# REINFORCEMENT LEARNING: THEORY AND PRACTICE Ch. 5: Monte Carlo Methods

Profs. Amy Zhang and Peter Stone



### **Questions?**

# **Previously**

Chapter 4: Computed value functions using knowledge of the MDP

### **Today**

Chapter 5: Learn value functions from sample (Monte Carlo) returns

Similar to Chapter 2 on bandits, but now compute average *returns* rather than average *rewards*



Episode 0: State, Action, Reward 0, Clap, 0 1, Clap, 0 2, Clap, 1 3, Wave, 0 7, Clap, 10











0 0 0 0

8 9 10 11







#### **First visit vs. every visit**

#### First-visit MC prediction, for estimating  $V \approx v_{\pi}$

```
Input: a policy \pi to be evaluated
Initialize:
    V(s) \in \mathbb{R}, arbitrarily, for all s \in \mathcal{S}Returns(s) \leftarrow an empty list, for all s \in SLoop forever (for each episode):
    Generate an episode following \pi: S_0, A_0, R_1, S_1, A_1, R_2, \ldots, S_{T-1}, A_{T-1}, R_TG \leftarrow 0Loop for each step of episode, t = T-1, T-2, \ldots, 0:
         G \leftarrow G + R_{t+1}Unless S_t appears in S_0, S_1, \ldots, S_{t-1}:
              Append G to Returns(S_t)V(S_t) \leftarrow \text{average}(Returns(S_t))
```
#### **First visit vs. every visit**

#### First-visit MC prediction, for estimating  $V \approx v_{\pi}$

```
Input: a policy \pi to be evaluated
Initialize:
    V(s) \in \mathbb{R}, arbitrarily, for all s \in \mathcal{S}Returns(s) \leftarrow an empty list, for all s \in SLoop forever (for each episode):
    Generate an episode following \pi: S_0, A_0, R_1, S_1, A_1, R_2, \ldots, S_{T-1}, A_{T-1}, R_TG \leftarrow 0Loop for each step of episode, t = T-1, T-2, \ldots, 0:
         G \leftarrow G + R_{t+1}Unless S_t appears in S_0, S_1, \ldots, S_{t-1}.
              Append G to Returns(S_t)V(S_t) \leftarrow \text{average}(Returns(S_t))
```
#### **First visit vs. every visit**

#### First-visit MC prediction, for estimating  $V \approx v_{\pi}$

```
Input: a policy \pi to be evaluated
Initialize:
    V(s) \in \mathbb{R}, arbitrarily, for all s \in \mathcal{S}Returns(s) \leftarrow an empty list, for all s \in SLoop forever (for each episode):
    Generate an episode following \pi: S_0, A_0, R_1, S_1, A_1, R_2, \ldots, S_{T-1}, A_{T-1}, R_TG \leftarrow 0Loop for each step of episode, t = T-1, T-2, \ldots, 0:
         G \leftarrow G + R_{t+1}Unless S_t appears in S_0, S_1, \ldots, S_{t-1}.
              Append G to Returns(S_t)V(S_t) \leftarrow \text{average}(Returns(S_t))
```
### **Difference between DP and MC methods**

DP methods:

- Require environment dynamics p(s', r | s, a)
- Difficult to acquire in practice

#### **Difference between DP and MC methods**

DP methods:

- Require environment dynamics p(s', r | s, a)
- Difficult to acquire in practice

MC methods:

- Don't need environment dynamics  $p(s', r | s, a)$
- Only need environment samples!

#### **Generalizing Backup Diagrams to Monte Carlo Algorithms**

Whereas the DP diagram shows all possible transitions, the Monte Carlo diagram shows only those sampled on the one episode.



Whereas the DP diagram includes only one-step transitions, the Monte Carlo diagram goes all the way to the end of the episode.

#### **Draw the backup diagram for a Monte Carlo algorithm**

Episode 1: State, Action, Reward 0, Wave, 0 4, Wave, 0 8, Clap, 0 9, Stand, 0 5, Clap, 0 6, Wave, 0 10, Clap, 2 11, Stand, 0 7, Clap, 10

#### **Draw the backup diagram for a Monte Carlo algorithm**

Episode 1: State, Action, Reward 0, Wave, 0 4, Wave, 0 8, Clap, 0 9, Stand, 0 5, Clap, 0 6, Wave, 0 10, Clap, 2 11, Stand, 0 7, Clap, 10



What happens if a state-action pair is never visited?

What happens if a state-action pair is never visited?

For policy evaluation to work, we need to see all state-action pairs.

*Exploring starts*: every state-action pair has a nonzero probability of being selected as the start

What are other ways to ensure continual exploration?

What are other ways to ensure continual exploration?

Consider only stochastic policies with nonzero probability of selecting all actions in each state

Generalized Policy Iteration (GPI): maintains both an approximate policy and an approximate value function. The value function is repeatedly altered to more closely approximate the value function for the current policy, and the policy is repeatedly improved with respect to the current value function.



Monte Carlo version of policy iteration:

$$
\pi_0 \stackrel{\mathbb{E}}{\longrightarrow} q_{\pi_0} \stackrel{\mathbb{I}}{\longrightarrow} \pi_1 \stackrel{\mathbb{E}}{\longrightarrow} q_{\pi_1} \stackrel{\mathbb{I}}{\longrightarrow} \pi_2 \stackrel{\mathbb{E}}{\longrightarrow} \cdots \stackrel{\mathbb{I}}{\longrightarrow} \pi_* \stackrel{\mathbb{E}}{\longrightarrow} q_*
$$

Assumptions required for convergence?

Monte Carlo version of policy iteration:

$$
\pi_0 \stackrel{\mathbb{E}}{\longrightarrow} q_{\pi_0} \stackrel{\mathbb{I}}{\longrightarrow} \pi_1 \stackrel{\mathbb{E}}{\longrightarrow} q_{\pi_1} \stackrel{\mathbb{I}}{\longrightarrow} \pi_2 \stackrel{\mathbb{E}}{\longrightarrow} \cdots \stackrel{\mathbb{I}}{\longrightarrow} \pi_* \stackrel{\mathbb{E}}{\longrightarrow} q_*
$$

Assumptions required for convergence?

Exploring starts Infinite number of episodes for policy evaluation

Monte Carlo version of policy iteration:

$$
\pi_0 \stackrel{\mathbb{E}}{\longrightarrow} q_{\pi_0} \stackrel{\mathbb{I}}{\longrightarrow} \pi_1 \stackrel{\mathbb{E}}{\longrightarrow} q_{\pi_1} \stackrel{\mathbb{I}}{\longrightarrow} \pi_2 \stackrel{\mathbb{E}}{\longrightarrow} \cdots \stackrel{\mathbb{I}}{\longrightarrow} \pi_* \stackrel{\mathbb{E}}{\longrightarrow} q_*
$$

Assumptions required for convergence?

Exploring starts Infinite number of episodes for policy evaluation

Easy to remove - value iteration!



alternate between evaluation and improvement on an episode-by-episode basis







**How do we remove the exploring starts assumption?**

#### **How do we remove the exploring starts assumption?**

On-policy methods:

Policy is generally *soft:* probability of taking any action at any state > 0. Gradually shift closer and closer to deterministic optimal policy.

Ex: eps-greedy policies

#### **Monte Carlo Control without Exploring Starts**

```
On-policy first-visit MC control (for \varepsilon-soft policies), estimates \pi \approx \pi_*Algorithm parameter: small \varepsilon > 0Initialize:
    \pi \leftarrow an arbitrary \varepsilon-soft policy
    Q(s, a) \in \mathbb{R} (arbitrarily), for all s \in \mathcal{S}, a \in \mathcal{A}(s)Returns(s, a) \leftarrow \text{empty list, for all } s \in \mathcal{S}, a \in \mathcal{A}(s)Repeat forever (for each episode):
     Generate an episode following \pi: S_0, A_0, R_1, ..., S_{T-1}, A_{T-1}, R_TG \leftarrow 0Loop for each step of episode, t = T-1, T-2, \ldots, 0:
         G \leftarrow G + R_{t+1}Unless the pair S_t, A_t appears in S_0, A_0, S_1, A_1, ..., S_{t-1}, A_{t-1}:
             Append G to Returns(S_t, A_t)Q(S_t, A_t) \leftarrow \text{average}(Returns(S_t, A_t))A^* \leftarrow \arg \max_a Q(S_t, a)(with ties broken arbitrarily)
             For all a \in \mathcal{A}(S_t):
                      \pi(a|S_t) \leftarrow \begin{cases} 1 - \varepsilon + \varepsilon/|\mathcal{A}(S_t)| & \text{if } a = A^* \\ \varepsilon/|\mathcal{A}(S_t)| & \text{if } a \neq A^* \end{cases}
```
#### **How do we remove the exploring starts assumption?**

On-policy methods:

 Policy is generally *soft:* probability of taking any action at any state > 0. Gradually shift closer and closer to deterministic optimal policy.

Ex: eps-greedy policies

Policy iteration works with eps-soft policies! -> removed the need for exploring starts.

#### **How do we remove the exploring starts assumption?**

The on-policy approach in the preceding section is actually a compromise—it learns action values not for the optimal policy, but for a near-optimal policy that still explores.

Off-policy methods:

 More straightforward approach: two policies, one that is learned about and that becomes the optimal policy, and one that is more exploratory and is used to generate behavior.

*Target policy:* Policy being learned *Behavior policy:* Policy used to generate behavior **Trade-offs of on-policy vs. off-policy**

#### **Trade-offs of on-policy vs. off-policy**

On-policy method are simpler

Off-policy methods: Because the data is due to a different policy, off-policy methods are often of greater variance and are slower to converge.

More powerful and general. They include on-policy methods as the special case in which the target and behavior policies are the same.

Simplest setting:

- 1. *prediction* problem
- 2. Both target and behavior policies are fixed

Required assumptions:

*Coverage assumption*: Every action taken under the target policy is also taken under the behavior policy.

Importance sampling: technique for estimating expected values under one distribution given samples from another.

Probability of a state-action trajectory under any policy pi:

$$
\Pr\{A_t, S_{t+1}, A_{t+1}, \dots, S_T \mid S_t, A_{t:T-1} \sim \pi\}
$$
\n
$$
= \pi(A_t|S_t)p(S_{t+1}|S_t, A_t)\pi(A_{t+1}|S_{t+1})\cdots p(S_T|S_{T-1}, A_{T-1})
$$
\n
$$
= \prod_{k=t}^{T-1} \pi(A_k|S_k)p(S_{k+1}|S_k, A_k),
$$

What is the relative probability of the trajectory under target and behavior policies?

Importance sampling: technique for estimating expected values under one distribution given samples from another.

Probability of a state-action trajectory under any policy pi:

$$
\Pr\{A_t, S_{t+1}, A_{t+1}, \dots, S_T \mid S_t, A_{t:T-1} \sim \pi\}
$$
\n
$$
= \pi(A_t|S_t)p(S_{t+1}|S_t, A_t)\pi(A_{t+1}|S_{t+1})\cdots p(S_T|S_{T-1}, A_{T-1})
$$
\n
$$
= \prod_{k=t}^{T-1} \pi(A_k|S_k)p(S_{k+1}|S_k, A_k),
$$

What is the relative probability of the trajectory under target and behavior policies?

$$
\rho_{t:T-1} \doteq \frac{\prod_{k=t}^{T-1} \pi(A_k|S_k)p(S_{k+1}|S_k,A_k)}{\prod_{k=t}^{T-1} b(A_k|S_k)p(S_{k+1}|S_k,A_k)} = \prod_{k=t}^{T-1} \frac{\pi(A_k|S_k)}{b(A_k|S_k)}
$$

Dynamics cancel out - doesn't depend on MDP!

#### **Importance sampling ratio**

Target policy: 0.1 for stand, 0.8 for clap, 0.1 for wave at each state. Behavior policy: 0.8 for actions taken, 0.1 otherwise.

$$
\rho_{t:T-1} \doteq \prod_{k=t}^{T-1} \frac{\pi(A_k|S_k)}{b(A_k|S_k)}
$$

Episode 0: State, Action, Reward 0, Clap, 0 1, Clap, 0 2, Clap, 1 3, Wave, 0 7, Clap, 10



#### **Importance sampling ratio**

Target policy: 0.1 for stand, 0.8 for clap, 0.1 for wave at each state. Behavior policy: 0.8 for actions taken, 0.1 otherwise.

$$
\rho_{t:T-1} \doteq \prod_{k=t}^{T-1} \frac{\pi(A_k|S_k)}{b(A_k|S_k)}
$$

Episode 0: State, Action, Reward 0, Clap, 0 1, Clap, 0 2, Clap, 1 3, Wave, 0 7, Clap, 10



Recall: wish to estimate expected returns under target policy, but only have returns under behavior policy.

$$
\rho_{t:T-1} \doteq \frac{\prod_{k=t}^{T-1} \pi(A_k|S_k)p(S_{k+1}|S_k,A_k)}{\prod_{k=t}^{T-1} b(A_k|S_k)p(S_{k+1}|S_k,A_k)} = \prod_{k=t}^{T-1} \frac{\pi(A_k|S_k)}{b(A_k|S_k)}
$$

$$
\mathbb{E}[\rho_{t:T-1}G_t | S_t] = v_{\pi}(S_t)
$$

Computing this expectation in practice requires a scaling term

$$
V(s) \doteq \frac{\sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}(s)} \rho_{t:T(t)-1} G_t}{|\mathcal{T}(s)|}.
$$
 Number of steps

Ordinary importance sampling

Recall: wish to estimate expected returns under target policy, but only have returns under behavior policy.

$$
\rho_{t:T-1} \doteq \prod_{k=t}^{T-1} \frac{\pi(A_k|S_k)}{b(A_k|S_k)}
$$

$$
\mathbb{E}[\rho_{t:T-1}G_t \mid S_t] = v_{\pi}(S_t)
$$

Computing this expectation in practice requires a scaling term

$$
V(s) \doteq \frac{\sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}(s)} \rho_{t:T(t)-1} G_t}{\sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}(s)} \rho_{t:T(t)-1}}
$$

*Weighted* importance sampling

#### **Ordinary vs. weighted importance sampling**

Target policy: 0.1 for stand, 0.8 for clap, 0.1 for wave at each state. Behavior policy: 0.8 for actions taken, 0.1 otherwise.

Episode 0: State, Action, Reward 0, Clap, 0 1, Clap, 0 2, Clap, 1 3, Wave, 0 7, Clap, 10



$$
\rho_{t:T-1} = \prod_{k=t}^{T-1} \frac{\pi(A_k|S_k)}{b(A_k|S_k)}
$$

$$
V(s) = \frac{\sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}(s)} \rho_{t:T(t)-1} G_t}{|\mathcal{T}(s)|}.
$$
Yrdinary importance sampling

 $V(s) = \frac{S(s)}{\sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}(s)} \rho_{t:T(t)-1}}$ *Weighted* importance sampling

#### **Ordinary vs. weighted importance sampling**

Target policy: 0.1 for stand, 0.8 for clap, 0.1 for wave at each state. Behavior policy: 0.8 for actions taken, 0.1 otherwise.

Episode 0: State, Action, Reward 0, Clap, 0 1, Clap, 0 2, Clap, 1 3, Wave, 0 7, Clap, 10



$$
\rho_{t:T-1} = \prod_{k=t}^{T-1} \frac{\pi(A_k|S_k)}{b(A_k|S_k)}
$$
  
 
$$
\forall (s=0) = 0.125 * 11 / 1 = 1.375
$$
  
Ordinary importance sampling

*Weighted* importance sampling  $S(5=0) = (0.125 * 11) / 0.04096 = 11$ 

#### **Incremental Implementation of MC Prediction**

Off-policy MC prediction (policy evaluation) for estimating  $Q \approx q_{\pi}$ 

```
Input: an arbitrary target policy \piInitialize, for all s \in \mathcal{S}, a \in \mathcal{A}(s):
     Q(s, a) \in \mathbb{R} (arbitrarily)
     C(s,a) \leftarrow 0Loop forever (for each episode):
     b \leftarrow any policy with coverage of \piGenerate an episode following b: S_0, A_0, R_1, \ldots, S_{T-1}, A_{T-1}, R_TG \leftarrow 0W \leftarrow 1Loop for each step of episode, t = T-1, T-2, \ldots, 0:
           G \leftarrow \gamma G + R_{t+1}C(S_t, A_t) \leftarrow C(S_t, A_t) + W<br>
Q(S_t, A_t) \leftarrow Q(S_t, A_t) + \frac{W}{C(S_t, A_t)} [G - Q(S_t, A_t)]W \leftarrow W \frac{\pi(A_t|S_t)}{b(A_t|S_t)}If W = 0 then exit For loop
```
Extension of incremental implementation for bandits (Section 2.4) + off-policy importance sampling

#### **Off-policy Monte Carlo Control**

=

#### Off-policy MC control, for estimating  $\pi \approx \pi_*$

```
Initialize, for all s \in \mathcal{S}, a \in \mathcal{A}(s):
     Q(s, a) \in \mathbb{R} (arbitrarily)
     C(s,a) \leftarrow 0\pi(s) \leftarrow \arg \max_a Q(s, a) (with ties broken consistently)
Loop forever (for each episode):
     b \leftarrow any soft policy
     Generate an episode using b: S_0, A_0, R_1, ..., S_{T-1}, A_{T-1}, R_TG \leftarrow 0W \leftarrow 1Loop for each step of episode, t = T-1, T-2, \ldots, 0:
          G \leftarrow \gamma G + R_{t+1}C(S_t, A_t) \leftarrow C(S_t, A_t) + WQ(S_t, A_t) \leftarrow Q(S_t, A_t) + \frac{W}{C(S_t, A_t)} [G - Q(S_t, A_t)]\pi(S_t) \leftarrow \arg \max_a Q(S_t, a) (with ties broken consistently)
          If A_t \neq \pi(S_t) then exit For loop
          W \leftarrow W \frac{1}{b(A_t|S_t)}
```
Add a step of policy improvement

# **A Summary**

- 1. Sampling episodes over dynamic programming
- 2. On-policy vs. off-policy
- 3. Off-policy prediction
- 4. Ordinary vs. weighted importance sampling

### **Next Time**

Incorporate bootstrapping through temporal-difference learning - a combination of MC and TD!

#### **Final Logistics**

Next lecture: Chapter 6: Temporal-Difference Learning Reading assignments due **2PM Monday**

Office hours: **Mon:** Michael 1-2PM GDC Basement TA Station #5 **Tues:** Peter 11-11:50AM GDC 3.508; Caroline 11:15-12:15PM **Wed:** Amy 2-3PM EER 6.878 **Thurs:** Haoran 11-12PM; Siddhant 5-6PM **Fri:** Shuozhe 4-5PM

# **Final Logistics**

Coding assignment for Chapter 2 on edX **due Feb 4th 11:59PM CST**

Chapter 5+6 Homework on edX **due Friday 11:59PM CST**