ Z Z))
```

## REVIEW OF :Meta RULES (2)

Key events:

- ▶ Define an evaluator:

```
(defevaluator ev-plus-equal ...)
  (ev-plus-equal term alist) --> value
```

- ▶ Define the metafunction:

```
(defun cancel_plus-equal (x) ...)
```

- ▶ Prove the metafunction correct w.r.t. the evaluator:

```
(defthm cancel_plus-equal-correct
  (equal
    (ev-plus-equal x a)
    (ev-plus-equal (cancel_plus-equal x) a))
  :rule-classes ( (:meta :trigger-fns (equal))))
```

Let's see this rule used in a proof.

## REVIEW OF :Meta RULES (2)

```

ACL2 !>(include-book "meta/meta-plus-equal" :dir :system)
....
ACL2 !>(trace$ cancel_plus-equal)
  ((CANCEL_PLUS-EQUAL))
ACL2 !>(thm (implies (and (acl2-numberp z)
                          (equal (+ x y x z) (+ x z z z)))
                      (equal z (/ (+ x y) 2)))
          :hints (("Goal" :in-theory (disable (tau-system))))
Goal'
1> (CANCEL_PLUS-EQUAL
   (EQUAL (BINARY-+ X (BINARY-+ X (BINARY-+ Y Z)))
          (BINARY-+ X (BINARY-+ Z (BINARY-+ Z Z)))))
<1 (CANCEL_PLUS-EQUAL (EQUAL (BINARY-+ X Y) (BINARY-+ Z Z)))
....
Proof succeeded.
ACL2 !>

```

# OUTLINE

INTRODUCTION

REVIEW OF :Meta RULES

EXAMPLE 1: USING GLOBAL FACTS

EXAMPLE 2: USING CONTEXTS

A NICE SHORTCUT

SOME APPLICATIONS

CONCLUSION

## EXAMPLE 1: USING GLOBAL FACTS

Goal: Rewrite `stobj` (`accessor` (`updater` `val` `foo`\$)) terms without either:

- ▶ proving  $n^2$  individual rules per `stobj`
- ▶ enabling `accessors/updaters` to expand to `nth/update-nth`

**An approach:** `nth-update-nth-ev-meta-fn` checks that `accessor` is defined as a call of `nth` and `updater` is defined as a call of `update-nth` and rewrites accordingly.

## EXAMPLE 1: USING GLOBAL FACTS

- ▶ Can look up function definitions from the world.
- ▶ But: how can we prove this correct?
- ▶ Before meta-extract we'd need to somehow verify that the definitions found in the world were correct
  - ▶ E.g., have a hypothesis metafunction that produces the corresponding assumption.
- ▶ Meta-extract lets you assume this while proving your metafunction correct.
- ▶ Accessor & updater functions don't need to be known by evaluator
  - ▶ Can prove it operates correctly even on functions that haven't been defined yet!

## EXAMPLE 1: USING GLOBAL FACTS

```
; demos/nth-update-nth-meta-extract.lisp
(defthm nth-update-nth-meta-rule-st
  (implies
    (and (nth-update-nth-ev ; (f (update-g val st))
        (meta-extract-global-fact
          (list :formula (car term)) state)
          (meta-extract-alist term a state))
        ...))
    (equal (nth-update-nth-ev term a)
           (nth-update-nth-ev
            (nth-update-nth-meta-fn term mfc state)
            a)))
:hints ...
:rule-classes ((:meta :trigger-fns ...)))
```

## EXAMPLE 1: META-EXTRACT HYPOTHESIS

Meta-extract-global-fact:

- ▶ Returns various terms expressing known facts.
- ▶ Only produces terms that are known true.
- ▶ Meta rule/clause processor theorems are allowed to assume the terms it produces evaluate to true as a special hypothesis.

Part of the definition:

```
(case-match obj
  ((' :formula name)
    (meta-extract-formula name st))
  ...)
```

# META-EXTRACT-GLOBAL-FACT

Supports:

- ▶ Theorem bodies, function definitions, and constraints  
(`meta-extract-formula`)
- ▶ Rewrite rules from functions' lemmas properties
- ▶ Evaluation of ground function calls (`magic-ev-fncall`).

# OUTLINE

INTRODUCTION

REVIEW OF :Meta RULES

EXAMPLE 1: USING GLOBAL FACTS

EXAMPLE 2: USING CONTEXTS

A NICE SHORTCUT

SOME APPLICATIONS

CONCLUSION

## EXAMPLE 2: USING CONTEXTS

Consider this metafunction:

```
(defun nth-symbolp-metafn (term mfc state)
  (declare (xargs :stobjs state))
  (case-match term
    (('nth n x)
     (if (equal (mfc-ts n mfc state :forcep nil)
                *ts-symbol*)
         (list 'car x)
         term))
    (& term)))
```

Approximately: “If term is `(nth n x)` and `n` is known to be a symbol in the current context, rewrite term to `(car x)`.”

## EXAMPLE 2: USING CONTEXTS

- ▶ How can we prove this correct?
- ▶ Before meta-extract we'd need to somehow verify that `mfc-ts` was "telling the truth"
  - ▶ E.g., have a hypothesis metafunction that produces the corresponding assumption.
- ▶ Meta-extract lets you assume this while proving your metafunction correct.

## EXAMPLE 2: USING CONTEXTS

Correctness theorem for `nth-symbolp-metafn`:

```
; workshops/2017/kaufmann-swords/support/intro.lisp
(defthm nth-symbolp-meta
  (implies
    ;; Meta-extract hypothesis:
    (nthmeta-ev (meta-extract-contextual-fact
                 `(:typeset , (cadr term))
                 mfc
                 state)
               a)
    ;; Standard meta rule conclusion:
    (equal (nthmeta-ev term a)
           (nthmeta-ev (nth-symbolp-metafn
                       term mfc state)
                       a)))
  :rule-classes ( (:meta :trigger-fns (nth))))
```

## EXAMPLE 2: META-EXTRACT HYPOTHESIS

Meta-extract-contextual-fact:

- ▶ Returns various terms expressing facts known under a given context.
- ▶ Only produces terms that are known true.
- ▶ Meta rule theorems are allowed to assume the terms it produces evaluate to true.

Part of the definition:

```
(case-match obj
  ((' :typeset term . &) ; mfc-ts produces correct result
    `(typespec-check
      ', (mfc-ts term mfc state :forcep nil :ttreep nil)
      ,term))
```

# META-EXTRACT-CONTEXTUAL-FACT

Supports:

- ▶ Typeset reasoning (`mfc-ts`)
- ▶ Rewriting (`mfc-rw`, `mfc-rw+`, `mfc-relieve-hyp`)
- ▶ Linear arithmetic (`mfc-ap`)

# OUTLINE

INTRODUCTION

REVIEW OF :Meta RULES

EXAMPLE 1: USING GLOBAL FACTS

EXAMPLE 2: USING CONTEXTS

A NICE SHORTCUT

SOME APPLICATIONS

CONCLUSION

## A NICE SHORTCUT

```
(my-evl (meta-extract-contextual-fact obj mfc state) a)
(my-evl (meta-extract-global-fact obj state) alist)
```

The above meta-extract hyps are accepted with *any term* in place of `obj` and `alist`.

```
(defchoose my-evl-contextual-badguy (obj) (a mfc state)
  (not (my-evl (meta-extract-contextual-fact
                obj mfc state)
              a)))
```

- ▶ Means: “If there is an `obj` such that the evaluation of the meta-extract is false, return one”
- ▶ Using this as the `obj` implies the hyp for all `obj`.
- ▶ → At most two meta-extract hyps cover all uses.

## A NICE SHORTCUT

Community book “clause-processors/meta-extract-user” defines event-generating macro `def-meta-extract`, which produces:

- ▶ bad guy functions for a given evaluator
- ▶ macros for meta-extract hyps using bad-guys
- ▶ theorems showing how these hyps imply the correctness of various tools/facts.

E.g.,

```
(defthm my-evl-meta-extract-formula
  (implies (and (my-evl-meta-extract-global-facts)
                (equal (w st) (w state))))
           (my-evl (meta-extract-formula name st) a)))
```

# OUTLINE

INTRODUCTION

REVIEW OF :Meta RULES

EXAMPLE 1: USING GLOBAL FACTS

EXAMPLE 2: USING CONTEXTS

A NICE SHORTCUT

SOME APPLICATIONS

CONCLUSION

## SOME APPLICATIONS

- ▶ The GL symbolic interpreter uses meta-extract hypotheses to call functions, use rewrite rules, etc., without additional proof obligations
- ▶ The community book `centaur/misc/bound-rewriter.lisp` provides a tool for solving certain inequalities
- ▶ A meta rule for context-sensitive rewriting (like Greve's "nary" framework) is defined in `centaur/misc/context-rw.lisp`
- ▶ Others....

# OUTLINE

INTRODUCTION

REVIEW OF :Meta RULES

EXAMPLE 1: USING GLOBAL FACTS

EXAMPLE 2: USING CONTEXTS

A NICE SHORTCUT

SOME APPLICATIONS

CONCLUSION

# CONCLUSION

Some concluding thoughts....

- ▶ This talk is just an introduction; meta reasoning is a bit complex to absorb in real time!
- ▶ The paper develops the ideas from this talk more thoroughly, with more illustrative examples.
- ▶ If you use GL then you are already taking advantage of meta-extract.