CS394R Reinforcement Learning: Theory and Practice

Scott Niekum and Peter Stone

Department of Computer Science The University of Texas at Austin

Good Morning Colleagues

Good Morning Colleagues

• Are there any questions?



• Resources page - and Sutton materials



- Resources page and Sutton materials
- Next week's readings

• Defined the problem

- Defined the problem
- Introduced some important notation and concepts.

- Defined the problem
- Introduced some important notation and concepts.
 - Returns
 - Markov property
 - State/action value functions
 - Bellman equations

- Defined the problem
- Introduced some important notation and concepts.
 - Returns
 - Markov property
 - State/action value functions
 - Bellman equations
 - Get comfortable with them!

- Defined the problem
- Introduced some important notation and concepts.
 - Returns
 - Markov property
 - State/action value functions
 - Bellman equations
 - Get comfortable with them!

$$- q_{\pi}(s, a) = \dots$$

- Defined the problem
- Introduced some important notation and concepts.
 - Returns
 - Markov property
 - State/action value functions
 - Bellman equations
 - Get comfortable with them!

$$- q_{\pi}(s, a) = \dots$$
 (Exercise 3.13)

- Defined the problem
- Introduced some important notation and concepts.
 - Returns
 - Markov property
 - State/action value functions
 - Bellman equations
 - Get comfortable with them!
 - $q_{\pi}(s, a) = \dots$ (Exercise 3.13)
 - Backup diagrams

- Defined the problem
- Introduced some important notation and concepts.
 - Returns
 - Markov property
 - State/action value functions
 - Bellman equations
 - Get comfortable with them!
 - $q_{\pi}(s, a) = \dots$ (Exercise 3.13)
 - Backup diagrams
- Solution methods start in Chapter 4

- Defined the problem
- Introduced some important notation and concepts.
 - Returns
 - Markov property
 - State/action value functions
 - Bellman equations
 - Get comfortable with them!
 - $q_{\pi}(s, a) = \dots$ (Exercise 3.13)
 - Backup diagrams
- Solution methods start in Chapter 4
 - What does it mean to **solve** an RL problem?

Formulating the RL problem

• Art more than science

Formulating the RL problem

- Art more than science
- States, actions, rewards

Formulating the RL problem

- Art more than science
- States, actions, rewards
 - Rewards: no hints on **how** to solve the problem

- Art more than science
- States, actions, rewards
 - Rewards: no hints on how to solve the problem
 - Joseph Muffoletto: in chess, doesn't that make the problem very hard to solve?

- Art more than science
- States, actions, rewards
 - Rewards: no hints on how to solve the problem
 - Joseph Muffoletto: in chess, doesn't that make the problem very hard to solve?
- Discount factor part of the environment

• Does it hold in the real world?

- Does it hold in the real world?
 - Nikita Gollamudi: Are any systems "fundamentally" non-Markovian?

- Does it hold in the real world?
 - Nikita Gollamudi: Are any systems "fundamentally" non-Markovian?
 - What if there's a time horizon?

- Does it hold in the real world?
 - Nikita Gollamudi: Are any systems "fundamentally" non-Markovian?
 - What if there's a time horizon?
- It's an ideal
 - Will allow us to prove properties of algorithms

- Does it hold in the real world?
 - Nikita Gollamudi: Are any systems "fundamentally" non-Markovian?
 - What if there's a time horizon?
- It's an ideal
 - Will allow us to prove properties of algorithms
 - Algorithms may still work when not provably correct

- Does it hold in the real world?
 - Nikita Gollamudi: Are any systems "fundamentally" non-Markovian?
 - What if there's a time horizon?
- It's an ideal
 - Will allow us to prove properties of algorithms
 - Algorithms may still work when not provably correct
 - Could you compensate? Do algorithms change?

- Does it hold in the real world?
 - Nikita Gollamudi: Are any systems "fundamentally" non-Markovian?
 - What if there's a time horizon?
- It's an ideal
 - Will allow us to prove properties of algorithms
 - Algorithms may still work when not provably correct
 - Could you compensate? Do algorithms change?
 - If not, you may want different algorithms (Monte Carlo)

• Solution methods given a model

- Solution methods given a model
 - So no exploration vs. exploitation

- Solution methods given a model
 - So no exploration vs. exploitation
- Use **bootstrapping**

• V^{π} exists and is unique if $\gamma < 1$ or termination guaranteed for all states under policy π .

- V^{π} exists and is unique if $\gamma < 1$ or termination guaranteed for all states under policy π .
- Policy evaluation converges under the same conditions

- V^{π} exists and is unique if $\gamma < 1$ or termination guaranteed for all states under policy π .
- Policy evaluation converges under the same conditions
- Policy evaluation on the week 1 problem
 - undiscounted, episodic

- V^{π} exists and is unique if $\gamma < 1$ or termination guaranteed for all states under policy π .
- Policy evaluation converges under the same conditions
- Policy evaluation on the week 1 problem
 - undiscounted, episodic
 - Are the conditions met?

• Policy improvement theorem: $\forall s, q_{\pi}(s, \pi'(s)) \ge v_{\pi}(s) \Rightarrow \forall s, v_{\pi'}(s) \ge v_{\pi}(s)$

- Policy improvement theorem: $\forall s, q_{\pi}(s, \pi'(s)) \ge v_{\pi}(s) \Rightarrow \forall s, v_{\pi'}(s) \ge v_{\pi}(s)$
- Polynomial time convergence (in number of states n and actions m) even though m^n policies.
 - Ignoring effect of γ and bits to represent rewards/transitions

- Show the new policy at each step
 - Doesn't actually compute policy

- Show the new policy at each step
 - Doesn't actually compute policy
 - Break policy ties with equiprobable actions

- Show the new policy at each step
 - Doesn't actually compute policy
 - Break policy ties with equiprobable actions
 - No stochastic transitions

- Show the new policy at each step
 - Doesn't actually compute policy
 - Break policy ties with equiprobable actions
 - No stochastic transitions
- How would policy iteration proceed in comparison?
 - More or fewer policy updates?

- Show the new policy at each step
 - Doesn't actually compute policy
 - Break policy ties with equiprobable actions
 - No stochastic transitions
- How would policy iteration proceed in comparison?
 - More or fewer policy updates?
 - True in general?

- Show the new policy at each step
 - Doesn't actually compute policy
 - Break policy ties with equiprobable actions
 - No stochastic transitions
- How would policy iteration proceed in comparison?
 - More or fewer policy updates?
 - True in general?
- How important are the initial values?

 Oguzhan Akcin: Is it possible for DP to get stuck in the local minimum?

- Oguzhan Akcin: Is it possible for DP to get stuck in the local minimum?
- Jiaxun Cui: If we are not able to visit each state at least once, do PE and PI find an optimal policy?

- Oguzhan Akcin: Is it possible for DP to get stuck in the local minimum?
- Jiaxun Cui: If we are not able to visit each state at least once, do PE and PI find an optimal policy?
- Caroline Wang: Why treat prediction and control separately? Why is the prediction problem important?

- Oguzhan Akcin: Is it possible for DP to get stuck in the local minimum?
- Jiaxun Cui: If we are not able to visit each state at least once, do PE and PI find an optimal policy?
- Caroline Wang: Why treat prediction and control separately? Why is the prediction problem important?
- Stephane Hatgiskessell: When can asynchronous DP ignore states?
- Jeongmu Daniel Hahn: How can asynchronous DP reduce memory usage?

• Chapter 4 treats **bootstrapping** with a **model**

Chapter 4 treats bootstrapping with a model
Next: no model and no bootstrapping

- Chapter 4 treats **bootstrapping** with a **model**
 - Next: no model and no bootstrapping
 - Then: no model, but bootstrapping