Synchronization: Implementing Barriers Promises + Futures

Chris Rossbach

CS378H



Today

- Questions?
- Administrivia
 - Lab 2 due sooner than you'd like
- Material for the day
 - Barrier implementation
 - Promises & Futures
- Acknowledgements
 - Thanks to Gadi Taubenfield: I borrowed from some of his slides on barriers

Faux Quiz (answer any N, 5 min)

 How are promises and futures related? Since there is disagreement on the nomenclature, don't worry about which is which—just describe what the different objects are and how they function.

Barriers

Barriers





- Coordination mechanism
- > participants wait until all reach same point.
- > Once all reach it, all can pass.















Barriers based on counters



Counter Barrier Ingredients

Fetch-and-Increment register

- A shared register that supports a F&I operation:
- Input: register r
- Atomic operation:
 - *r* is incremented by 1
 - the old value of r is returned

```
function fetch-and-increment (r : register)
    orig_r := r;
    r:= r + 1;
    return (orig_r);
end-function
```

Await

- For brevity, we use the **await** macro
- Not an operation of an object
- This is just "spinning"



shared	counter: fetch and increment reg. – {0,n}, initially = 0	
	go: atomic bit, initial value does not matter	
local	local.go: a bit, initial value does not matter	
	local.counter: register	

shared	counter: fetch and increment reg. – {0,n}, initially = 0
	go: atomic bit, initial value does not matter
local	local.go: a bit, initial value does not matter
	local.counter: register

1	local.go := go
2	local.counter := fetch-and-increment (counter)
3	if local.counter + 1 = n then
4	counter := 0
5	go := 1 - go
6	else await(local.go ≠ go)

shared	counter: fetch and increment reg. – {0,n}, initially = 0	
	go: atomic bit, initial value does not matter	
local	local.go: a bit, initial value does not matter	
	local.counter: register	

1	local.go := go
2	local.counter := fetch-and-increment (counter)
3	if local.counter + 1 = n then
4	counter := 0
5	go := 1 - go
6	else await (local.go ≠ go)

Pros/Cons?

shared	counter: fetch and increment reg. – {0,n}, initially = 0	
	go: atomic bit, initial value does not matter	
local	local.go: a bit, initial value does not matter	
	local.counter: register	

1 local.go := go

- 2 local.counter := fetch-and-increment (counter)
- 3 **if** local.counter + 1 = n **then**
- 4 counter := 0
- 5 go := 1 go
- 6 **else await**(local.go ≠ go)

Pros/Cons?

shared	counter: fetch and increment reg. – {0,n}, initially = 0	
	go: atomic bit, initial value does not matter	
local	local.go: a bit, initial value does not matter	
	local.counter: register	

1 local.go := go

- 2 local.counter := fetch-and-increment (counter)
- 3 **if** local.counter + 1 = n **then**
- 4 counter := 0
- 5 go := 1 go
- 6 **else await**(local.go ≠ go)

Pros/Cons?

• There is high memory contention on *go* bit

shared	counter: fetch and increment reg. – {0,n}, initially = 0	
	go: atomic bit, initial value does not matter	
local	local.go: a bit, initial value does not matter	
	local.counter: register	

1	local.go := go			
2	local.counter := fetch-and-increment (counter	er)		
3	if local.counter + 1 = n then			
4	counter := 0	P	ros/Cons?	
5	go := 1 - go			
6	else await (local.go ≠ go)	• There is high me	emory contention on g	<i>jo</i> bit

- Reducing the contention:
 - Replace the go bit with n bits: go[1],...,go[n]
 - Process p_i may spin only on the bit go[i]

A Local Spinning Counter Barrier Program of a Thread i

shared	counter: fetch and increment reg. – {0,n}, initially = 0
	go[1n]: array of atomic bits, initial values are immaterial
local	local.go: a bit, initial value is immaterial
	local.counter: register

A Local Spinning Counter Barrier Program of a Thread i

shared	counter: fetch and increment reg. – {0,n}, initially = 0
	go[1n]: array of atomic bits, initial values are immaterial
local	local.go: a bit, initial value is immaterial
	local.counter: register

1	local.go := go[i]
2	local.counter := fetch-and-increment (counter)
3	if local.counter + 1 = n then
4	counter := 0
5	for j=1 to n { go[j] := 1 - go[j] }
6	else await(local.go ≠ go[i])
























































































- 1 local.go := go[i]
- 2 local.counter := fetch-and-increment (counter)
- 3 **if** local.counter + 1 = n **then**
- 4 counter := 0

5

- for j=1 to n { go[j] := 1 go[j] }
- 6 else await(local.go ≠ go[i])

 $P3 \rightarrow P2 \rightarrow P1 \rightarrow$



- 1 local.go := go[i]
- 2 local.counter := fetch-and-increment (counter)
- 3 **if** local.counter + 1 = n **then**
- 4 counter := 0
 - for j=1 to n { go[j] := 1 go[j] }
- 6 else await(local.go ≠ go[i])

<u>P3 P2 P1</u>

5

Pros/Cons? Does this actually reduce contention?

Comparison of counter-based Barriers

Simple Barrier	Simple Barrier with go array
• Pros:	Pros:
• Cons:	• Cons:

Comparison of counter-based Barriers

Simple Barrier

- Pros:
 - Very Simple
 - Shared memory: O(log n) *bits*
 - Takes O(1) until last waiting p is awaken
- Cons:
 - High contention on the go bit
 - Contention on the counter register (*)

Simple Barrier with go array

- Pros:
 - Low contention on the go array
 - In some models:
 - spinning is done on local memory
 - remote mem. ref.: O(1)
- Cons:
 - Shared memory: O(n)
 - Still contention on the counter register (*)
 - Takes O(n) until last waiting p is awaken

Tree Barriers





- Threads are organized in a binary tree
- Each node is owned by a predetermined thread



- Threads are organized in a binary tree
- Each node is owned by a predetermined thread
- Each thread waits until its 2 children arrive
 - combines results
 - passes them on to its parent



- Threads are organized in a binary tree
- Each node is owned by a predetermined thread
- Each thread waits until its 2 children arrive
 - combines results
 - passes them on to its parent
- Root learns that its 2 children have arrived \rightarrow tells children they can go
- The signal propagates down the tree until all the threads get the message





A Tree-based Barrier: indexing



A Tree-based Barrier: indexing











Indexing starts from 2 Root \rightarrow 1, doesn't need wait objects

A Tree-based Barrier program of thread i

shared		arrive[2n]: array of atomic bits, initial values = 0		
		go[2n]: array of atomic bits, initial values =	= 0	
1	if i=1	L then	// root	
2		<pre>await(arrive[2] = 1); arrive[2] := 0</pre>		
3		<pre>await(arrive[3] = 1); arrive[3] := 0</pre>		
4		go[2] = 1; go[3] = 1		
5	else	if i ≤ (n-1)/2 then	// internal node	
6		<pre>await(arrive[2i] = 1); arrive[2i] := 0</pre>		
7		<pre>await(arrive[2i+1] = 1); arrive[2i+1] := 0</pre>		
8		arrive[i] := 1		
9		await (go[i] = 1); go[i] := 0		
10		go[2i] = 1; go[2i+1] := 1		
11	else		// leaf	
12		arrive[i] := 1		
13		await(go[i] = 1); go[i] := 0 fi		
14	fi			

A Tree-based Barrier program of thread i


A Tree-based Barrier program of thread i



A Tree-based Barrier program of thread i



A Tree-based Barrier program of thread i





go[2] = 1; go[3] = 1 else if $i \le (n-1)/2$ then await(arrive[2i] = 1); arrive[2i] := 0 await(arrive[2i+1] = 1); arrive[2i+1] := 0 arrive[i] := 1 **await**(go[i] = 1); go[i] := 0 go[2i] = 1; go[2i+1] := 1 11 else arrive[i] := 1 **await**(go[i] = 1); go[i] := 0 **fi**

shared

if i=1 then

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13 14 fi



1 if i=1 then 2 await(arrive[2] = 1); arrive[2] := 0 3 await(arrive[3] = 1); arrive[3] := 0 4 go[2] = 1; go[3] = 1 5 else if $i \le (n-1)/2$ then 6 await(arrive[2i] = 1); arrive[2i] := 0 7 await(arrive[2i+1] = 1); arrive[2i+1] := 0 8 arrive[i] := 1

- 9 **await**(go[i] = 1); go[i] := 0
- 10 go[2i] = 1; go[2i+1] := 1
- 11 else
- arrive[i] := 1 12

14 fi

shared

await(go[i] = 1); go[i] := 0 **fi** 13



shared

if i=1 then

14 fi

11 else



1 if i=1 then 2 await(arrive[2] = 1); arrive[2] := 0 3 await(arrive[3] = 1); arrive[3] := 0 4 go[2] = 1; go[3] = 1 5 else if $i \le (n-1)/2$ then 6 await(arrive[2i] = 1); arrive[2i] := 0 7 await(arrive[2i+1] = 1); arrive[2i+1] := 0 8 arrive[i] := 1

- 9 **await**(go[i] = 1); go[i] := 0
- 10 go[2i] = 1; go[2i+1] := 1
- 11 else
- arrive[i] := 1 12

14 fi

shared

await(go[i] = 1); go[i] := 0 **fi** 13





1 if i=1 then 2 await(arrive[2] = 1); arrive[2] := 0 3 await(arrive[3] = 1); arrive[3] := 0 4 go[2] = 1; go[3] = 1 5 else if $i \le (n-1)/2$ then 6 await(arrive[2i] = 1); arrive[2i] := 0 7 await(arrive[2i+1] = 1); arrive[2i+1] := 0 8 arrive[i] := 1

- 9 **await**(go[i] = 1); go[i] := 0
- 10 go[2i] = 1; go[2i+1] := 1
- 11 else
- arrive[i] := 1 12

14 fi

shared

await(go[i] = 1); go[i] := 0 **fi** 13

shared

if i=1 then

go[2] = 1; go[3] = 1

await(go[i] = 1); go[i] := 0

await(go[i] = 1); go[i] := 0 **fi**

go[2i] = 1; go[2i+1] := 1

else if $i \le (n-1)/2$ then

arrive[i] := 1

arrive[i] := 1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13 14 fi

11 else







1 if i=1 then 2 await(arrive[2] = 1); arrive[2] := 0 3 await(arrive[3] = 1); arrive[3] := 0 4 go[2] = 1; go[3] = 1 5 else if $i \le (n-1)/2$ then 6 await(arrive[2i] = 1); arrive[2i] := 0 7 await(arrive[2i+1] = 1); arrive[2i+1] := 0 8 arrive[i] := 1 9 **await**(go[i] = 1); go[i] := 0 10 go[2i] = 1; go[2i+1] := 1 11 else arrive[i] := 1 12 **await**(go[i] = 1); go[i] := 0 **fi**

shared

13 14 fi

shared

if i=1 then

arrive[i] := 1

arrive[i] := 1

14 fi

11 else





shared

if i=1 then

14 fi

11 else







shared

if i=1 then

14 fi

11 else

shared

if i=1 then

14 fi

11 else





shared

if i=1 then

14 fi

11 else



shared

if i=1 then

14 fi

11 else



shared

if i=1 then

14 fi

11 else



shared

if i=1 then

14 fi

11 else





shared

14 fi

11 else





shared

14 fi

11 else



shared

14 fi



shared

14 fi

shared

if i=1 then

go[2] = 1; go[3] = 1

else if $i \le (n-1)/2$ then

arrive[i] := 1

arrive[i] := 1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13 14 fi

11 else





shared

14 fi



shared

14 fi

11 else

shared

14 fi

11 else





shared

14 fi

11 else



shared





shared


shared

shared

14 fi





shared

14 fi




shared

14 fi

11 else

shared

if i=1 then

arrive[i] := 1

arrive[i] := 1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13 14 fi

11 else 12



At this point all non-root threads in some await(go) case



shared

14 fi

11 else



go[2..n]: array of atomic bits, initial values = 0 1 // root if i=1 then 2 await(arrive[2] = 1); arrive[2] := 0 3 await(arrive[3] = 1); arrive[3] := 0 go[2] = 1; go[3] = 1 4 5 else if $i \le (n-1)/2$ then // internal node 6 await(arrive[2i] = 1); arrive[2i] := 0 7 await(arrive[2i+1] = 1); arrive[2i+1] := 0 8 arrive[i] := 1 9 **await**(go[i] = 1); go[i] := 0 10 go[2i] = 1; go[2i+1] := 1 // leaf 11 else arrive[i] := 1 12 **await**(go[i] = 1); go[i] := 0 **fi** 13

arrive[2..n]: array of atomic bits, initial values = 0

shared

14 fi





Tree Barrier Tradeoffs

• Pros:

• Cons:

Tree Barrier Tradeoffs

• Pros:

- Low shared memory contention
 - No wait object is shared by more than 2 processes
 - Good for larger n
- Fast information from the root propagates after log(n) steps
- Can use only atomic primitives (no special objects)
- On some models:
 - each process spins on a locally accessible bit
 - # (remote memory ref.) = O(1) per process

• Cons:

- Shared memory space complexity O(n)
- Asymmetric –all the processes don't the same amount of work
- Corner cases for n != 2^k-1

Butterfly Barrier

Butterfly Barrier



Butterfly Barrier



• When would this be preferable?

Hardware Supported Barriers



Hardware Supported Barriers



CPU

• When would this be useful?

Hardware Supported Barriers





CPU

• When would this be useful?

Barriers Summary

Seen:

- Semaphore-based barrier
- Simple barrier
 - Based on atomic fetch-and-increment counter
- Local spinning barrier
 - Based on atomic fetch-and-increment counter and go array
- Tree-based barrier

Not seen:

- Test-and-Set barriers
 - Based on test-and-test-and-set objects
 - One version without memory initialization
- See-Saw barrier
- Book has condition barriers

Asynchronous Programming Events, Promises, and Futures



• Hardware execution model:

- Hardware execution model:
 - CPU(s) execute instructions sequentially



- Hardware execution model:
 - CPU(s) execute instructions sequentially
- Programming model dimensions:
 - How to specify computation
 - How to specify communication
 - How to specify coordination/control transfer





- Hardware execution model:
 - CPU(s) execute instructions sequentially
- Programming model dimensions:
 - How to specify computation
 - How to specify communication
 - How to specify coordination/control transfer
- Techniques/primitives
 - Message passing vs shared memory
 - Preemption vs Non-preemption





- Hardware execution model:
 - CPU(s) execute instructions sequentially
- Programming model dimensions:
 - How to specify computation
 - How to specify communication
 - How to specify coordination/control transfer
- Techniques/primitives
 - Message passing vs shared memory
 - Preemption vs Non-preemption
- Dimensions/techniques not always orthogonal







• Dimensions/techniques not always orthogonal





• Values that will eventually become available

- Values that will eventually become available
- Time-dependent states:
 - Completed/determined
 - Computation complete, value concrete
 - Incomplete/undetermined
 - Computation not complete yet

- Values that will eventually become available
- Time-dependent states:
 - Completed/determined
 - Computation complete, value concrete
 - Incomplete/undetermined
 - Computation not complete yet
- Construct (future X)
 - immediately returns value
 - concurrently executes X

```
1 static void runAsyncExample() {
      CompletableFuture cf = CompletableFuture.runAsync(() -> {
2
          assertTrue(Thread.currentThread().isDaemon());
3
          randomSleep();
4
5
      });
      assertFalse(cf.isDone());
6
7
      sleepEnough();
      assertTrue(cf.isDone());
8
9 }
```

```
1 static void runAsyncExample() {
      CompletableFuture cf = CompletableFuture.runAsync(() -> {
2
          assertTrue(Thread.currentThread().isDaemon());
3
          randomSleep();
4
5
      });
      assertFalse(cf.isDone());
6
7
      sleepEnough();
      assertTrue(cf.isDone());
8
9 }
```



```
1 static void runAsyncExample() {
      CompletableFuture cf = CompletableFuture.runAsync(() -> {
2
          assertTrue(Thread.currentThread().isDaemon());
3
          randomSleep();
4
5
     });
6
      assertFalse(cf.isDone());
7
      sleepEnough();
8
      assertTrue(cf.isDone());
9 }
```

• CompletableFuture is a container for Future object type

```
1 static void runAsyncExample() {
      CompletableFuture cf = CompletableFuture.runAsync(() -> {
2
          assertTrue(Thread.currentThread().isDaemon());
3
          randomSleep();
4
     });
5
      assertFalse(cf.isDone());
6
7
      sleepEnough();
8
      assertTrue(cf.isDone());
9 }
```

- CompletableFuture is a container for Future object type
- cf is an instance

```
1 static void runAsyncExample() {
      CompletableFuture cf = CompletableFuture.runAsync(() -> {
2
          assertTrue(Thread.currentThread().isDaemon());
3
          randomSleep();
4
5
      });
      assertFalse(cf.isDone());
6
7
      sleepEnough();
8
      assertTrue(cf.isDone());
9 }
```

- CompletableFuture is a container for Future object type
- cf is an instance
- runAsync() accepts
 - Lambda expression
 - Anonymous function
 - Functor
Java Example

```
1 static void runAsyncExample() {
      CompletableFuture cf = CompletableFuture.runAsync(() -> {
2
          assertTrue(Thread.currentThread().isDaemon());
3
          randomSleep();
4
5
      });
6
      assertFalse(cf.isDone());
      sleepEnough();
7
8
      assertTrue(cf.isDone());
9 }
```

- CompletableFuture is a container for Future object type
- cf is an instance
- runAsync() accepts
 - Lambda expression
 - Anonymous function
 - Functor
- runAsync() immediately returns a waitable object (cf)

Java Example

```
1 static void runAsyncExample() {
      CompletableFuture cf = CompletableFuture.runAsync(() -> {
2
          assertTrue(Thread.currentThread().isDaemon());
3
          randomSleep();
4
5
      });
6
      assertFalse(cf.isDone());
7
      sleepEnough();
8
      assertTrue(cf.isDone());
9 }
```

- CompletableFuture is a container for Future object type
- cf is an instance
- runAsync() accepts
 - Lambda expression
 - Anonymous function
 - Functor
- runAsync() immediately returns a waitable object (cf)
- Where (on what thread) does the lambda expression run?

Futures and Promises: Why two kinds of objects?



```
future < int > f1 = async(foo1);
...
int result = f1.get();
```

Futures and Promises: Why two kinds of objects?



```
future<int> f1 = async(foo1);
...
int result = f1.get();
```





- Future: read-only reference to uncompleted value
- **Promise:** single-assignment variable that the future refers to
- Promises *complete* the future with:
 - Result with success/failure
 - Exception



- Future: read-only reference to uncompleted value
- **Promise:** single-assignment variable that the future refers to
- Promises *complete* the future with:
 - Result with success/failure
 - Exception

Language	Promise	Future
Algol	Thunk	Address of async result
Java	Future <t></t>	CompletableFuture <t></t>
C#/.NET	TaskCompletionSource <t></t>	Task <t></t>
JavaScript	Deferred	Promise
C++	std::promise	std::future



- Future: read-only reference to uncompleted value
- Promise: single-assignment variable that the future refers to
- Promises *complete* the future with:
 - Result with success/failure
 - Exception



Language	Promise	Future
Algol	Thunk	Address of async result
Java	Future <t></t>	CompletableFuture <t></t>
C#/.NET	TaskCompletionSource <t></t>	Task <t></t>
JavaScript	Deferred	Promise
C++	std::promise	std::future



Mnemonic: Promise to *do* something Make a promise *for* the future

- Future: read-only reference to uncompleted value
- Promise: single-assignment variable that the future refers to
- Promises *complete* the future with:
 - Result with success/failure
 - Exception



Language	Promise	Future
Algol	Thunk	Address of async result
Java	Future <t></t>	CompletableFuture <t></t>
C#/.NET	TaskCompletionSource <t></t>	Task <t></t>
JavaScript	Deferred	Promise
C++	std::promise	std::future



- *abstraction* for concurrent work supported by
 - Compiler: abstractions are *language-level objects*
 - Runtime: scheduler, task queues, thread-pools are *transparent*

- *abstraction* for concurrent work supported by
 - Compiler: abstractions are *language-level objects*
 - Runtime: scheduler, task queues, thread-pools are *transparent*
- Programming remains **mostly** imperative/sequential
 - Threads of control peppered with asynchronous/concurrent tasks

- abstraction for concurrent work supported by
 - Compiler: abstractions are *language-level objects*
 - Runtime: scheduler, task queues, thread-pools are transparent
- Programming remains **mostly** imperative/sequential
 - Threads of control peppered with asynchronous/concurrent tasks

```
1 static void runAsyncExample() {
2  CompletableFuture cf = CompletableFuture.runAsync(() -> {
3     assertTrue(Thread.currentThread().isDaemon());
4     randomSleep();
5   });
6   assertFalse(cf.isDone());
7   sleepEnough();
8   assertTrue(cf.isDone());
9 }
```

- *abstraction* for concurrent work supported by
 - Compiler: abstractions are *language-level objects*
 - Runtime: scheduler, task queues, thread-pools are *transparent*
- Programming remains **mostly** imperative/sequential
 - Threads of control peppered with asynchronous/concurrent tasks

Futures:

- *abstraction* for concurrent work supported by
 - Compiler: abstractions are *language-level objects*
 - Runtime: scheduler, task queues, thread-pools are *transparent*
- Programming remains **mostly** imperative/sequential
 - Threads of control peppered with asynchronous/concurrent tasks

Compromise Programming Model between:

Futures:

- *abstraction* for concurrent work supported by
 - Compiler: abstractions are *language-level objects*
 - Runtime: scheduler, task queues, thread-pools are *transparent*
- Programming remains **mostly** imperative/sequential
 - Threads of control peppered with asynchronous/concurrent tasks

Compromise Programming Model between:

• Event-based programming

Futures:

- *abstraction* for concurrent work supported by
 - Compiler: abstractions are *language-level objects*
 - Runtime: scheduler, task queues, thread-pools are *transparent*
- Programming remains **mostly** imperative/sequential
 - Threads of control peppered with asynchronous/concurrent tasks

Compromise Programming Model between:

- Event-based programming
- Thread-based programming

Futures:

- *abstraction* for concurrent work supported by
 - Compiler: abstractions are *language-level objects*
 - Runtime: scheduler, task queues, thread-pools are *transparent*
- Programming remains **mostly** imperative/sequential
 - Threads of control peppered with asynchronous/concurrent tasks

Compromise Programming Model between:

- Event-based programming
- Thread-based programming

Events vs. Threads!





do { WaitForSomething(); RespondToThing();

until(forever);

```
int WINAPI WinMain(HINSTANCE hInstance, HINSTANCE hPrevInstance,
    LPSTR lpCmdLine, int nCmdShow)
   WNDCLASSEX wc;
   HWND hwnd;
   MSG Msg;
    //Step 1: Registering the Window Class
    wc.cbSize
                     = sizeof(WNDCLASSEX);
   wc.style
                     = 0;
   wc.lpfnWndProc = WndProc;
   wc.cbClsExtra
                    = 0;
   wc.cbWndExtra
                    = 0;
   wc.hInstance
                     = hInstance;
   wc.hIcon
                     = LoadIcon(NULL, IDI_APPLICATION);
                    = LoadCursor(NULL, IDC ARROW);
   wc.hCursor
    wc.hbrBackground = (HBRUSH)(COLOR WINDOW+1);
   wc.lpszMenuName = NULL;
   wc.lpszClassName = g_szClassName;
   wc.hIconSm
                     = LoadIcon(NULL, IDI APPLICATION);
    if(!RegisterClassEx(&wc))
       MessageBox(NULL, "Window Registration Failed!", "Error!",
            MB_ICONEXCLAMATION | MB_OK);
       return 0;
    // Step 2: Creating the Window
   hwnd = CreateWindowEx(
       WS_EX_CLIENTEDGE,
       g_szClassName,
        "The title of my window",
       WS OVERLAPPEDWINDOW,
       CW USEDEFAULT, CW USEDEFAULT, 240, 120,
       NULL, NULL, hInstance, NULL);
    if(hwnd == NULL)
       MessageBox(NULL, "Window Creation Failed!", "Error!",
           MB_ICONEXCLAMATION | MB_OK);
       return 0;
    ShowWindow(hwnd, nCmdShow);
   UpdateWindow(hwnd);
    // Step 3: The Message Loop
    while(GetMessage(&Msg, NULL, 0, 0) > 0)
       TranslateMessage(&Msg);
       DispatchMessage(&Msg);
    return Msg.wParam;
```



// Step 2: Creating the Window
hwnd = CreateWindowEx(
 WS_EX_CLIENTEDGE,
 g_szClassName,
 "The title of my window",
 WS_OVERLAPPEDWINDOW,
 CW_USEDEFAULT, CW_USEDEFAULT, 240, 120,
 NULL, NULL, hInstance, NULL);



но	ome Insert Page Layout	References	Mailings	Review	View A	dd-Ins	e
× -0 -3	Calibri (Body) - 11 B I U - abs x, x ² 82 - A - A - A ² - 1			Cuic	k Change	(A) Editing	
ard G	Font	G Pi	aragraph	G SI	yles 5		10-1
	,						

E 60 5 2 E 60% (*

// Step 2: Creating the Window
hwnd = CreateWindowEx(
 WS_EX_CLIENTEDGE,
 g_szClassName,
 "The title of my window",
 WS_OVERLAPPEDWINDOW,
 CW_USEDEFAULT, CW_USEDEFAULT, 240, 120,
 NULL, NULL, hInstance, NULL);

// Step 3: The Message Loop
while(GetMessage(&Msg, NULL, 0, 0) > 0)

TranslateMessage(&Msg); DispatchMessage(&Msg); int WINAPI WinMain(HINSTANCE hInstance, HINSTANCE hPrevInstance, LPSTR lpCmdLine, int nCmdShow) WNDCLASSEX wc; HWND hwnd; MSG Msg; //Step 1: Registering the Window Class wc.cbSize = sizeof(WNDCLASSEX); wc.style = 0; wc.lpfnWndProc = WndProc; wc.cbClsExtra = 0: wc.cbWndExtra = 0; wc.hInstance = hInstance; wc.hIcon = LoadIcon(NULL, IDI APPLICATION); = LoadCursor(NULL, IDC ARROW); wc.hCursor wc.hbrBackground = (HBRUSH)(COLOR WINDOW+1); wc.lpszMenuName = NULL; wc.lpszClassName = g szClassName; = LoadIcon(NULL, IDI_APPLICATION); wc.hIconSm if(!RegisterClassEx(&wc)) MessageBox(NULL, "Window Registration Failed!", "Error!", MB_ICONEXCLAMATION | MB_OK); return 0; Step 2: Creating the Window hwnd = CreateWindowEx(WS EX CLIENTEDGE, g szClassName, "The title of my window", WS OVERLAPPEDWINDOW, CW USEDEFAULT, CW USEDEFAULT, 240, 120, NULL, NULL, hInstance, NULL); if(hwnd == NULL) MessageBox(NULL, "Window Creation Failed!", "Error!", MB_ICONEXCLAMATION | MB_OK); return 0; ShowWindow(hwnd, nCmdShow); UpdateWindow(hwnd); // Step 3: The Message Loop vhile(GetMessage(&Msg, NULL, 0, 0) > 0) TranslateMessage(&Msg); DispatchMessage(&Msg); return Msg.wParam;

```
int WINAPI WinMain(HINSTANCE hInstance, HINSTANCE hPrevInstance,
    LPSTR lpCmdLine, int nCmdShow)
   WNDCLASSEX wc;
   HWND hwnd;
   MSG Msg;
    //Step 1: Registering the Window Class
    wc.cbSize
                     = sizeof(WNDCLASSEX);
   wc.style
                     = 0;
   wc.lpfnWndProc = WndProc;
   wc.cbClsExtra
                    = 0;
   wc.cbWndExtra
                    = 0;
   wc.hInstance
                     = hInstance;
   wc.hIcon
                     = LoadIcon(NULL, IDI_APPLICATION);
                    = LoadCursor(NULL, IDC ARROW);
   wc.hCursor
    wc.hbrBackground = (HBRUSH)(COLOR WINDOW+1);
   wc.lpszMenuName = NULL;
   wc.lpszClassName = g_szClassName;
   wc.hIconSm
                     = LoadIcon(NULL, IDI APPLICATION);
    if(!RegisterClassEx(&wc))
       MessageBox(NULL, "Window Registration Failed!", "Error!",
            MB_ICONEXCLAMATION | MB_OK);
       return 0;
    // Step 2: Creating the Window
   hwnd = CreateWindowEx(
       WS_EX_CLIENTEDGE,
       g_szClassName,
        "The title of my window",
       WS OVERLAPPEDWINDOW,
       CW USEDEFAULT, CW USEDEFAULT, 240, 120,
       NULL, NULL, hInstance, NULL);
    if(hwnd == NULL)
       MessageBox(NULL, "Window Creation Failed!", "Error!",
           MB_ICONEXCLAMATION | MB_OK);
       return 0;
    ShowWindow(hwnd, nCmdShow);
   UpdateWindow(hwnd);
    // Step 3: The Message Loop
    while(GetMessage(&Msg, NULL, 0, 0) > 0)
       TranslateMessage(&Msg);
       DispatchMessage(&Msg);
    return Msg.wParam;
```



Page: 1 Page: 1 of 1 Words: 0 🥩 Insert

🖸 🕼 🕃 🗮 60% 🕞

```
switch (message)
       //case WM COMMAND:
         // handle menu selections etc.
       //break;
       //case WM PAINT:
         // draw our window - note: you must paint something here or not trap it!
       //break;
        case WM DESTROY:
             PostQuitMessage(0);
        break;
        default:
            // We do not want to handle this message so pass back to Windows
            // to handle it in a default way
            return DefWindowProc(hWnd, message, wParam, lParam);
```

```
int WINAPI WinMain(HINSTANCE hInstance, HINSTANCE hPrevInstance,
    LPSTR lpCmdLine, int nCmdShow)
    WNDCLASSEX wc;
    HWND hwnd;
    MSG Msg;
    //Step 1: Registering the Window Class
    wc.cbSize
                     = sizeof(WNDCLASSEX);
    wc.style
                     = 0;
    wc.lpfnWndProc
                   = WndProc;
    wc.cbClsExtra
                     = 0;
    wc.cbWndExtra
                     = 0;
    wc.hInstance
                     = hInstance;
    wc.hIcon
                     = LoadIcon(NULL, IDI_APPLICATION);
    wc.hCursor
                     = LoadCursor(NULL, IDC ARROW);
    wc.hbrBackground = (HBRUSH)(COLOR WINDOW+1);
    wc.lpszMenuName = NULL;
    wc.lpszClassName = g szClassName;
                     = LoadIcon(NULL, IDI_APPLICATION);
    wc.hIconSm
    if(!RegisterClassEx(&wc))
        MessageBox(NULL, "Window Registration Failed!", "Error!",
            MB_ICONEXCLAMATION | MB_OK);
        return 0;
    // Step 2: Creating the Window
    hwnd = CreateWindowEx(
        WS_EX_CLIENTEDGE,
        g szClassName,
        "The title of my window",
        WS_OVERLAPPEDWINDOW,
        CW USEDEFAULT, CW USEDEFAULT, 240, 120,
        NULL, NULL, hInstance, NULL);
    if(hwnd == NULL)
       MessageBox(NULL, "Window Creation Failed!", "Error!",
            MB_ICONEXCLAMATION | MB_OK);
        return 0;
    ShowWindow(hwnd, nCmdShow);
    UpdateWindow(hwnd);
    // Step 3: The Message Loop
    while(GetMessage(&Msg, NULL, 0, 0) > 0)
       TranslateMessage(&Msg);
        DispatchMessage(&Msg);
    return Msg.wParam;
```

int WINAPI WinMain(HINSTANCE hInstance, HINSTANCE hPrevInstance, LPSTR lpCmdLine, int nCmdShow)

WNDCLASSEX wc; HWND hwnd; MSG Msg;

GUI programming

switch (message)

//break;

//break;

break; default:

}

//case WM_COMMAND:

//case WM_PAINT:

case WM DESTROY:

Il nrogramming	Hex	Decimal	Symbolic	
n programming	0000	0	WM_NULL	
	0001	1	WM_CREATE	
	0002	2	WM_DESTROY	ION);
essage)	0003	3	WM_MOVE	
/case WM_COMMAND:	0005	5	WM_SIZE	(011) -
// handle menu selections etc.	0006	6	WM_ACTIVATE	
/break; /case WM PAINT:	0007	7	WM_SETFOCUS	d!", "Error!"
<pre>// draw our window - note: you must paint something here or not /break; ase WM DESTROY:</pre>		8	WM_KILLFOCUS	
		10	WM_ENABLE	
PostQuitMessage(0);	000b	11	WM_SETREDRAW	
reak; efault:		12	WM_SETTEXT	
<pre>// We do not want to handle this message so pass back to Winc</pre>	000d	13	WM_GETTEXT	
// to handle it in a default way return DefWindowProc(bWnd, message, wParam, lParam):	000e	14	WM_GETTEXTLENGTH	
	000f	15	WM_PAINT	
	0010	16	WM_CLOSE	"Error!",
	0011	17	WM_QUERYENDSESSION	
	0012	18	WM_QUIT	
L C X	0013	19	WM_QUERYOPEN	
App App <td>0014</td> <td>20</td> <td>WM_ERASEBKGND</td> <td></td>	0014	20	WM_ERASEBKGND	
			TranslateMessage(&Msg); DispatchMessage(&Msg); } return Msg.wParam;	

	WINAPI WinMain(HINSTANCE hInstance, HINSTANCE hPrevInstance, LPSTR lpCmdLine, int nCmdShow)					
		1	WNDCLASSEX wc; HWND hwnd; MSG Msg; Over		1000 last	
GUI programming		Decimal	Symbolic	time I checked!		
oor programming	0000	0	WM_NULL			
	0001	1	WM_CREATE			
	0002	2	WM_DESTROY		ION);	
switch (message)	0003	3	WM_MOVE			
۱ //case WM_COMMAND:	0005	5	WM_SIZE			
<pre>// handle menu selections etc.</pre>	0006	6	WM_ACTIVATE	ION);		
//break; //case WM PAINT:	0007	7	WM_SETFOCUS	d!", "Error!		
// draw our window - note: you must paint something here or not	0008	8	WM_KILLFOCUS			
//break; case WM DESTROY:	000a	10	WM_ENABLE			
PostQuitMessage(0);	000b	11	WM_SETREDRAW			
break; default:	000c	12	WM_SETTEXT			
// We do not want to handle this message so pass back to Winc	000d	13	WM_GETTEXT			
// to handle it in a default way	000e	14	WM_GETTEXTLENGTH			
}	000f	15	WM_PAINT	"Error!",		
	0010	16	WM_CLOSE			
	0011	17	WM_QUERYENDSESSION			
	0012	18	WM_QUIT			
abconeboseDecommenti - Marcasch Word $abconeNomebosetPage LayoutReferencesMallingReviewVewAddensabconeabconeabconeabconeabconeConeConeConeabconeabconeabconeabconeConeConeConeConeabconeabconeabconeabconeConeConeConeConeabconeabconeabconeabconeConeConeConeabconeabconeabconeConeConeConeConeabconeabconeabconeabconeConeConeConeabconeabconeabconeabconeConeConeConeabc$		19	WM_QUERYOPEN			
		20	WM_ERASEBKGND			
		}	TranslateMessage(&Msg); DispatchMessage(&Msg); } return Msg.wParam;			



```
⊟winmain(...) {
2
      while(true) {
   3
          message = GetMessage();
 4
          switch(message) {
 5
          case WM THIS: DoThis(); break;
 6
          case WM THAT: DoThat(); break;
 7
          case WM OTHERTHING: DoOtherThing(); break;
          case WM DONE: return;
8
9
10
```

```
⊟winmain(...) {
      while(true) {
2
   3
          message = GetMessage();
 4
          switch(message) {
 5
          case WM THIS: DoThis(); break;
 6
          case WM THAT: DoThat(); break;
 7
          case WM OTHERTHING: DoOtherThing(); break;
8
          case WM DONE: return;
 9
10
```

Pros

```
⊟winmain(...) {
2
      while(true) {
   3
          message = GetMessage();
 4
          switch(message) {
 5
          case WM THIS: DoThis(); break;
 6
          case WM THAT: DoThat(); break;
          case WM OTHERTHING: DoOtherThing(); break;
 7
          case WM DONE: return;
8
 9
10
```

Pros

• Simple imperative programming

```
⊟winmain(...) {
2
      while(true) {
   3
          message = GetMessage();
 4
          switch(message) {
 5
          case WM THIS: DoThis(); break;
          case WM THAT: DoThat(); break;
 6
          case WM OTHERTHING: DoOtherThing(); break;
 7
          case WM DONE: return;
8
 9
10
```

Pros

- Simple imperative programming
- Good fit for uni-processor

```
⊟winmain(...) {
2
      while(true) {
3
          message = GetMessage();
4
          switch(message) {
 5
          case WM THIS: DoThis(); break;
          case WM THAT: DoThat(); break;
 6
          case WM OTHERTHING: DoOtherThing(); break;
 7
          case WM DONE: return;
8
 9
10
```

Cons

Pros

- Simple imperative programming
- Good fit for uni-processor

```
⊟winmain(...) {
2
      while(true) {
3
          message = GetMessage();
4
          switch(message) {
 5
          case WM THIS: DoThis(); break;
          case WM THAT: DoThat(); break;
 6
          case WM OTHERTHING: DoOtherThing(); break;
 7
          case WM DONE: return;
8
 9
10
```

Pros

- Simple imperative programming
- Good fit for uni-processor

•Awkward/verbose
```
⊟winmain(...) {
2
      while(true) {
 3
          message = GetMessage();
4
          switch(message) {
 5
          case WM THIS: DoThis(); break;
          case WM THAT: DoThat(); break;
 6
          case WM OTHERTHING: DoOtherThing(); break;
 7
          case WM DONE: return;
8
 9
10
```

Pros

- Simple imperative programming
- Good fit for uni-processor

Cons

•Awkward/verbose

Obscures available parallelism



Cons

•Awkward/verbose

Obscures available parallelism

Pros

- Simple imperative programming
- Good fit for uni-processor

```
⊟winmain(...) {
2
      while(true) {
   3
          message = GetMessage();
 4
          switch(message) {
 5
          case WM THIS: DoThis(); break;
 6
          case WM THAT: DoThat(); break;
 7
          case WM OTHERTHING: DoOtherThing(); break;
          case WM DONE: return;
8
9
10
```

```
How can we
   ⊟winmain(...) {
      while(true) {
2
                                              parallelize
3
          message = GetMessage();
4
          switch(message) {
                                                 this?
5
          case WM THIS: DoThis(); break;
          case WM THAT: DoThat(); break;
6
          case WM OTHERTHING: DoOtherThing(); break;
          case WM DONE: return;
8
9
10
```









```
⊟winmain(...) {
 2
      while(true) {
   3
          message = GetMessage();
 4
          switch(message) {
 5
          case WM THIS: DoThis(); break;
 6
          case WM THAT: DoThat(); break;
 7
          case WM OTHERTHING: DoOtherThing(); break;
 8
9
          case WM DONE: return;
           }
10
```

```
⊟winmain(...) {
      while(true) {
 2
 3
          message = GetMessage();
 4
          switch(message) {
 5
          case WM THIS: DoThis(); break;
 6
          case WM THAT: DoThat(); break;
 7
          case WM OTHERTHING: DoOtherThing(); break;
8
          case WM DONE: return;
 9
10
```





```
⊟winmain() {
     pthread create(&tids[i++], DoThisProc);
                                                               DoThisProc
     pthread create(&tids[i++], DoThatProc);
     pthread create(&tids[i++], DoOtherThingProc)
     for(j=0; j<i; j++)</pre>
         pthread join(&tids[j]);
                                                               DoThatProc
⊟DoThisProc() {
     while(true) {
         if (ThisHasHappened)
                                                               OtherThing
              DoThis();
```







Pros/cons?



```
Preserves programming model
                          ⊟winmain() {
                                                                               Can recover some parallelism
                                  for(i=0; i<NUMPROCS; i++)</pre>
                                       pthread create (&tids[i], H. Cons:
                                  for(i=0; i<NUMPROCS; i++)</pre>

    Workers still have same problem

                                       pthread join(&tids[i]);
                                                                               How to load balance?
                                                                             • Shared mutable state a problem

[]threadproc(...) {

                                                          □threadproc(...) {
                                                                                       □threadproc(...) {
threadproc(...) {
  while(true) {
                               while(true) {
                                                             while(true) {
                                                                                          while(true) {
     message = GetMessage();
                                  message = GetMessage();
                                                                 message = GetMessage();
                                                                                             message = GetMessage();
                                                                                              switch(message) {
      switch(message) {
                                   switch(message) {
                                                                 switch(message) {
                                                                                              case WM THIS: DoThis();
      case WM THIS: DoThis();
                                   case WM THIS: DoThis();
                                                                 case WM THIS: DoThis();
      case WM THAT: DoThat();
                                   case WM THAT: DoThat();
                                                                 case WM THAT: DoThat();
                                                                                              case WM THAT: DoThat();
```

Pros/cons?

Pros:



Pros/cons?

Questions?