


Optimizations


Where We Are



What Next?

- At this point we could generate assembly code from the low-level IR
- Better:
 - Optimize the program first
 - Then generate code
- If optimization performed at the IR level, then they apply to all target machines

Optimizations



What are Optimizations?

- **Optimizations** = code transformations that *improve* the program
- **Different kinds**
 - space optimizations: improve (reduce) memory use
 - time optimizations: improve (reduce) execution time
 - power optimizations: improve (reduce) power consumption
- Code transformations must be **safe!**
 - They must preserve the meaning of the program

Why Optimize?

- Programmers don't always write optimal code – can recognize ways to improve code (e.g., avoid recomputing same expression)
- High-level language may make some optimizations inconvenient or impossible to express

```
a[ i ][ j ] = a[ i ][ j ] + 1;
```

- High-level unoptimized code may be more readable: cleaner, modular

```
int square(x) { return x*x; }
```

Where to Optimize?

- Usual goal: improve time performance
- Problem: many optimizations trade off space versus time
- Example: loop unrolling
 - Increases code space, speeds up one loop
 - Frequently executed code with long loops: space/time tradeoff is generally a win
 - Infrequently executed code: may want to optimize code space at expense of time
- Want to optimize program hot spots

Many Possible Optimizations

- Many ways to optimize a program
- Some of the most common optimizations:
 - Function Inlining
 - Function Cloning
 - Constant folding
 - Constant propagation
 - Dead code elimination
 - Loop-invariant code motion
 - Common sub-expression elimination
 - Strength reduction
 - Branch prediction/optimization
 - Loop unrolling

Constant Propagation

- If value of variable is known to be a constant, replace use of variable with constant

- Example:

`n = 10`

`c = 2`

`for (i=0; i<n; i++) { s = s + i*c; }`

- Replace n, c:

`for (i=0; i<10; i++) { s = s + i*2; }`

- Each variable must be replaced only when it has known constant value:
 - Forward from a constant assignment
 - Until next assignment of the variable

Constant Folding

- Evaluate an expression if operands are known at compile time (i.e., they are constants)

- Example:

$x = 1.1 * 2; \Rightarrow x = 2.2;$

- Performed at every stage of compilation
 - Constants created by translations or optimizations

$\text{int } x = a[2] \Rightarrow \begin{aligned} t1 &= 2*4 \\ t2 &= a + t1 \\ x &= *t2 \end{aligned}$

Algebraic Simplification

- More general form of constant folding: take advantage of usual simplification rules

$$a * 1 \Rightarrow a$$

$$a * 0 \Rightarrow 0$$

$$a / 1 \Rightarrow a$$

$$a + 0 \Rightarrow a$$

$$b \ || \ \text{false} \Rightarrow b$$

$$b \ \&\& \ \text{true} \Rightarrow b$$

- Repeatedly apply the above rules

$$(y * 1 + 0) / 1 \Rightarrow y * 1 + 0 \Rightarrow y * 1 \Rightarrow y$$

- Must be careful with floating point!

Copy Propagation

- After assignment $x = y$, replace uses of x with y
- Replace until x is assigned again

```
x = y;  
if (x > 1)  
    s = x * f(x - 1);
```

\Rightarrow

```
x = y;  
if (y > 1)  
    s = y * f(y - 1);
```

- What if there was an assignment $y = z$ before?
 - Transitively apply replacements

Common Subexpression Elimination

- If program computes same expression multiple time, can reuse the computed value

- Example:

| | | |
|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| <code>a = b+c;</code> | | <code>a = b+c;</code> |
| <code>c = b+c;</code> | \Rightarrow | <code>c = a;</code> |
| <code>d = b+c;</code> | | <code>d = b+c;</code> |

- Common subexpressions also occur in low-level code in address calculations for array accesses:

`a[i] = b[i] + 1;`

Unreachable Code Elimination

- Eliminate code that is never executed
- Example:

```
#define debug false
```

```
s = 1;           ⇒   s = 1;
```

```
if (debug)
```

```
    print("state = ", s);
```

- Unreachable code may not be obvious in low IR (or in high-level languages with unstructured "goto" statements)

Unreachable Code Elimination

- Unreachable code in while/if statements when:
 - Loop condition is always false (loop never executed)
 - Condition of an if statement is always true or always false (only one branch executed)

| | | |
|----------------------|---|----|
| if (false) S | ⇒ | ; |
| if (true) S else S' | ⇒ | S |
| if (false) S else S' | ⇒ | S' |
| while (false) S | ⇒ | ; |
| while (2>3) S | ⇒ | ; |

Dead Code Elimination

- If effect of a statement is never observed, eliminate the statement

```
x = y+1;  
y = 1;  
x = 2*z;           ⇒           y = 1;  
                                     x = 2*z;
```

- Variable is *dead* if value is never used after definition
- Eliminate assignments to dead variables
- Other optimizations may create dead code

Loop Optimizations

- Program hot spots are usually loops (exceptions: OS kernels, compilers)
- Most execution time in most programs is spent in loops: 90/10 is typical
- Loop optimizations are important, effective, and numerous

Loop-Invariant Code Motion

- If result of a statement or expression does not change during loop, and it has no externally-visible side-effect (!), can **hoist** its computation out of the loop
- Often useful for array element addressing computations – invariant code not visible at source level
- Requires analysis to identify loop-invariant expressions

Code Motion Example

- Identify invariant expression:

```
for(i=0; i<n; i++)  
    a[i] = a[i] + (x*x)/(y*y);
```

- Hoist the expression out of the loop:

```
c = (x*x)/(y*y);  
for(i=0; i<n; i++)  
    a[i] = a[i] + c;
```

Another Example

- Can also hoist statements out of loops
- Assume x not updated in the loop body:

```
...  
while (...) {  
    y = x*x;  
    ...  
}  
...  
⇒  
...  
y = x*x;  
while (...) {  
    ...  
}  
...
```

- ... Is it safe?

Strength Reduction

- Replaces expensive operations (multiplies, divides) by cheap ones (adds, subtracts)
- Strength reduction more effective in loops
- **Induction variable** = loop variable whose value is depends linearly on the iteration number
- Apply strength reduction to induction variables

```
s = 0;
for (i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    v = 4*i;
    s = s + v;
}
```

⇒

```
s = 0; v = -4;
for (i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    v = v+4;
    s = s + v;
}
```

Strength Reduction

- Can apply strength reduction to computation other than induction variables:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} x * 2 & \Rightarrow & x + x \\ i * 2^c & \Rightarrow & i \ll c \\ i / 2^c & \Rightarrow & i \gg c \end{array}$$

Induction Variable Elimination

- If there are multiple induction variables in a loop, can eliminate the ones that are used only in the test condition
- Need to rewrite test using the other induction variables
- Usually applied after strength reduction

```
s = 0; v=-4;
for (i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    v = v+4;
    s = s + v;
}
```

⇒

```
s = 0; v = -4;
for (; v < (4*n-4);) {
    v = v+4;
    s = s + v;
}
```

Loop Unrolling

- Execute loop body multiple times at each iteration

- Example:

```
for (i = 0; i < n; i++) { S }
```

- Unroll loop four times:

```
for (i = 0; i < n-3; i+=4) { S; S; S; S; }  
for (      ; i < n; i++) S;
```

- Gets rid of $\frac{3}{4}$ of conditional branches!
- Space-time tradeoff: program size increases

Function Inlining

- Replace a function call with the body of the function:

```
int g(int x) { return f(x)-1; }
```

```
int f(int n) { int b=1; while (n--) { b = 2*b }; return b; }
```

```
int g(int x) { int r;  
              int n = x;  
              { int b =1; while (n--) { b = 2*b }; r = b }  
              return r - 1; }
```

- Can inline methods, but more difficult
- ... how about recursive procedures?

Function Cloning

- Create specialized versions of functions that are called from different call sites with different arguments

```
void f(int x[], int n, int m) {  
    for(int i=0; i<n; i++) { x[i] = x[i] + i*m; }  
}
```

- For a call `f(a, 10, 1)`, create a specialized version of f:

```
void f1(int x[]) {  
    for(int i=0; i<10; i++) { x[i] = x[i] + i; }  
}
```

- For another call `f(b, p, 0)`, create another version `f2(...)`

When to Apply Optimizations

| | |
|----------|---|
| High IR | Function inlining Function cloning Cache optimizations |
| Low IR | Constant folding Constant propagation Value numbering Dead code elimination Loop-invariant code motion Common sub-expression elimination Strength reduction |
| Assembly | Constant folding & propagation Branch prediction/optimization Loop unrolling Register allocation |

Summary

- Many useful optimizations that can transform code to make it faster
- Whole is greater than sum of parts: optimizations should be applied together, sometimes more than once, at different levels
- Problem: when are optimizations are safe?
 - Dataflow analysis to find opportunities for applying optimizations safely