CS378
Autonomous Multiagent Systems
Spring 2005

Prof: Peter Stone
TA: Nate Kohl

Department or Computer Sciences
The University of Texas at Austin

Week 3a: Tuesday, January 31st

Good Afternoon, Colleagues

Are there any questions?

Logistics

- Programming assignment questions?

Logistics

- Programming assignment questions?
- Check the resources page

Logistics

- Programming assignment questions?
- Check the resources page
- Next week's readings up
  - Multiagent Systems – an overview
    - Another overview (optional)
  - Pushing Brooks' approach to MAS

Logistics

- Programming assignment questions?
- Check the resources page
- Next week's readings up
  - Multiagent Systems – an overview
    - Another overview (optional)
  - Pushing Brooks' approach to MAS
  - Free-form response

Satinder Singh's Talk

- Any reactions?

Class Discussion: Jason Huie

- Should we model robot learning after human learning?

The Decision

The Decision

- reactive vs. deliberative (3 senses)

The Decision

- reactive vs. deliberative (3 senses)
  - Respond in a timely fashion
  - No complex respresentation
  - No state at all (respond to current percepts)

The Decision

- reactive vs. deliberative (3 senses)
  - Respond in a timely fashion
  - No complex respresentation
  - No state at all (respond to current percepts)
- multiagent reasoning?

The Decision

- reactive vs. deliberative (3 senses)
  - Respond in a timely fashion
  - No complex respresentation
  - No state at all (respond to current percepts)
- multiagent reasoning?
- learning?

Standard vs. State-based Agents

It is worth observing that state-based agents as defined here are in fact no more powerful than the standard agents we introduced earlier. In fact, the are *identical* in their expressive power.

Standard vs. State-based Agents

It is worth observing that state-based agents as defined here are in fact no more powerful than the standard agents we introduced earlier. In fact, the are *identical* in their expressive power.

- Standard agent: $action : \mathcal{S}^* \mapsto \mathcal{A}$

Reactive Agents (from the book)

- $action : \mathcal{P} \mapsto \mathcal{A}$
- Decision based entirely on the present

Reactive Agents (from the book)

- $action : \mathcal{P} \mapsto \mathcal{A}$
- Decision based entirely on the present
  - True of Brooks' "reactive" agents?

Brooks' Goals

Brooks' Goals

- Autonomous mobile agents that are seen as intelligent
- No interest in applications
- Timely, robust, do something

Brooks' Goals

- Autonomous mobile agents that are seen as intelligent
- No interest in applications
- Timely, robust, do something
- How differ from 3T goals?

Brooks' Goals

- Autonomous mobile agents that are seen as intelligent
- No interest in applications
- Timely, robust, do something
- How differ from 3T goals?
  - What are their stances towards modeling biology?
  - Which is more biologically plausible?

Brooks' Goals

- Autonomous mobile agents that are seen as intelligent
- No interest in applications
- Timely, robust, do something
- How differ from 3T goals?
  - What are their stances towards modeling biology?
  - Which is more biologically plausible?

Subsumption Architecture

Brooks' Goals

- Autonomous mobile agents that are seen as intelligent
- No interest in applications
- Timely, robust, do something
- How differ from 3T goals?
  - What are their stances towards modeling biology?
  - Which is more biologically plausible?

Subsumption Architecture

(journal article, page 2)