# CS344M <br> Autonomous Multiagent Systems 

Patrick MacAlpine

Department of Computer Science The University of Texas at Austin

## Good Afternoon, Colleagues

## Are there any questions?
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- Final projects due in 2 weeks!
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|  | utility |
| :--- | ---: |
| camera alone | $\$ 50$ |
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- What's the value of the flash?
- Camera price $=\$ 70 \Rightarrow$ value(flash) $=\$ 30$
- Camera price $=\$ 20 \Rightarrow$ value(flash) $=\$ 50$
- Camera price $=\$ 40 \Rightarrow$ value(flash) $=\$ 50$
- Expected value: resample camera price, take avg.
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- Which basic auction format?
- Sequential or simultaneous auctions?
- Combinatorial bids allowed?
- How to encourage designated companies?
- Up front payments or royalties?
- Reserve prices?
- How much information public?
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## Second price, sealed bid

- High bidder's willingness to pay is public
- No reserve prices
- No penalties for default, so many meaningless high bids

Any oversight in auction design can have harmful repercussions, as bidders can be counted on to seek ways to outfox the mechanism.
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## License interactions

- Complementarities: good to be able to offer roaming capabilities
- Substitutability: several licenses in the same region
- Need to be flexible to allow bidders to create aggregations
- Secondary market might allow for some corrections
- Likely to be thin
- High transaction costs
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Used laboratory experiments too
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## Simultaneous vs. Sequential

- Sequential prevents backup strategies for aggregation
- Sequential also allows for budget stretching
- Simultaneous needs a stopping rule
- Closing one by one is effectively sequential
- Keeping all open until all close encourages sniping
- Stopping rule should:
- End auction quickly
- Close licenses almost simultaneously
- be simple and understandable

> Went with activity rules

## Combinatorial Bids

- Nationwide bidding could decrease efficiency and revenue


## Combinatorial Bids

- Nationwide bidding could decrease efficiency and revenue
- Full combinatorial bidding too complex
- Winner determination problem
- Active research area
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- Circumvented!
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- Royalties decrease risk, increase bids
- But royalties discourage post-auction innovation
- Decided against


## Reserve Prices

- Not necessary in such a competitive market
- Did include withdrawal penalties


## Results

- Big successes
- Lots of bidders
- Lots of revenue


## Results

- Big successes
- Lots of bidders
- Lots of revenue
- Also some problems
- Strategic Demand Reduction


## Results

- Big successes
- Lots of bidders
- Lots of revenue
- Also some problems
- Strategic Demand Reduction
- Incremental design changes
- New problems always arise
- Bidders indeed find ways to circumvent mechanisms


## Results

- Big successes
- Lots of bidders
- Lots of revenue
- Also some problems
- Strategic Demand Reduction
- Incremental design changes
- New problems always arise
- Bidders indeed find ways to circumvent mechanisms
- Lessons to be learned via agent-based experiments


## Discussion

- How could you fix the aspects that were circumvented?


## Discussion

- How could you fix the aspects that were circumvented?
- Could you design a better auction mechanism?


## Discussion

- How could you fix the aspects that were circumvented?
- Could you design a better auction mechanism?
- Best bidding strategies?


## Discussion

- How could you fix the aspects that were circumvented?
- Could you design a better auction mechanism?
- Best bidding strategies?
- Use of agents in FCC spectrum auction?


## Discussion

- How could you fix the aspects that were circumvented?
- Could you design a better auction mechanism?
- Best bidding strategies?
- Use of agents in FCC spectrum auction?
- Need to know entire agent preference...


## Discussion

- How could you fix the aspects that were circumvented?
- Could you design a better auction mechanism?
- Best bidding strategies?
- Use of agents in FCC spectrum auction?
- Need to know entire agent preference...
- Multiple item bidding in RoboCup?


## FCC Spectrum Auction \#35

- 422 licences in 195 markets (cities)
- 80 bidders spent $\$ 8$ billion
- ran Dec 12 - Jan 262001
- licence is a 10 or 15 mhz spectrum chunk
- Run in rounds
- bid on each licence you want each round
- simultaneous; break ties by arrival time
- current winner and all bids are known
- Allowable bids: 1 to 9 bid increments
- 1 bid incr is $10 \%-20 \%$ of current price
- Other complex rules

