







**Constraint Solving Constraint Solving** So far, we have only informally sketched what we mean by Definition: A solution to a system of type constraints is a solving type constraints substitution  $\sigma$  mapping type variables to types such that all type constraints are satisfied • Convention: I will write constraints as a list with the type of the program at the bottom • We discovered one solution,  $\alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2$  for the system  $a_1 = a_2 \rightarrow a_3$ Example: Consider again the expression let f = lambda x.(f x) in f Substitution:  $\sigma = \{a_1 \leftarrow \alpha_1, a_2 \leftarrow \alpha_2, a_3 \leftarrow (\alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2)\}$ Here, the type of f written as list of constraints is: • But the following is also a solution:  $Int \rightarrow Int$  $a_1 = a_2 \rightarrow a_3$  $a_1$ Substitution:  $\sigma = \{a_1 \leftarrow Int, a_2 \leftarrow Int, a_3 \leftarrow (Int \rightarrow Int)\}$ **Constraint Solving** Constraint Solving Cont. First Idea: We choose a variable on left-hand side and replace all occurrences of this variable with its right-hand side. In • And  $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha$  is also a solution for other words, we add the substitution  $x \leftarrow y$  for the equality  $a_1 = a_2 \rightarrow a_3$ x = yConsider again the constraint system: • Substitution:  $\sigma = \{a_1 \leftarrow \alpha, a_2 \leftarrow \alpha, a_3 \leftarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha)\}$  $a_1 = a_2 \rightarrow a_3$  $a_1$ But clearly some solutions are more general than others. • Here, we pick  $a_1$ . It's right-hand side is  $a_2 \rightarrow a_3$ . If we replace ▶ We want to find the most general solution, also know as the all occurrences of  $a_1$ , we get: most general unifier.  $a_2 \rightarrow a_3 = a_2 \rightarrow a_3$ This can be done using unification  $a_2 \rightarrow a_3$ and the substitution  $\sigma = \{a_1 \leftarrow (a_2 \rightarrow a_3), a_2 \leftarrow a_2, a_3 \leftarrow a_3\}$ Constraint Solving Cont. Constraint Solving Example Another example:  $a_1 = a_2 \rightarrow Int$  $a_1 = String \rightarrow a_3$ Then, drop all trivial constraints: • Let's pick  $a_1$ :  $a_2 \rightarrow a_3$  $\begin{array}{l} a_2 \rightarrow Int = a_2 \rightarrow Int \\ a_2 \rightarrow Int = String \rightarrow a_3 \end{array}$ with substitution  $\sigma = \{a_2 \leftarrow a_2, a_3 \leftarrow a_3\}$ with  $\sigma = \{a_1 \leftarrow a_2 \rightarrow Int, a_2 \leftarrow a_2, a_3 \leftarrow a_3\}$ • Repeat until we find a contradiction (Int = String) or there are no equalities left. Remove redundant constraints:  $a_2 \rightarrow Int = String \rightarrow a_3$ In this case, we have found the most general solution. with  $\sigma = \{a_2 \leftarrow a_2, a_3 \leftarrow a_3\}$ But now we are stuck, even though the final substitution is  $\sigma = \{a_2 \leftarrow String, a_3 \leftarrow Int, \ldots\}$ 

Constraint Solving Example Solution: Add one more rule:	Simple Unification Algorithm
<ul> <li>Rule: If X → Y = W → Z, then add substitution X = W and Y = Z</li> <li>Back to the example: a<sub>2</sub> → Int = String → a<sub>3</sub> with σ = {a<sub>2</sub> ← a<sub>2</sub>, a<sub>3</sub> ← a<sub>3</sub>}</li> <li>Add s<sub>2</sub> ← Int and a<sub>3</sub> ← String</li> <li>New constraint system: String → Int = String → Int with σ = {a<sub>2</sub> ← String, a<sub>3</sub> ← Int}</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>From constraints, pick one equality a<sub>x</sub> = e and apply substitution a<sub>x</sub> ← e</li> <li>If such an equality does not exist, pick an equality of the form X → Y = W → Z and apply substitutions X ← W, Y ← Z</li> <li>Repeat until we either derive a contradiction or there are not equalities left. This is a most general unifier.</li> </ul>
Thomas Dillig, C5345H: Programming Languages Lecture 12: Type Inference 31/33	Thomas Dillig, CS345H: Programming Languages Lecture 12: Type Inference 32/33
<ul> <li>Conclusion</li> <li>We have seen how we can use our typing rules to generate type constraints.</li> <li>We looked at a simple algorithm to solve these constraints.</li> <li>But this algorithm is not very efficient.</li> <li>Next time: How to perform unification efficiently and type inference in L</li> </ul>	