CS395T Reinforcement Learning: Theory and Practice Fall 2004

Peter Stone

Department or Computer Sciences The University of Texas at Austin

Weeklla: Tuesday, November 16th

- Are there any questions?
- (I won't be able to answer them all)





• How are the final projects coming?



- State: position, orientation, velocity, angular vels
- Actions: Settings of the 4 or 5 controls
- Goal: Hover



- State: position, orientation, velocity, angular vels
- Actions: Settings of the 4 or 5 controls
- Goal: Hover
- How would you formulate the problem "by the book"?



- State: position, orientation, velocity, angular vels
- Actions: Settings of the 4 or 5 controls
- Goal: Hover
- How would you formulate the problem "by the book"?
- Could you implement that? Why or why not?



- State: position, orientation, velocity, angular vels
- Actions: Settings of the 4 or 5 controls
- Goal: Hover
- How would you formulate the problem "by the book"?
- Could you implement that? Why or why not?
- At a high level, what do they do instead?



- State: position, orientation, velocity, angular vels
- Actions: Settings of the 4 or 5 controls
- Goal: Hover
- How would you formulate the problem "by the book"?
- Could you implement that? Why or why not?
- At a high level, what do they do instead?
 - Collect a small amount of human expert data
 - Use that to train a **1-step** model (simulator)
 - Determine the optimal policy in the simulator

- Fly it!



• Why do the papers use different terminologies?



- Why do the papers use different terminologies?
- How else do they differ?



- Why do the papers use different terminologies?
- How else do they differ?
- Why was the Ng paper more understandable?



• Why hover upside down?



- Why hover upside down?
- Why quadratic reward (p. 6)?



- Why hover upside down?
- Why quadratic reward (p. 6)? Or why not (Bagnell eq. 8)



- Why hover upside down?
- Why quadratic reward (p. 6)? Or why not (Bagnell eq. 8)
- PEGASUS how does it help policy evaluation?



- Why hover upside down?
- Why quadratic reward (p. 6)? Or why not (Bagnell eq. 8)
- PEGASUS how does it help policy evaluation?
 - General question: is policy good or lucky?



- Why hover upside down?
- Why quadratic reward (p. 6)? Or why not (Bagnell eq. 8)
- PEGASUS how does it help policy evaluation?
 - General question: is policy good or lucky?
 - Use same random samples for evaluation of each policy



- Why hover upside down?
- Why quadratic reward (p. 6)? Or why not (Bagnell eq. 8)
- PEGASUS how does it help policy evaluation?
 - General question: is policy good or lucky?
 - Use same random samples for evaluation of each policy
- How does he do policy optimization?



- Why hover upside down?
- Why quadratic reward (p. 6)? Or why not (Bagnell eq. 8)
- PEGASUS how does it help policy evaluation?
 - General question: is policy good or lucky?
 - Use same random samples for evaluation of each policy
- How does he do policy optimization?
 - greedy hillclimbing over few parameters (the NNs)!
- Could the approach be used to invert the helicopter? Or is it easier just to hover?
- Can it generalize to adverse conditions?
- Where's the power? Is it an easy problem or a powerful approach?



• Equation 1 is our standard setup



- Equation 1 is our standard setup
- Equation 2 is just a definition of near-optimal



- Equation 1 is our standard setup
- Equation 2 is just a definition of near-optimal
- Can get near-optimal with few samples



- Equation 1 is our standard setup
- Equation 2 is just a definition of near-optimal
- Can get near-optimal with few samples
 - VC dimension: shatter space of possible "correct action labelings"
- Can't get all the way to optimal
- Equation 8 is reward function: note penalty for leaving known region

