

CS395T
Agent-Based Electronic Commerce
Fall 2006

Peter Stone

Department of Computer Sciences
The University of Texas at Austin

Week 6a

Good Afternoon, Colleagues

Are there any questions?

Logistics

- SCM software available

Logistics

- SCM software available
- CAT software expected soon

Logistics

- SCM software available
- CAT software expected soon
- Discussion leaders

Automated Mechanism Design

- An overview reading - there are further details
 - But should have been self-contained

Automated Mechanism Design

- An overview reading - there are further details
 - But should have been self-contained
- Back to some of the terms from week 2

Automated Mechanism Design

- An overview reading - there are further details
 - But should have been self-contained
- Back to some of the terms from week 2
- Takes a distribution over types as input

Automated Mechanism Design

- An overview reading - there are further details
 - But should have been self-contained
- Back to some of the terms from week 2
- Takes a distribution over types as input
 - Realistic?

Automated Mechanism Design

- An overview reading - there are further details
 - But should have been self-contained
- Back to some of the terms from week 2
- Takes a distribution over types as input
 - Realistic?
- Not the same as *adaptive* mechanism design

Truthfulness

- Why is truthfulness such a big deal?

Truthfulness

- Why is truthfulness such a big deal?
- What if computational complexity is an issue?

Truthfulness

- Why is truthfulness such a big deal?
- What if computational complexity is an issue?
- What if the *mechanism* were deceptive?

Impossibility results

- How can they be “circumvented?”

Impossibility results

- How can they be “circumvented?”
- When can they be circumvented?

Gibbard-Satterthwaite

- Example: Bush, Gore, or Nader?

Gibbard-Satterthwaite

- Example: Bush, Gore, or Nader?
 - Assume your preference is Nader > Gore > Bush
 - For whom should you vote?

Gibbard-Satterthwaite

- Example: Bush, Gore, or Nader?
 - Assume your preference is Nader > Gore > Bush
 - For whom should you vote?
 - What if we change the system?

Gibbard-Satterthwaite

- Example: Bush, Gore, or Nader?
 - Assume your preference is Nader > Gore > Bush
 - For whom should you vote?
 - What if we change the system?
 - Plurality, Binary, Borda?

Gibbard-Satterthwaite

- Example: Bush, Gore, or Nader?
 - Assume your preference is Nader > Gore > Bush
 - For whom should you vote?
 - What if we change the system?
 - Plurality, Binary, Borda?
- 3+ candidates \implies only dictatorial system eliminates need for tactical voting
 - One person appointed

Gibbard-Satterthwaite

- Example: Bush, Gore, or Nader?
 - Assume your preference is Nader $>$ Gore $>$ Bush
 - For whom should you vote?
 - What if we change the system?
 - Plurality, Binary, Borda?
- 3+ candidates \implies only dictatorial system eliminates need for tactical voting
 - One person appointed
- No point thinking of a “better” voting system
- Assumption: no restrictions on preferences

Gibbard-Satterthwaite

- Example: Bush, Gore, or Nader?
 - Assume your preference is Nader $>$ Gore $>$ Bush
 - For whom should you vote?
 - What if we change the system?
 - Plurality, Binary, Borda?
- 3+ candidates \implies only dictatorial system eliminates need for tactical voting
 - One person appointed
- No point thinking of a “better” voting system
- Assumption: no restrictions on preferences

Types of Tactical Voting

- Compromising: Rank someone higher to get him/her elected
 - e.g. Gore instead of Nader

Types of Tactical Voting

- Compromising: Rank someone higher to get him/her elected
 - e.g. Gore instead of Nader
- Burying: Rank someone lower to get him/her defeated
 - e.g. in Borda protocol

Types of Tactical Voting

- Compromising: Rank someone higher to get him/her elected
 - e.g. Gore instead of Nader
- Burying: Rank someone lower to get him/her defeated
 - e.g. in Borda protocol
- Push-over: Rank someone higher to get someone else elected
 - e.g. in a protocol with multiple rounds

Mechanism not standard

- Changes from interaction to interaction
- Could get complicated

Mechanism not standard

- Changes from interaction to interaction
- Could get complicated
- Is this a problem?

Multi-stage mechanisms

- Registering for classes: what if you had to give your entire preference function over all options?

Multi-stage mechanisms

- Registering for classes: what if you had to give your entire preference function over all options?
- What's a possible multi-stage mechanism?

Multi-stage mechanisms

- Registering for classes: what if you had to give your entire preference function over all options?
- What's a possible multi-stage mechanism?
- Would it save you computation?

Mechanism design vs. agent design

- Do they boil down to the same thing? (Jaesuk)

Deliberation control

- What's most worth computing about?